



REPUBLIC OF KENYA

**THE SENATE**

**TWELFTH PARLIAMENT - SECOND SESSION**

-----

**REPORT OF THE SENATE SESSIONAL COMMITTEE ON COUNTY PUBLIC  
ACCOUNTS AND INVESTMENTS ON THE INQUIRY INTO THE FINANCIAL  
OPERATIONS OF-**

**KIAMBU, BUSIA, TANA RIVER, TRANS NZOIA, NYANDARUA, MIGORI,  
KWALE, KISUMU, SAMBURU AND KERICHO COUNTY EXECUTIVES FOR  
THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2013/2014 (1<sup>ST</sup> JULY, 2013 TO 30<sup>TH</sup> JUNE, 2014)**

**VOLUME 1**

**CLERK'S CHAMBERS**

**THE SENATE**

**P.O.BOX 41842-00100**

**NAIROBI**

**NOVEMBER 03, 2018**

**THE SENATE**  
**TWELFTH PARLIAMENT – SECOND SESSION**

**ADOPTION OF THE REPORT OF THE SENATE SESSIONAL COMMITTEE  
ON PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND INVESTMENT ON THE INQUIRY INTO THE  
FINANCIAL OPERATIONS OF KIAMBU, BUSIA, KWALE, TANA RIVER,  
TRANS NZOIA, NYANDARUA, MIGORI, KISUMU, SAMBURU AND  
KERICHO COUNTY EXECUTIVES FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2013/2014  
(1<sup>ST</sup> JULY, 2013 TO 30<sup>TH</sup> JUNE, 2014)**

**Adopted by:**

- |                               |                      |       |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| 1. Sen. Moses Kajwang'        | <b>Chairman</b>      | ..... |
| 2. Sen. Mithika Linturi       | <b>Vice Chairman</b> | ..... |
| 3. Sen. Fatuma Dullo          | Member               | ..... |
| 4. Sen. Wamatangi Kimani      | Member               | ..... |
| 5. Sen. Kibiru Charles        | Member               | ..... |
| 6. Sen. Mohamed Faki          | Member               | ..... |
| 7. Sen. Omanga Millicent      | Member               | ..... |
| 8. Sen. Ledama Olekina        | Member               | ..... |
| 9. Sen. (Prof.) Sam K. Ongeru | Member               | ..... |

**Date .....**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS .....	4
DEFINITION OF TERMS: .....	5
PREFACE .....	6
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	8
GENERAL COMMITTEE’S RECOMMENDATIONS.....	11
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	15
CHAPTER ONE.....	16
KIAMBU COUNTY EXECUTIVE .....	16
CHAPTER TWO.....	50
BUSIA COUNTY EXECUTIVE .....	50
CHAPTER THREE:.....	72
TANA RIVER COUNTY EXECUTIVE.....	72
CHAPTER FOUR.....	101
TRANS NZOIA COUNTY EXECUTIVE .....	101
CHAPTER FIVE.....	118
NYANDARUA COUNTY EXECUTIVE .....	118
CHAPTER SIX .....	164
MIGORI COUNTY EXECUTIVE .....	164
CHAPTER SEVEN .....	218
KWALE COUNTY EXECUTIVE .....	218
CHAPTER EIGHT .....	235
KISUMU COUNTY EXECUTIVE .....	235
CHAPTER NINE.....	291
SAMBURU COUNTY EXECUTIVE .....	291
CHAPTER TEN.....	305
KERICHO COUNTY EXECUTIVE .....	305

## ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

AIE	- Authority to incur Expenditure
CASB	- County Assembly Service Board
CBA	- Collective Bargaining Agreement
CBK	-Central Bank of Kenya
CPSB	- County Public Service Board
IFMIS	- Integrated Financial Management Information System
IGRTC	- Inter Governmental Relations Technical Committee
IPOA	- Independent Police Oversight Authority
KRA	- Kenya Revenue Authority
MCA	- Member of County Assembly
OAG	- Office of the Auditor General
PFM	- Public Finance Management
PWC	- Price Waterhouse Coopers
SO	- Standing Orders
SRC	- Salaries and Remuneration Commission
VAT	- Value Added Tax

## **DEFINITION OF TERMS**

### ***Disclaimer***

A disclaimer is when the auditor is unable to review fully an entity's documentation because there is a substantial amount of information that is missing. The absence of information makes it hard and difficult for the Auditor General to make an opinion. In other words, the auditor feels unable to determine whether the situation is qualified or adverse because the paperwork is not adequate. This is a serious lapse in compliance and should be of concern to oversight bodies. For a disclaimer, the record keeping is so bad the auditor cannot give an opinion.

### ***Reprimand***

Issuing a stern warning to desist from the action under concern. In the event that the issue reoccurs in subsequent audit reports, appropriate action be taken in line with the law.

### ***Unqualified Opinion***

This arises when the Auditor General is satisfied with documentation presented for review. It implies that there are no major problems with documentation and information that were presented for assessment and the funds are managed properly.

### ***Qualified Opinion***

This is as a result of Auditor General finding some problems that are not widespread or persistent with documentation and information supplied. The auditor received all the information required for audit. However, after review the audit reveals there are some gaps in adherence and compliance to legal procedures and budget.

### ***Adverse Opinion***

An adverse opinion occurs when the Auditor General is able to review the entity's documentation supplied for audit purposes and the final audit reveals problems that are widespread and pervasive and will require considerable changes to remedy. This is equivalent to scoring a pass in an examination. Oversight institutions are concerned to recommend remedies to address such anomalies and systems.

## **PREFACE**

**Mr. Speaker Sir,**

Committees are a creation of the Constitution through Article 124(1) of the Constitution which empowers each House of Parliament to establish Committees and make Standing Orders (SO) for the orderly conduct of its proceedings, including the proceedings of its Committees.

The Senate Sessional Committee on County Public Accounts and Investments is established by the Senate pursuant to Standing Order No. 220 and is mandated-

- a) Pursuant to Article 96(3) of the Constitution, to exercise oversight over national revenue allocated to the county governments,
- b) Pursuant to Article 228(6) of the Constitution, to examine the report of the Controller of Budget on the implementation of the budgets of county governments,
- c) Pursuant to Article 229(7) and (8) of the Constitution, to examine the reports of the Auditor-General on the annual accounts of the county governments,
- d) To examine special reports, if any, of the Auditor-General on county government funds,
- e) To examine the reports, if any, of the Auditor-General on the county public investments, and
- f) To exercise oversight over county public accounts and investments

**Mr. Speaker Sir,**

The membership of the Committee comprises of the following Senators-

1. Sen. Moses Kajwang' - **Chairman**
2. Sen. Mithika Linturi - **Vice Chairman**
3. Sen. (Prof.) Sam K. Ongeru - Member
4. Sen. Fatuma Dullo - Member
5. Sen. Wamatangi Kimani - Member
6. Sen. Ledama Olekina - Member
7. Sen. Kibiru Charles - Member
8. Sen. Mohamed Faki - Member
9. Sen. Omanga Millicent - Member

**Mr. Speaker Sir,**

The Senate Sessional Committee on County Public Accounts and Investments is the avenue through which the Senate under the provisions of Article 96(3) of the Constitution carries out the ex-post scrutiny of County Governments Budgets.

**Mr. Speaker Sir,**

The reports contained in here listed as **volume I (10 Counties)** was considered by the 11<sup>th</sup> Parliament, laid on the table but were not debated. The current Committee re-considered the said reports and adopted them. The other reports listed as **Volume II (17 Counties)** was done by the current Committee of the 12<sup>th</sup> Parliament 2<sup>nd</sup> Session.

**Mr. Speaker Sir,**

The Committee was first constituted on 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2017 pursuant to Senate Standing Order 220. The Committee was subsequently reconstituted on 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 Pursuant to Senate Standing Order 219 which requires *Sessional Committees* to be reconstituted at the Commencement of every session.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **A. Legal Framework**

The 2010 Constitution ushered in new public financial management architecture in Kenya. Importantly it devolved fiscal powers enabling the county level to take responsibility of its public financial management. However, it visibly left the authority of standardization and accountability systems with the National Government. Chapter 12 of the Constitution provides the legal provisions to be adhered to by both the National and the County levels of government.

The Constitution under Article 174 sets out the objects of devolution and gives power to the people for self-governance through participation of the people in the exercise of decisions affecting them and managing their own affairs and development. Key to this feature is ensuring equitable share of national and local resources. It also confers to facilitate devolution of state organs and their functions and services.

The Constitution under Article 201 outlines the principles of public finance management to be observed at both levels of government and these includes- openness, and accountability, public participation, prudent and responsible financial management. Further, to enforce this accountability, the Constitution sets various institutions at the national and county levels to ensure promotion of accountability, and openness for prudent and responsible financial management.

### **B. Office of the Auditor General**

The Office of the Auditor General forwards Reports on the financial operations of the County Governments for specific financial years to the Senate pursuant to the provisions of Article 229(7).

The Office of the Auditor General on various dates from the month of June, 2015 forwarded reports on the financial operations of the County Governments for the financial year 2013/2014. The reports, once tabled, stand committed to the Sessional Committee on County Public Accounts and Investments.

### **C. Sitzings of the Committee**

The Committee held several meetings with the County Government Executives on various dates during the session where it considered the reports of the Auditor General. The Committee was not able to visit any of the Counties due to their busy schedule and a backlog of business.

The sittings were primarily investigatory and the Committee received evidence from the Governors of the County Executives as the Chief Executive Officers to the County Governments pursuant to Article 179 (4) of the Constitution. The members of the County



Executive Committee and other County officials also attended the meetings of the Committee.

#### **D. Issues for Determination**

The main issues for investigation and determination were the various audit queries contained in the report of the Auditor General on the Financial Operations of the various counties for the financial year 2013/2014 (for the period 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2013 to 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014). This report is issued pursuant to the requirements of Articles 96 (3) and 229(8) of the Constitution of Kenya and the Senate Standing Order 213.

#### **E. Guiding Principles**

The Committee in arriving at particular recommendations took into account the challenges faced by counties at their nascent days, particularly the financial year 2013/2014. The Committee was further guided by the mandate of the Senate pursuant to the provisions of Article 96(1) of the Constitution; particularly the need to strike a balance between protection of the counties vis-a-vis the oversight role of the Senate over counties in accordance with the provisions of Article 96(1) and 96(3) of the Constitution respectively.

Where any breach of law has an attendant remedy, consequence or penalty in law, recommendations of this report do not preclude any liability that may arise as a result of any legal action within the breach of the prescribed law.

### **GENERAL OBSERVATIONS**

The Committee observed that –

- 1. Poor Book Keeping-**There is continued poor record keeping and failure to adhere to laid down financial and procurement procedures. This may be as a result of capacity and competency challenges at the devolved units. The low uptake of automation was also widespread across many counties during these initial years of devolution.
- 2. Persistent Weak Human Resource Management.-**The audit report reveals that during the first years of devolution, counties recruited staff without following due recruitment procedures and in absence of policy to determine optimal staffing levels. There were cases of engaging casuals for too long who were paid outside payroll through petty cash as opposed to payment through Integrated Personnel Payroll Data (IPPD). As a result, the true wage bill could not be explicitly established through IPPD. In most cases, the total compensation to employees exceeded the set limit of 35% of the total county revenues. This also led to reduction of money available for other functions such as development expenditure thresholds of at least 30% of the total county budgetary expenditures. This is in contravention of Public Finance

Management (County Governments Regulations) 2015 and thus wage bill may be unsustainable.

- 3. Flagrant Non-compliance & Adherence to Relevant Laws-**Counties are flouting various pieces of legislation on public finance management and other laws such as the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, the Public Finance Management (County Governments) Regulations, 2015, the County Governments Acts, Public Audit Act, various circulars from statutory bodies such as Salaries and Remuneration Commission, the defunct Transition Authority, the Income Tax Act among others with respect to various facets in relation to set down procedures and regulations.
- 4. Lack of Assets and Liabilities Register-** Many counties have no updated registers for the immovable assets and liabilities inherited from defunct local authorities and those acquired during the first two years of devolution are lacking across many counties. This includes parcels of land, house properties. Also lacking are the title deeds to the existing properties and their valuations. These pose a great risk of loss of such properties and assets.
- 5. Incomplete & Non-Utilized Projects-**Cases abound of incomplete and not utilized projects across many counties with most projects status being incomplete long after expiry of intended completion dates. This shows total disregard of completion timelines and yet contract agreements should have clauses with respect to liquidation damages for non-completion of contracts. This denies the citizens service delivery and value for money. Counties were to implement various projects and programmes by different ministries and departments during the financial year. However, in most cases most projects had not started, others were ongoing while some were complete but not utilized.
- 6. Weak Budgetary Control and Performance-**Counties are not spending according to their approved line/ item allocations since funds were reallocated to purposes for which they were not budgeted for without any indications of prior approval. Also funds earmarked for development expenditures during the financial year are reallocated and used under operational accounts resulting into shifting development budgets to recurrent costs. In addition, there are numerous cases of under collection of local revenue where most counties miss their revenue targets by huge margins.
- 7. Weak Internal Control Environment-**From the financial statements and financial operations reports most counties lacked or did not have comprehensive approved policies and operational procedures. Some of the missing policies relate to the following; Information Communications Technology (ICT) Policy, Human Resource

Management Policy, Operational Financial Management Policy, Approved Staff Establishment Policy, Risk Management Policy.

- 8. Irregularities in Compensation to Employees-** County Assemblies are making irregular payments to Members of County Assemblies (MCAs). The common irregular payments relate to irregular sitting allowances, payment in excess of Salaries and Remuneration Commission (SRC) recommended monthly sitting allowances, irregular plenary sitting allowances overpayment by use of committee rates as opposed to plenary session rates.
- 9. Nugatory Public Participation Payments-** Counties paid substantial amounts as allowances to citizens and civil society members during public participation exercises which contravene the Salaries and Remuneration Commission (SRC) circular that public participation in a forum is a civic responsibility of each and every citizen and should not attract any compensation.
- 10. Irregular Procurement of Goods and Services:** The County governments are irregularly procuring goods and services worth substantial amounts across many counties. This may be as a result of single sourcing, disregard of procurement rules and regulations, flouting laid down procedures and acting in disregard of processing procurement in compliance with relevant legislations, policies and guidelines within devolved units.
- 11. Under Reporting/Collection of County Revenue** -The committee noted county revenues collected was banked in other bank accounts other than the designated revenue collection account; CRF. Underreporting / collection of revenues related to revenue collected but not submitted to the County Revenue Fund, revenue collected and utilized at source or revenue differentials that could not be accounted.
- 12. Outstanding Imprest** - Audit report reveals that county government's record imprest on payment schedules instead of issuing the holders with imprest warrants for proper accountability. Further, it is revealed across most counties that officers are issued with additional imprest while holding the previous ones and the imprest register do not show dates when the imprest is being issued, when they are due for surrender or the designations and personal of numbers the imprest holders.

## **GENERAL COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Committee having made the observations recommends as follows-

- 1. Capacity Building for Staff and Members of the County Assembly** - County Governments should recruit competent staff and ensure that they are continuously trained for better work output. Capacities of County Assemblies should be built for oversight purposes. County Governments should adopt proper record keeping mechanism, in order to enhance accountability. The National Government and IBEC should ensure that the Capacity Assessment and Rationalization Programme (CARPS) is concluded and implemented.
- 2. Human Resource Management** -The committee recommends that the County Public Service Board should undertake its mandate as stipulated in the County Government Act, 2012 and come up with a staff establishment that is defined and implemented in concurrence with the County Assembly. The County Government should ensure that it complies with the SRC circulars and PFM guidelines on limits of wages and benefits as stipulated in section 107(2) and Regulation 25(1)(a) and (b).
- 3. IFMIS System** – The Committee recommends that the IFMIS system should be fully implemented to ensure all the modules are operational and all loopholes sealed, so as not to hamper financial operations of the counties. That the system should operate optimally and efficiently to curb the persistent downtime A special audit should be done by the Auditor-General on the IFMIS system, to determine the viability of the system with view of making improvements and upgrading specific modules due to technology advancements.
- 4. Asset and Liability Register** - The Committee recommends the IGRTC to fast track the process of verification and Identification of assets and liabilities across all the 47 counties and submits its report to the Senate for consideration. The Committee further recommends that the CEO and the responsible CEC Member should ensure that assets are managed in line with section 153 of the PFM Act failure to which they should take responsibility for any loss of assets that may be occasioned by their negligence. Each County government should open a fixed assets register and ensure it is regularly updated besides taking inventory of all its assets. In addition, there should be valuation for each of the said inventory/ assets.
- 5. Debt Management-** The Committee recommends that the CEC Member for Finance to designate persons to be responsible for collecting, receiving and accounting of all revenues as contemplated in section 157 of the PFM Act, 2012. The receiver of revenue to come up with optimization of collection of revenue in the county.

The county should set realistic and achievable revenue targets. The County should update the valuation roll to be in line with the current market rate;

- 6. Establishment of Sustainable Development and Impact Assessment Units-**To curb cases that abound of incomplete and not utilized projects and sometimes nonexistence projects across many counties with most projects status being incomplete long after expiry of intended completion dates, then there arises a need to establish units within every County Public Service Board (CPSB) with the mandate of taking stock of all projects within the county initiated by both county executives as well as County Assemblies and submit quarterly reports to the County Assembly and integrated in the county development plans, County Fiscal Strategy Papers to ensure continuity and sustainable development.
- 7. Oversight and Accountability** - The Senate is constitutionally mandated and has obligation under Article 96 of the Constitution to not only protect the interest of counties but also to promote and safeguard accountability and openness in the manner of conducting the affairs at the county level. In this regard, the Committee recommends that this House demands and ensures adequate resources are transferred to the county level and should ensure enforcement at county level to comply and promote transparency and prudent utilization of public resources at all levels of government.
- 8. Audit and Budget Implementation Reports-**The Committee recommends that counties whose financial statements are in shambles in management of its resources should be penalized through the Fiscal Responsibility Index / propriety index in the equitable sharing of resources and reward counties whose accounts are prudently managed and accounted for transparently.
- 9. Responsibility on County Operations** The Committee recommends that Governors being the Chief Executives of Counties must at all times ensure that all officers and institutions under them exercise prudent financial management and controls, in compliance with the tenets of the constitution, and relevant laws and regulations. Failure to do so, they shall be held personally liable.
- 10. Special Audits** -The Committee recommends that the Office of the Auditor General undertakes special audits on selected counties from time to time, in order to promote the principles of public finance as enshrined in the Constitution.
- 11. Surrender of Imprest** – The Committee recommends that the accounting officers and AIE holders should strictly enforce the laid down procedures as stipulated in Regulations 93 of the PFM Act, 2012 and ensure compliance. The CEC Finance should ensure that imprests are used for only intended purposes and are surrendered within the timelines as provided for in regulation 93 of PFM regulations.



**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The Committee wishes to acknowledge the support it received from the Office of the Speaker and the Clerk of the Senate during the consideration of the Auditor General’s Report. The Committee further appreciates the cooperation it received from the various Governors of the County Governments under consideration.

Final appreciation goes to the distinguished and dedicated members of the Committee who created time out of their busy schedule to attend and actively participate in the proceedings.

**Mr. Speaker Sir,**

I wish to confirm that the resolutions of the Committee in this report were unanimous.

**Mr. Speaker,**

It is therefore my pleasant duty and privilege, on behalf of the Senate Sessional Committee on County Public Accounts and Investments to table this report and commend it to the House for debate and adoption pursuant to the provisions of the Senate Standing Order 213(6).

**SIGNED:**

.....

**DATE:**

.....

**HON. SEN. MOSES KAJWANG’, MP**

**CHAIRPERSON**

## CHAPTER ONE

### KIAMBU COUNTY EXECUTIVE

The Governor of Kiambu, Hon. William Kabogo, was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of Kiambu County Government for the financial year 2013/2014. Hon. Kabogo appeared before the Committee on Tuesday 4<sup>th</sup> May, Wednesday 5<sup>th</sup> May, Tuesday 17<sup>th</sup> May and Thursday 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2016 and made submissions to respond to the audit queries. The committee made observations and recommendations in line with each audit query raised as follows-

#### **1.0 Lack of Policy and Plans**

The Auditor General's report noted that during the period ended 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014; the County Executive of Kiambu did not comply with the designing and implementation of the County Human Resource Policies and Plans. It had no approved staff establishment for the County, integrated Human Resource Plan and job evaluation and analysis policy and report.

#### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented that at the time of the audit review the policy and plans were not in place but they had initiated and implemented the policy and have since submitted the same for verification by the Auditors.

#### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that although the policy and plans were not in place at the time of Audit, they had since been submitted to the Auditors and verified as satisfactory.

#### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query is satisfied that the matter has been resolved but cautions the County Government that Human resource policies and plans must be in place in good time.**



## **2.1 Cash and Bank Balances**

### **Incomplete Records of Receipts and Payments**

The Auditor General's report noted that during the period under review, the County Executive did not maintain cashbooks and updated bank reconciliation statements for revenue and expenditure. Consequently, the accuracy of the reported balances of collected revenue and payments made during the period could not be ascertained. Further, the accuracy of cash and bank balances as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 could not also be confirmed.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. At the time of the review, the County had not instituted the said mechanisms as raised by the Auditor;
2. the County Government had since instituted proper financial controls and had since reconciled the revenues during the period under review and that no money had been lost;
3. The County was currently on IFIMIS and that all revenue collection was electronic on a platform that automatically generates electronic cashbooks.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that:

1. despite the current improvement of the financial management systems in the County, the query on the accuracy of the cash and bank balances at the time of the Audit had not been resolved; and,
2. That reconciliations were not done and cash books were not prepared at the time of Audit;

### **Committee Recommendation:**

- 1. That notwithstanding the fact that the county adjusted its books of account after the Auditor General's Report, proper financial management was not adhered to at the time of audit contrary to the Public Finance Management Regulations, 2006; and,**
- 2. The County Government must at all times keep proper financial accounts to ensure proper use of public funds.**

### **2.2 Outstanding Temporary Imprest**

The County Executive had outstanding imprest balances of Kshs.26,364,579 as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. These was imprest which ought to have been surrendered on or before 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. Review of the Imprest register revealed that there were cases of officers being issued with multiple imprest.

Further, most of these imprest was paid in cash to the officers instead of depositing cheques directly to the individual bank accounts. Although the imprest register was maintained, the register was not properly recorded and updated. The management did not provide an explanation as to why the outstanding Imprest has not been recovered from the defaulting officers.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That at the time of review there was imprest that was not surrendered but the situation had since been rectified;
2. That the County Government had submitted records showing recovery steps instituted against those with un-surrendered imprest.

## **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that:

1. the Auditor General's office had seen and verified the imprest registers, vouchers and recovery statements;
2. specific officers had unusually high imprest balances which was un-surrendered at the time of Audit; and,
3. In light of (2) above, the Governor submits details, including ID numbers, Personal Numbers, reason for receiving imprest and reasons for non-surrender, of the following officers during the Governors next encounter with the Committee;
  - i. *Mr. Samuel Kioko*
  - ii. *Ms. Margret Gati Wambura*
  - iii. *Ms. Grace Njambi*
  - iv. *Mr. Elias Kariuki*

Members were apprised in a subsequent meeting that:

1. the Auditor General had verified the documents and a sum of Ksh. 2,966,697.00 was still outstanding as at May 2016;
2. the Auditor General's office had seen and verified the vouchers and recovery statements; and
3. that the purpose for which the imprest had been issued could not be ascertained.

## **Committee Recommendation**

1. The Committee Recommends that the Governor be held responsible for advancing imprest contrary to section 197 of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012; and

2. the EACC investigate the management of imprest and breach of financial regulations that led to the apparent loss of Kshs.2,966,697.00 by officers of the County including: Mr. Samuel Kioko, Ms. Gati Wambura, Ms. Grace Njambi and Mr. Elias Kariuki, with a view to recover the money and prosecute those responsible.

### **2.3 Loss of Cash at Ruiru Sub County**

Review of Ruiru Sub-County records for the period up to June 2014 revealed that Liquor licensing committee allowances totaling Kshs.150, 000 was stolen from the cash office on the night of Thursday, 5<sup>th</sup> June 2014. However, no evidence was availed to show that the officers reported the matter to the police and that investigations were being undertaken.

#### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the matter was immediately reported to the Police under OB /24/6/6/2014;
2. The County Government had put in place measures to ensure safeguarding of public monies in the Sub -Counties; and,
3. The matter was now under the jurisdiction of the Kenya Police, and any assistance from the Committee to intervene on the matter would be welcome.

#### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that while the Committee had verified the original Police Abstract tabled by the Governor, the issue remains unresolved.

#### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the matter be reported to IPOA (Independent Police Oversight Authority) for further action, recovery and prosecution**

### **3.1 Land**

The County Executive inherited a total of 593 parcels of land from the defunct local authorities. However, the County did not maintain a fixed assets register to record its parcels of land and other assets it owns. Further, records of land handed over from some defunct local authorities were not available for audit verification, most of the land did not have titles or an estimated worth and those with values had unrealistic balances.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. The matter on transfer of assets of the defunct local authorities was being handled by the defunct Transition Authority (TA).
2. Using its own internal audit team, the County initiated its audit and had a preliminary report of its assets, which is currently undergoing verification. Once authenticated, a comprehensive fixed assets register will be in place.
3. This issue can only be resolved once the County receives the TA (Now the Intergovernmental Relations Technical Committee) report particularly on non-current assets.
4. The County has an updated asset register for all the assets it acquired from 2013.
5. With regard to the lack of land records, virtually all the land held by the defunct local authorities was not documented.
6. The County has since initiated a survey of all public land. Once the survey is completed, all the land parcels will have identification or land reference number and thus can be registered and issued with their respective title deeds and proper valuation made. The Survey assignment will also ascertain the exact acreage of the various land parcels.
7. For the parcels that have titles, the County Government has custody of them and they are available for audit verification.
8. The County Government initiated the process of securing public utility land through the process of preparation of part development plans (PDPs) surveying,

and subsequently monumenting the parcels to show the public that it belongs to the County and its proposed use. So far, there are 228 fully processed PDPs with 14 already approved.

9. The County Government through its department of Land, Housing and Physical Planning is at an advanced stage of identifying all public utility land within the County and will prepare a comprehensive report on the same once the exercise is concluded.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that:

To effectively deal with the issue of land that was owned by the defunct local authorities, since the Transition Authority had ceased to exist, there was need for the Committee to meet with the Intergovernmental Relations Technical Committee, the National Land Commission and the Council of Governors.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the National Land Commission investigates all existing sub-division of the land with a view of annulling any sub-division since 2013, and stop any future attempts at sub-division, allocation or any dealings whatsoever of the public land.**

### **3.2 Motor Vehicles**

Records revealed that the County Executive inherited motor vehicles and equipment from the defunct local authorities.

However, most of these motor vehicles were grounded and needed repairs. No efforts were seen to have been made to have the vehicles repaired or boarded for the benefit of the County Executive.

## **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. The vehicles were inherited from the defunct local authorities;
2. That the County Government, on evaluation of technical reports had only repaired three out of sixteen (16) vehicles which were currently in operation;
3. The other vehicles were found to be in an irreparable state and will be boarded by the County Government and tabled pictures of the said vehicles for verification by the Committee.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor had verified the presence of the vehicles but that no report on the vehicles due to be boarded was provided; and,
2. Resolved that the Governor process the vehicles to be boarded.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**Upon the finalization of the IGRTC report, the County Government needs to board the remaining grounded thirteen (13) vehicles without further delay.**

### **4.1 Pending Legal Fees**

The County Executive had pending legal bills amounting to Kshs.99,015,662.00 for the period ended 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. Review of the legal records showed that some of these debts were inherited from the defunct local authorities dating back to the year 2000. However, the accuracy and authenticity of this balance could not be confirmed due to lack of complete records.

## **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That the County Government had taken the position that it would not take up liabilities from the defunct local authorities until they were verified;
2. That the verification was a function of the defunct Transition Authority and hence the successor, Intergovernmental relations Technical Committee, should complete that task;
3. That the County Government had continued to appear for the defunct Local Authorities in the on-going court cases but had negotiated with representative lawyers on the fees payable.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the list provided was not detailed on the cases represented and the fee per case, and directed the Governor to produce a detailed list of pending legal fees; and,
2. the validity of the pending legal fees could not be verified as there was no supporting documentation provided.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that-**

- 1. A specific scrutiny on one Karuru and Company should be done to determine the nature and authenticity of claims against the County; and,**
- 2. In line with the Senate motion urging the National Government to take up the liabilities of the defunct local authorities, the matter should be dealt with at that level.**



## **5.0 PROCUREMENT ISSUES**

### **5.1 Irregular Procurement of Goods**

The County Executive irregularly procured goods worth Kshs.720, 190.00 as follows:

1. Quotations for supply of stationery amounting to Kshs.330,190,00 by Kellnett Agencies General Merchants were opened by one person, a Clerical Officer instead of three officers as per regulations.

Further, payment for supply of typhoid vaccine by Molars Limited amounting to Kshs.390,000 was made without form S13, a delivery note and quotations. Proforma invoices were used, as a result, the propriety of the expenditure Kshs.720,190,00 could not be confirmed. The Auditor General presented that despite the explanation being logical, an illegality had been committed and the Committee should pronounce itself on the matter.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That it was true that only one officer had opened the tender document and was indeed irregular;
2. That the County Government had disciplined the said clerical officer and had since demoted him;
3. That the payment for the supply of vaccines was done as there was an emergency to procure them due to an acute shortage of the vaccines and an imminent outbreak of typhoid.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor was not satisfied and hence the query was outstanding; and,

2. That in the case of the vaccine purchase, documents listed in the query should have been provided to prove actual receipt of the vaccines to the intended users;

Subsequent verification by the Auditor General confirmed the validity of the direct procurement method used due to the urgency of the matter. The Auditor General informed the Committee the matter was resolved.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**Although administrative action was taken against the officer, further action should be taken against the Clerical Officer under the Public Finance Management Act, 2012 as the officer had committed a crime.**

### **5.2 Architectural Designs for Juja Sub-County**

The County paid Kshs.600,000 to Saxon Services Ltd vide payment voucher number 600 dated 29<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 for preparing architectural designs for Juja Sub-County offices. It was not clear why the County Executive out-sourced the services yet it had its own experts who include architects, surveyors and engineers. The designs and drawings were not availed for audit purposes, the services were single sourced and the Kshs.600, 000 payments appear exaggerated. The Auditor submitted that the Quotations were never submitted and hence they could not ascertain if the tender was competitive

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

- 1 That at the time, the County Government did not have in-house architects and hence the need to out-source such services;
- 2 That Copies of the Evaluation report and tender Committee minutes had been verified and proved that the County Government did not single source for the works;
- 3 The designs and quotation were available for verification by the Auditors.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditor presented that the Tender Committee minutes, evaluation report and original quotations were availed and verified, to establish that it was not single sourced, therefore issue is now resolved.

### **5.3 Unsupported Fuel Expenditure**

According to vote book records, the County Executive made payments amounting to Kshs.5,965,336 to Global Material Resources on several occasions for supply of fuel. The following anomalies were noted: delivery notes were not available, fuel could not be traced to the fuel register in form of an S3 card, daily petrol/oil issues and vehicles work tickets, no documents were availed on how the contractor was identified and only payment voucher no.336 was availed for audit.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That at the time systems were not very well set up as it was a transition but officers did enter the fuel in logs which were presented for verification. The major anomaly was that it was not recorded in the vehicles work tickets which has since been rectified.
2. administrative changes had been made to streamline the purchase of fuel including having another officer head the transport unit and training of officers.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

- 1 The Auditor was satisfied with the procurement of the fuel with exception of the lack of entering fuel details in the work tickets;
- 2 the Governor acknowledged the measure taken to streamline the issue of fuel management;

Subsequently the Committee was informed by the Auditor General that procurement procedures had been adhered to, fuel was recorded in bin cards and fuel issue forms but not entered in the work tickets

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that:**

- 1. Notwithstanding the action taken after the Audit process, service providers should be identified in line with procurement procedures, and proper records maintained.**
- 2. Administrative disciplinary measures should be taken against any officer who fails to maintain proper records.**
- 3. The County Government manages the fuel records effectively to avoid the risk of theft of public funds.**

### **5.4 Acquisition of Land**

During the period under review, the County Executive acquired land valued at Kshs.999,600.00. However, the title documents to prove ownership of this land, details of the size and the location of the land were not available for audit verification.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That the County Government, in relation to this query, did not purchase any land; and,
2. That the said money was an expenditure which had been erroneously charged to a vote on purchase of land and hence it was an accounting error.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. The Auditor confirmed that they had verified the relevant documents and that it was an accounting error; and,
2. The Committee was satisfied with the explanation.

## **6.0 ROADS**

### **6.1 Rehabilitation of Hospital – St. Peter and Paul Catholic Church Road**

The County Executive paid Don Woods Company Ltd Kshs.3,127,200 for rehabilitating Hospital - St Peter and Paul Catholic Church Road. However, it was observed that the contractor was single-sourced contrary to procurement regulations. Further, the Bill of quantities (BQ) supporting the payment was not signed. At the time of audit, the road was still in a dilapidated condition despite the repairs. In addition, no inspection and acceptance committee certificate of completion was produced to show that the work was completed before payments were made.

#### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. the Contractor was competitively sourced. A public notice to procure some four contracts which included the road in question was placed on the Kiambu Sub-County notice board on 3<sup>rd</sup> December, 2013.
2. The quotations were returned on 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 and were opened the same day.
3. The three bidders who had responded were evaluated and the evaluation report tabled to the Tender Committee on 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2014.
4. An Inspection report by the Inspection and Acceptance Committee was prepared on 21<sup>st</sup> March, 2014 and signed by the members on 25<sup>th</sup> March, 2014 and the same was attached to the payment voucher.
5. With respect to the dilapidated condition of the road, there were heavy rains over 6 months after completion of the works which affected the condition of the road.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. Although the Auditor had verified the procurement process was done according to the law. He nonetheless maintained that at the time of the Audit the road was in a dilapidated state; and,
2. The Committee noted the comments of the Auditor but observed that due to lapse of time it would not be in a position to verify the state of the road at the time of the audit taking into consideration that the road was murram.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends-**

- 1. the County should present certificates to the Auditor in a timely manner at the time of Audit;**
- 2. that all contracts must have the quantities properly measured and the completion certificates signed at the time of payment as lack of this would compromise the standard of work; and,**
- 3. That the bill of quantities must be properly authenticated and adhered to.**

### **6.2 Construction of Kiambu County Executive Headquarters**

The County Executive spent a total of Kshs.26, 477,230.00 on construction of its headquarters in Kiambu town during the period ended 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. The project started in June 2013. The work involves demolishing part of the former Municipal Council of Kiambu offices, the canopy, excavating trenches for the perimeter wall and office extension. A physical verification of this project revealed that the project had not been completed as at October 2014. This had delayed the moving of Kiambu County Executive Headquarters from Thika to Kiambu town. No explanation was given for this delay.

## **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented that the delay was not deliberate and the works had since been completed.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the completion of the Headquarters was a welcome step as it had allayed public fears of possible relocation of the County Headquarters.*

### **Committee Recommendation:**

**The committee noted that the issue was found to be satisfactory, and directs that the Governor upon completion of the facility, moves his offices to the facility as it has been gazetted as the official headquarters of Kiambu County.**

## **6.3 Kiganjo and Madaraka Market Roads**

A payment of Kshs.364,000 was made to M/S Wanja Masons Enterprises for the delivery, of 100 M3 hardcore quarry murrum to Kiganjo and Madaraka Market Roads vide LPO no. 1211-0041 dated 27.11.12 and invoice no. 253 dated 28.05.14. The payment voucher dated 09.10.2013 was unnumbered. The roads were inspected on 13.06.14 and it was found that traders had encroached on the road making the drainage work impossible. Documents adduced revealed that the contractor delivered soft rock instead of quarry murrum as per the engineer's specification.

## **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. An inspection and acceptance committee report (Ref. MCT5/6/3 dated 31<sup>st</sup> May 2013) indicated that soft rocks was provided instead of hardcore;
2. The LPO NO. 1211-0041 was found not to have properly specified the type of materials needed with specificity; and,

3. Administrative action was taken against the officer who drafted the LPO.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee noted the issues raised in the query and the actions taken.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County should follow proper procedures to ensure that proper specifications are made and adhered to during construction of county infrastructure projects and all other public works.**

### **6.4 Stage 160 Finance – Mwiki Ward**

Waseges Enterprises was paid Kshs.498,800 for delivery of 58 7 tons lorries quarry murrum vide LPO no. 027 dated 28.11.14 and invoice no. 1002 dated 08.01.14. The payment voucher dated 15.01.14 was unnumbered. A visit was made on 17.06.14 and found that approximately 200 meters had not been done due to insufficient resources that had been allocated. It was also noted that there was no provision for storm water drainage and in some instances, storm water was being drained into private land. It was also found that private home owners were dumping cotton soil on the roads.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That it was true the said firm was contracted for the works but upon delivery of the murrum, it emerged that the volumes delivered were not enough for the entire road and a section measuring 200 meters was outstanding;
2. A variation of contract would not have been done as the budget line had been exhausted at the time;
3. The 200 meter stretch has since been completed; and,



4. The County Government had put in place a surveillance team to ensure there was no encroachment of roads and dumping of cotton soil by individuals has ceased henceforth.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee noted the issues raised in the query and the actions taken.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County should follow proper procedures and ensure that proper specifications are made and adhered to during construction of county infrastructure projects and all other public works.**

### **6.5 Mukawa – Munandaini**

Town and City Construction Company were awarded the contract of installing culverts along Mukawa-Munandaini road. As at the time of audit, Kshs.1,998,448 had been allocated towards this project. A site visit done on 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 revealed that trenching had been done, however there were no materials including the culverts on site.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. It was true the said firm was contracted for the works and the outstanding works of installing culverts had been done and this was verifiable.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that indeed the materials were not there at the time of audit but subsequently they were delivered and verified by the Auditor.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County should follow proper procedures, and ensure that proper specifications are made and adhered to during construction of county infrastructure projects and all other public works.**

### **7.1 Irregular Payment of Casual Wages**

- i. A total of Kshs.6,808,378.00 was paid during the year in respect of casuals engaged to serve in various sub-counties in Kiambu County Executive. The following observations were made: Most of the casuals were employed by the defunct Local Authorities with some having served for as many as seventeen years. No contract letters were availed to support their engagement. In addition, policy documents / guidelines were not provided to support the subsequent engagement and payment to the casual workers by the County Executive during the year under review.
- ii. The schedules supporting the payments had not been signed by the casuals.
- iii. The Human Resource department at the County headquarters did not have any details about the casuals.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That almost all the casual employees were inherited from the defunct local authorities;
2. That payment was done based on the work done and the number of days worked by the casuals;
3. That the records were manual and were inadvertently not availed to the auditor at the time of audit;
4. Subsequently all casuals had been issued with 90 days renewable contracts and engagement of casuals subjected to CPSB approval;
5. That Muster rolls in support of casual engagements were maintained against signed supporting documents; and,
6. That the formulation of the Casual employment and remuneration policy was in progress.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Schedules supporting payments were not signed by the casuals at the time of the audit; and,
2. it was not in a position to ascertain whether the money was paid to the casuals.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that-**

- 1. The county government maintains proper and up to date records of its employees and their remuneration;**
- 2. the County government completes formulation of the Human Resource policy, and to adhere to labour laws as regards hiring of casuals; and**
- 3. investigations by EACC to establish that money was paid to the casuals**

### **7.2 Unsupported Acting Allowances**

A review of the County Executive's payroll revealed that a total of Kshs.416,415 was paid as acting allowances to various officers between July, 2013 and January, 2014. However, no letters appointing the officers in acting capacity were availed for audit. Details of the payments were as follows:

<b>Month</b>	<b>Amount (Kshs)</b>
July, 2013	79,009
August, 2013	79,009
September, 2013	79,009
October, 2013	79,009
November, 2013	79,009
December, 2013	10,685
January, 2014	10,685
<b>Total</b>	<b>416,415</b>

## **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That from July 2013 to January 2014 a total sum of Kshs.416,414.00 was paid for acting allowance;
2. That the decision to pay was provided for in the CBA Clause 8 and that there were only 8 officers in acting capacity;
3. That Acting Allowance was stopped in December 2013 for seven officers and the remaining officer was stopped in February 2014 after the formalization of duties and filling up of vacancies in the County Public Service.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. No letters of appointment were availed at the time of audit; and
2. Letters were subsequently submitted to the auditors after the matter had been brought to the attention of the Senate, and the auditors had verified the letters of appointment of the officers;

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government should ensure proper personnel records are kept and letters submitted to the auditors in good time. The committee further cautions the County Government on the late submission of documents as per section 62(1)(c) per the Public Audit Act, 2015.**

### **7.3 Irregular Payment of Special Duty Allowance**

A total of Kshs.310, 000 was paid through IPPD payroll during the months of July, August, September, October and November, 2013. There was however no authorization for the payment of the Special duty allowance and the payment was therefore irregular.

## **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That the County Government paid special duty allowances from July 2013 to November 2013 amounting to Kshs. 310,385.85;
2. That Special duty allowance was provided for in the Collective Bargaining Agreement for the former local authority staff under clause 9.
3. That officers drawing Kshs. 200 was due to lack of earning code for dirty and heavy work bonuses in the IPPD System as per Clause 45 of the CBA, thus they were paid under the special duty earning code;
4. That officers drawing Kshs.1000 (askaris in the former municipal council of Kiambu) was due to lack of earning code for risk allowance in the IPPD System as per Clause 45 of the CBA, thus they were paid under the special duty earning code; and
5. That the Special Duty allowance payment ceased, from November 2013 after intervention by the SRC

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. The auditors confirmed that the CBA provided the authority for the payment of the special duty allowance.
2. the auditors had verified minutes of the former Local authority and were satisfied with the Governor's response;

## **Committee Recommendation**

**There was no clear directive during the transition period on payment of allowances. However, since the matter was resolved with the Auditors, the county should observe the SRC directive.**

## **7.4 Payment to Employees of Muranga County**

During the period under review, a total of Kshs.23,403,274.00 was paid to officers working in Gatanga Sub-County which is in Murang'a County . The expenditure should

not have been incurred by the Kiambu County Executive since the services were offered by the employees of a different County.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That during the year 2013/2014, a total of Kshs.23,403,274.00 was paid as salaries by the County Government of Kiambu to officers working in Gatanga Sub-County;
2. That this resulted from the fact that the officers , were employees of the then Thika County Council, had already been incorporated in the Kiambu County IPPD system;
3. That the County Government was not aware then that these officers had been transferred to Murang'a County;
4. That the Governor had been pursuing the matter with Murang'a County for a reimbursement with no avail;
5. That the matter was brought to the attention of both Murang'a and Kiambu Counties by the Transition Authority and a joint Consultative meeting between the two counties was convened and chaired by the Transition Authority on 20<sup>th</sup> May, 2014 at the Transition Authority's Boardroom, Extelcoms House 8<sup>th</sup> Floor.

The meeting deliberated on the whole issue and resolved that:

- a) Kiambu County would pay the staff up to and including 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014;
- b) Muranga County would then take over from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2014;
- c) Murang'a County would reimburse Kiambu County all monies paid from March 2013, when the staff effectively started working for Murang'a County.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors were not satisfied because the monies had not been reimbursed to-date.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that-**

- 1. the matter be referred to the IGTRC; and,**
- 2. Murang'a County Executive to reimburse to the County Government of Kiambu, the sum of Kshs.23,403,274 for the officers working in Gatanga sub-County before the end of the 2016/17 financial year.**

### **7.5 Leave Allowance**

During the year 2013/2014, leave allowance paid through the IPPD payroll amounted to Kshs.33,944,604.40. Out of this allowance paid, Kshs.25,104,795.20 related to arrears. The arrears were not supported by relevant documentation. The amount couldn't therefore be confirmed as correct. Some officers were paid multiple leave allowances with some even being paid thrice.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That Leave allowances amounting to Kshs.33, 944,604.40 was paid through the IPPD payroll out of which Kshs.25, 104,795.20 was depicted as arrears;
2. That the leave allowance was paid in November 2013 and December 2013 to former local authority staff as requested by the sub -counties guided by the CBA Leave Allowance clause 22(f);
3. That upon verification of the data provided indicating that officers were paid double in the month of November and December, the list provided did not correspond with officers paid in November 2013;
4. That Former municipal council of Thika had calculated leave allowances for their staff based on the old CBA with a difference being paid in February 2014.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors were satisfied as the matter was one of miscoding and there was no double payment.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The County Government should keep proper books of account to avoid unnecessary audit queries.**

### **7.6 Unsupported Basic Salary Arrears and Payment Inconsistencies**

During the year 2013/2014, basic salary paid through the IPPD payroll amounted to Kshs.152,733,152.75 and out of this, Kshs.261,885.45 related to arrears. The arrears were not supported by necessary documentation such as staff schedules and the corresponding arrears for each officer. An analysis of the payroll between July 2013 and January 2014 revealed that the basic salary for some officers changed significantly during the months. No reasons were provided for the inconsistencies in basic salaries during these months, and analysis of the changes in basic pay between July 2013 and January 2014.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That due to the serious gaps and inconsistencies identified in the data provided by the councils, the Ministry of Devolution & Planning configured the system to accommodate the gaps thus the enforcement of payroll related business rules (IPPD) internal controls were affected;
2. That cleansing of data exercise started in December 2013 and January 2014 and a Circular was issued over the same;
3. That the result was that any officer who was underpaid was compensated and overpayments were recovered.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors had verified the documents and were satisfied.



## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that for purposes of accountability, the County Government should maintain proper Human Resource records.**

### **7.7.0 Failure to Adhere to Recruitment Policies**

During the year, a total of 126 employees were recruited and only seven recruits were from non-dominant community, representing 5% of the total number employed. This is too low compared to the 30% required by Section 65(1)(e) of the County Government Act, 2012. Among the new employees recruited were thirty nine (39) enforcement officers and seventy two (72) revenue collectors. An analysis of the score sheets for the enforcement officers revealed that nine recruits who scored below 50% were employed with one scoring as low as 23%. This was contrary to the board's policy on the threshold score for appointments.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That the County Public Service Board (CPSB) was sworn in on the 25<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 and since then the Board had undertaken recruitment on behalf of the County Government;
2. That the County Public Service Board had to a large extent complied with the statutory requirement of 30:70 as enumerated under section 65(1)(e) and section 59(1)(3) of the County Government Act 2012 and had been recognized twice for inclusivity since the inception of County Governments;
3. That Recruitment of County staff was a continuous process and the Board remained committed to meet all statutory requirements;
4. That, with respect to recruitment of persons who scored below 50%, that error was orchestrated by the then Secretary to the Board; and

5. That on discovery of the same, the issue was reported to the police for investigations and disciplinary action taken against the officer

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Governor's response did not remedy the situation at the time of audit.

### **Committee Recommendation**

#### **The Committee Recommends-**

1. that the County Government submits information on the status of the employees hired below the set threshold at the time of audit.
2. The recruitment requirement on 30% threshold espoused in section 65(1)(e) of the County Governments Act, 2012 should be observed in subsequent recruitment processes and the county should adhere to the law.
3. There is need for appropriate legislation to be put in place by Parliament to enforce the 30% requirement.

#### **7.7.1 Excessive Wage Bill**

Compensation to employee costs for Kiambu County Executive during the period 2013/2014 was Kshs.4,029,700,974 against total expenditure of Kshs.6,741,586,923. The compensation to employee cost represents 59.77% of total expenditure, an indication that the wage bill is huge compared to other expenditure.

#### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That this had been necessitated by the fact that the County inherited a huge number of employees from the defunct local authorities and also seconded employees from the National Government.

2. That to remedy the situation it would be necessary for counties that had inherited a huge wage bill to be cushioned by the Senate; and
3. That subsequently, the County was working closely with the National Government to ensure that the escalating wage bill was brought to an acceptable level by identifying the productive staff needed and pressing for a compensation fund to provide for early retirement for the excess and redundant staff.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors directed the County Government should take the necessary measures to reduce its wage bill; and
2. The county is spending too much on personnel emoluments than on development

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that-**

- 1. The County needs to hire staff in line with its functions, to avoid a bloated wage bill;**
- 2. All recruitment should be done through the County Public Service Board; and,**
- 3. The County government should prepare a tally of all the staff categorized: inherited from former local authorities staff, seconded staff from the National Government, and newly hired staff by the County to the Committee by May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2017.**

### **7.8 Use of Manual Payroll**

By June, 2014, a total of 133 members of staff were being paid through a manual payroll and not through the IPPD. A total of Kshs.34,527,423.00 was paid to the officers on manual payroll between December 2013 and May 2014.

## **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That the County maintained a manual payroll at the time;
2. That this was necessitated by the fact that there were state officers and other officers who had not been provided with personal numbers and approved designations who were paid through manual payroll; and
3. That the use of manual payroll had been minimized.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that the auditors had verified the payroll, and were satisfied with the response of the Governor.*

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that all payments should be done through the IPPD payroll system.**

### **8.1 Unsurrendered Receipt Books at Ruiru Sub-County**

A Counter Receipt Book Register (CRBR) held at Ruiru Sub-County revealed that several receipt books with a total value of Kshs.8,498,240.00 issued to various revenue clerks had not been surrendered back to the station as at 26th June, 2014. Included in the list of the un surrendered receipt books were 2587 of miscellaneous receipts which had not been denominated. The dates when the receipt books were issued to the clerks were not disclosed. It was also noted that the revenue clerks were issued with several books contrary to the law which requires issuance of “only sufficient books”. The receipt books comprised of the following receipts with the listed values: Parking receipts (March) Kshs.2,768,400; Parking receipts Kshs.750,000; omnibus / trucks receipts (200) Kshs.134,000; Motor cycle / bodaboda stickers Kshs.129,200; monthly parking stickers saloon car / pickup Kshs.400,000; monthly parking sticker- Omnibus / trucks Kshs.255,000; monthly parking sticker - 7 seater and below Kshs.300,000; monthly parking sticker - 35 seater Kshs.250,000; monthly parking sticker- 24-34 seater

Kshs.210,000; monthly parking 14 seater Kshs.1,050,000; monthly parking sticker- 11 seater Kshs.330,000; Miscellaneous receipts Kshs.30,000; market fees Kshs.1,668,240; and bus park books Kshs.223,000.

### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

- 1 That whereas as at time of the audit some receipt books at Ruiru Sub- County had not been surrendered, the surrenders had already been done and administrative action taken on the responsible officer for not following Financial Regulations and County Circulars;
- 2 That Internal auditors from the National Government (National Treasury) were called in to help strengthen the systems;
- 3 That revenue receipts had since been automated through use of revenue collection systems;
- 4 That the County has since faired extremely well in the area of transparency and accountability in revenue collection as evidenced by CRA, Controller of budget reports and benchmarking missions from the other County governments; and
- 5 That the County had more than doubled the amounts the defunct councils used to collect through sealing of loopholes.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that-*

1. the auditors were satisfied with the Governor's response, and noted the Governor's mitigation that it would not be cost effective to pursue the receipt books;
2. Some receipt book were surrendered while some are still outstanding,
3. administrative action had been taken; and
4. the County Government did not maintain their books in line with set standards

### **Committee Recommendation**

- 1. The Committee directs the County Government to adhere to the recommendations of the Auditor General contained in the Audit Report.**
- 2. The Director of Criminal Investigations should investigate the matter of the missing receipt books with a view of prosecuting the individuals concerned and recovering the loss of public funds.**

#### **8.1.1 Lack of Controls on Accountable Documents**

As at the time of audit, the officer charged with the responsibility of receiving and issuing of accountable documents such as receipt books, detail orders, local purchase orders and imprest warrants had opened a counterfoil receipt book register in which the documents received were recorded. However, the issue notes and the dates vide which the accountable documents were received were not disclosed in the register.

The officer to whom the accountable documents were issued, his /her signature, date issued, date the documents were completed was not disclosed in the register.

In view of the findings, the accountable documents issued to various user departments could not be ascertained. In addition, all unsurrendered receipts issued to the user departments could not be ascertained.

#### **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented that the County had since improved the maintenance system of accountable documents through automation and all officers had been trained and sensitized on how to complete all the information required on the Counter Receipt Book.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors were satisfied with the explanation of the Governor.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee urged the County to continue improving their systems so as to limit possible misuse of public funds and disciplinary action be taken against the officers responsible.**

### **8.2 Loss of Revenue at Ruiru Sub-County**

An audit inspection carried out at Ruiru Sub-County revealed that revenue totaling Kshs.817,563 was lost through theft on 5th June, 2014. The amount comprised of cash on hand of Kshs.594,714 and cheques worth Kshs.222,849. The theft was reported to the County Secretary, County Executive of Kiambu on 9<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. No police abstract was availed to confirm that the incident was reported to the police and that investigations were being carried out.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that this query was dispensed with, together with query 2.3*

### **8.3 Operation of a Safaricom Paybill Account by Kiambu Sub-County**

The Kiambu Sub-County opened a Safaricom pay bill account on June 5<sup>th</sup> 2014. The paybill number is 927411 registered under Kiambu Sub-County. The County Treasury was not aware of the existence of the Safaricom pay bill number. It was noted that there was no authorization from the County Treasury for opening the paybill account for the Sub- County yet the revenue collected is for services offered by the County Executive of Kiambu. Between 18<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 the pay bill number had collected a total of Kshs.810,798. Kiambu Sub-County did not provide a statement of the pay bill account they were using to collect revenue. There was no evidence on how the amount collected was transmitted to Kiambu Revenue account.

## **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

- 1 That the County Government applied and operated a Safaricom Pay bill Account No. 927411 for Kiambu Sub-County;
- 2 That the account was opened by the County Treasury during the piloting of Revenue Automation System;
- 3 That the information that it was not authorized by the County was erroneous and was given by a sub County officer who did not have information of the authorization given; and
- 4 That the County wrote to Safaricom to close the Pay bill Account and transfer the amount to the county's main collection account.

## **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors were satisfied with the mitigation of the Governor and the supporting documents provided showing that the paybill account was closed on 2<sup>nd</sup> December, 2015, and an amount of Kshs.1,095,550 was transferred to the Kiambu sub-County revenue account.

## **8.4 Unreliable Revenue Reports**

The County revenue section prepares revenue reports detailing the revenue received by the County Executive or on its behalf from the sub -counties, hospitals and other entities under the County. During the audit exercise some variances were noted between revenue reports prepared by the County Revenue Section and revenue records maintained at the Sub -County level.

## **Submissions from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

- 1 That the Sub-Counties used to submit their detailed reports analyzed from the LAIFOM system; and
- 2 That the county treasury had since reconciled the data.



### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that auditors subsequently confirmed that the variances had been reconciled, and the matter stands resolved.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County maintains up to date and proper financial records at all times.**

## **CHAPTER TWO**

### **BUSIA COUNTY EXECUTIVE**

The Governor of Busia, Hon. Sospeter Ojamoong was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of Busia County Government for the financial year 2013/2014. Hon. Ojamoong appeared before the Committee on Tuesday 14<sup>th</sup> June, Wednesday 15<sup>th</sup> June, and Thursday 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2016. The Committee considered the audit queries against the Governor's responses and made recommendations accordingly.

The Governor's written submissions and relevant evidence collected are marked as **ANNEX 2**

#### **1.0 Unsupported Cash and Cash Balances**

The certificate of bank balance for the development bank account maintained at Kenya Commercial Bank with a reported balance as at 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014 of Kshs.352,120,896.00 was not availed for audit review.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That he concurred with the Auditor that the certificate was not submitted at the time of the Audit;
2. That the said account was at the Central Bank of Kenya and not Kenya Commercial Bank as stated in the audit report and;
3. That the County had requested for the certificate from the CBK and had subsequently acquired it online and presented it for verification.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that-*

1. the certificate presented by the County Government from the Central Bank of Kenya was actually a statement of account with no forwarding letter from the CBK; and,
2. that the Governor failed to submit the Certificate from the CBK to the auditors for verification, as directed by the Committee.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the Director of Public Prosecutions takes appropriate action with a view of charging the Governor under section 62(1)(c) of the Public Audit Act.**

### **2.0 Non-Remittance of Revenue**

Article 207 (1) of the Constitution of Kenya, 2010 states that there shall be established a revenue fund for each County Government, into which shall be paid all money raised or received by or on behalf of the county government, except money reasonably excluded by an Act of parliament.

It was observed that since the County government took over on 4<sup>th</sup> March, 2013 to the time of audit, the department of land, survey and mapping had never remitted its revenue of Kshs.15,721,286.00 to the County Revenue Fund Account.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That he concurred with the Auditor that at the time of Audit the revenue had not been remitted;
2. That the revenues were submitted to the National Government as Appropriation-in-Aid (AIA) due to confusion of reporting channels;

3. That it had proven difficult to recover this money from the National Government due to strained working relationships between the two levels of Government; and
4. That currently the revenues were being deposited in the County Revenue Fund.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor- General had seen and verified the relevant documents and was not satisfied because the money had still not been remitted; and
2. That the remittance of revenue collection to the revenue fund was a statutory issue that needed to be resolved.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query resolved to pursue the matter with the IGRTC and the National Treasury.**

#### **2.1 Airtime Cards not Accounted for**

According to paragraph C (2) of the Salaries and Remuneration Commission circular ref: SRC/HRCOH/3/25 of 27<sup>th</sup> May, 2013, airtime should be provided as follows:

Governor - Kshs10,000 per month;

Deputy Governor- Kshs.7,500 per month; and

County Assembly Speaker-Kshs.5,000 per month.

Other officers were entitled to airtime in accordance with government guidelines issued from time to time. The County bought airtime cards worth Kshs.778, 000.00. However, it was not possible to tell who the recipients were. Apart from payment vouchers, no other records or information was availed to show how the cards were utilized.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That he concurred with the Auditor that at the time of Audit the supporting documents had not been attached; and
2. That the documents had subsequently been submitted and cleared by the auditors.

## **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditor had seen and verified the relevant documents and was satisfied.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee guided by the verification of the Auditor-General was satisfied with the documents presented by the Governor and urged the Governor to ensure timely submission of documents during audit reviews.**

## **3.0 Jobs Reserved for Youth, Women and Persons with Disabilities- Kshs.1,097,278.00.**

Paragraph 2 of Treasury Circular No.14/2014 of 25<sup>th</sup> September, 2013, requires that a number of tenders be reserved for the Youth, Women and Persons with Disabilities. This is in line with the national government policy to reserve 30% of all the annual procurements to special groups. Examination of payment vouchers showed that the County Government did not abide by this requirement.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That during the said financial year, there were capacity challenges, limiting the County from adhering to this provision;

2. There was a scarcity of registered entities in the said categories who could do business with the County at the time; and
3. That the County was now compliant.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that-

1. the Auditor- General had seen and verified the relevant documents and was not satisfied; and
2. the County was late in adhering to the provisions of the law.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee cautioned the Governor, to ensure that the matter did not appear in future audit reports.**

#### **4.0 Allowances for Preparing Final Accounts**

According to best practices of financial management in the public service, an officer should not draw extra pay or allowances when carrying out his/her normal duties which are supposed to be done within the designated duty station.

Officers were paid Kshs.457,000 as travelling and accommodation allowances to prepare financial statements for the period March to June 2013.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government used its own staff to prepare financial statements for the financial year; and
2. That the said staff undertook the exercise as a workshop.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that there was no evidence of where the workshop had taken place and a report on the same was not availed.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The committee recommends that the Governor be surcharged Kshs.457,000 for failure to provide information under section 62(1)(c) of the Public Audit Act, 2015.**

### **4.1 Liabilities Not Qualifying for Payment**

According to Section 130(1) (c) of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012, the County Executive Member for Finance should submit to the County Assembly information regarding any payments and liabilities to be made or incurred by the County Government for which an appropriation is not included in an Appropriation Account, together with the Constitutional or National Legislative Authority for any such payments or liabilities.

The County Government paid Kshs.6,375,000.00 towards settling liabilities incurred by the defunct local authority. These were casual salary arrears amounting to Kshs.3,375,000.00 and Pay As You Earn (PAYE) arrears amounting to Kshs.3,000,000. The handing over of assets and liabilities of the former Local Authority had not been finalized. No budgetary allocation was availed for the same. Further, no legal provision was stated to show the basis of making such payments.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government budgeted and paid salaries and statutory deductions;
2. That the payments were based on demand letters from the statutory agencies.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee was not satisfied with the Governor's response as no evidence of the staff paid was availed.

## **5.0 Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Livestock**

### **i) Funds Not Accounted for**

According to Section 162(2) (i)&(ii) of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012, every public officer shall ensure that the resources within the officer's area of responsibility are used in a way that is lawful and authorized; effective, efficient, economical and transparent.

The Acting Chief Officer in the department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Livestock was advanced Kshs.1,352,622.00 in his capacity as chief officer. The amount remained unaccounted for.

### **Committee Observation**

**The Office of the Auditor General on verification, was satisfied that all relevant recoveries were made.**

### **ii) Procurements Not Planned for or Vouched**

According to Section 26(3) (a) and (c), of the Public Procurement Act, all procurement should be-

- (a) within the approved budget of the procuring entity and shall be planned by the procuring entity concerned through an annual procurement plan; and
- (b) handled by different offices in respect of procurement initiation, processing and receipt of goods and services.

Farm inputs worth Kshs.30,303,302.00 were procured for the department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Livestock. However, there was no detailed annual procurement plan showing what the department intended to do during the financial year. Also, it was not possible to vouch for all the stages of the procurement process including invitation for bids, buying and returning of bid documents, evaluation and finally award of contracts as not all information was made available for audit review.



## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That they had submitted their procurement plan for verification; and
2. That subsequently the surrenders were done after compilation of all the activities.

## **Committee Observation**

**The Office of the Auditor General on verification, was satisfied that all relevant recoveries were made.**

### **iii) Procurement of Tractors and Ploughs**

According to Section 26 (3) (c) of the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005, all procurement should be handled by different offices in respect of procurement initiation, processing and receipt of goods, works and services. Further, section 34 (1 –4) of the Act requires the procuring entity to prepare specific requirements of what is to be procured based on performance rather than design, trademark, name, design, type, producer or service unless there is no other way of describing the requirement .

The County Government of Busia procured seven tractors and ploughs at Ksh.48,335,571 from Cooper Motors Corporation (CMC). Although government tender prices were used to do the procurement, it was not possible to tell specifications of the user requirements and whether there was a budget for the same.

The unit prices obtained from the letter of offer from the Ministry of Public Works to the Sales Manager, M/s CMC Motors Group Limited, did not include Value Added Tax (VAT). As a result, the county government ended up paying VAT of Kshs.6,176,000.00.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That it was true that the relevant documents were not submitted during the time of audit; and,
2. That the supporting documents had since been submitted for verification to the Auditors.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditor-General had seen and verified the relevant documents and was satisfied.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee guided by the verification of the Auditor-General was urged the Governor to ensure timely submission of documents during audit reviews.**

#### **iv) Tree Planting Project- Kshs.7,000,000.00**

(a) According to the Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports Circular No.10 of 2009/10, before funding, the following preliminary activities should be carried out i.e. identification of land for planting trees which must be for public utility, identification of appropriate species with the help of forest officers and identification of the youth groups to be engaged in the tree planting programme.

A work plan was prepared and Kshs.7,000,000 advanced against the same. However, no entry was made in the departmental cash book. There was no evidence of having first identified the sites where the seedlings were to be planted. Also there was no involvement of the forestry department in identifying suitable tree species.

A visit to some sites within Matayos sub-county showed most of the seedlings were of blue gum species. They were not doing well since the area is dry. Some were being destroyed by termites and domestic animals.

### **(b) Implementation Stage**

According to paragraph 7.0 of Ministry of Youth Affairs and Sports Circular No.8 of 2009/2010, the role of the Youth Officers was to monitor and evaluate the project for implementation and sustainability. There was no evidence of supervision by the county staff, despite having incurred a cost of Kshs.185,000.00

### **(c) Payments and Supervisions**

Youth Officers were to make payments to the youth and keep proper records. They were also to monitor and supervise the works to ensure that the trees survived.

Local purchase orders (LPOs) were raised for assorted tree seedlings. Tree seedlings were paid for, before being certified as received in good order and condition. No muster rolls were maintained to show the number of days each youth worked to support payments.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That there was involvement of the forest department in the tree planting exercise contrary to the Auditor's report;
2. That the trees were certified by the same department before payment was done;
3. That the omission of Ksh.7,000,000 had since been corrected: and,
4. That there was evidence of monitoring and evaluation as per site minutes and muster roll to support the number of days worked.
5. That the payments were based on demand letters from the statutory agencies.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the Governor failed to submit the muster roll, payment schedule and other relevant documents as directed by the Committee.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the Director of Public Prosecutions takes appropriate action with a view of charging the Governor under section 62(1)(c) of the Public Audit Act, 2015.**

### **(v) Kenya Inter-Counties Sports and Cultural Association (KICOSCA) Games**

According to practice of organizing events like KICOSCA, there should be a time table or programme from the organizers to the concerned parties showing when the event will take place, the cost to be incurred and who will meet the costs.

However, only the departmental cash book together with bank statement and payment vouchers were availed for audit review. All other information relating to the schedule of the games and related costs was not made available for audit review. As a result, the scope of the audit was limited. Payments of Kshs.16,709,750 were made.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That at the time of the Audit, the relevant documents were not submitted for audit review;
2. That the timetable and schedules were subsequently submitted for verification to the Auditors.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee was not satisfied with the responses as the annexures provided were not satisfactory, especially since the payment schedules were missing.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the Director of Public Prosecutions takes appropriate action with a view of charging the Governor under section 62(1)(c) of the Public Audit Act, 2015.**

## **5.1 Accounting Records**

### **i) Main Cash Books**

Two (2) column cashbooks were maintained. It was noted that the cash books were not balanced on monthly basis and reconciled with the bank statements.

### **ii) Vote Book**

The county maintained manual vote book which was not fully completed as there were no commitments and payments recorded.

### **iii) Payment Vouchers**

Cases were noted where payment vouchers did not have vote book certificates confirming availability of funds against the chargeable item. Further, most payment vouchers lacked serial numbers and were not certified by heads of departments as required by the existing financial regulations.

### **iv) Local Purchase Service Orders**

There were no duplicates, triplicates and quadruplicates in the books. Only the original copies were available and their copies were used in the preparation of the payment vouchers.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government's main cash books had not been maintained at the time of audit due to capacity challenges, but documents have been updated and availed to the auditor for audit verification;
2. That the County Government uses the IFMIS platform;
3. That the approvals by the heads of departments are done electronically on the IFMIS system using their mandates.
4. Vote books are also kept electronically by the same system;

5. That the County Government has now introduced local purchase orders and local service orders which have duplicates, triplicates and quadruplicates as recommended by the Auditor

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query noted with concern that the County Government did not maintain their books in line with set standards.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee reprimands the Governor and recommends that the Governor adheres to the recommendations of the Auditor- General set out in the Audit Report.**

### **6.0 Imprest management**

Government Financial regulations and procedures require holders of temporary imprests to surrender or account for imprest within 48(forty eight) hours after returning to their duty station. Further, the regulations require accounting officers to recover the full amount from the salary of the imprest holder in the event that the officer fails to account for the imprest on the due date.

- (i) Temporary Imprest amounting to Kshs.18,317,913.00 issued to various officers among them members of the County Executive had not been surrendered by 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014. The audit also revealed that officers were issued additional imprest before surrender of previous issues ending up with individuals holding several imprest un-surrendered which is against the regulations.
- (ii) Issuance of Temporary Imprest for Procurement of Goods and Services  
Treasury Circular No. 3/2010 of 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2010 provides that temporary imprest should not be used for procurement of goods and services. Officers were advanced imprest amounting to Kshs.7,124,473.00 for purchase of various items against financial regulations. Procurement of goods and services in cash denies

the Government the benefits of value for money through competitive bidding processes.

(iii) Un-accounted for Imprest

According to section 52(3) of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012, a Public Officer to whom a cash advance is made shall account for the use of the advance within a reasonable time. It was observed that an imprest of Kshs.5,919,500 which should have been accounted for on or before 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 was still outstanding as at July 2014. Records further show that officers were issued with multiple imprests prior to accounting for the previous ones.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

**1. Temporary imprest amounting to Kshs.18,317,913.00**

That the imprest was fully surrendered and the surrender documents availed to the auditors for verification;

**2. Issuance of temporary imprest for procurement of goods and services. 7,124,473.00**

That the County Government issued imprest to various officers including those from the department of roads, transport and infrastructure development to address emergencies in view of the then prevailing circumstances. These imprests were duly surrendered after the respective duties for which they were issued were completed.

**3. Unaccounted imprest of Kshs.5,919,500.00**

That the imprest of Kshs.5,919,500.00 was outstanding at the time of audit. However, the imprest was fully surrendered and availed for audit verification.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that documents verifying the surrender of un-accounted for imprest of Ksh.5,919,500 was not submitted to the Committee or Auditors.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query recommends disciplinary action against officers who did not surrender imprest within the stipulated timelines. The Governor should institute recoveries with accrued interest thereon at the CBK rates, failing which the Governor should be held liable.**

#### **7.0 Un-surrendered Receipt books**

Scrutiny of the counterfoil receipt book register revealed that some revenue collection books issued as early as October 2013 had not been returned as of the time of concluding the audit in July 2014. Revenue collected through such books may not have been accounted for satisfactorily.

The Governor presented that receipt books were fully surrendered after the audit.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditor-General had seen and verified the receipt books and was satisfied.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee guided by the verification of the Auditor-General was satisfied with the documents presented by the Governor and urged the Governor to ensure timely submission of documents during audit reviews.**

#### **8.0 Over-Expenditure on Domestic Travel, Subsistence and other Transportation Costs Kshs.40,292, 330.00**



An amount of Kshs.127, 698, 568.00 was spent on domestic travel, subsistence and other transportation costs , between July 2013 and June 2014 against a provision of Kshs.87, 406, 238.00 resulting in an over expenditure of Kshs.40,292,330.00 or 46.1% of the approved expenditure budget.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That, as at May 2014 when the audit was conducted, there was no over expenditure of the said amount due to under budgeting.; and,
2. That the over expenditure was later fully budgeted for in the last supplementary budget estimates that was approved in June, 2014 to comply with section 135 of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditor-General had seen and verified the relevant documents and was satisfied.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee guided by the verification of the Auditor-General was satisfied with the documents presented by the Governor and urged the Governor to ensure timely submission of documents during audit reviews.**

### **9.0 Unimplemented Projects - Kshs.293,637,700.00**

According to Regulation 21(1) (b) of the Public Procurement and Disposal Regulations, 2006, the Annual Procurement Plan for each procuring entity shall include a schedule of the planned delivery time of implementation dates for all goods, works or services required. During the year under review, the County received funds for various projects.

However, projects amounting to Kshs.293,637,700.00 had not been implemented as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. Projects for the previous year were not done hence delaying the service delivery for which they were intended to fulfill.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the budget was approved in December 2013, and the first development fund disbursement was received in late December 2013;
2. That the long procurement process to be followed delayed implementation of most of the projects that were to be carried out during the Financial Year;
3. That part of the development funds of Kshs.307,116,689.00 meant for implementing the projects was received after the closure of the financial year on 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2014; and
4. That the County re-voted and implemented projects in the FY 2014/2015

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditor-General had seen and verified the relevant documents and was satisfied.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee guided by the verification of the Auditor-General was satisfied with the documents presented by the Governor and urged the Governor to ensure timely submission of documents during audit reviews.**

### **10.0 Un- Updated Fixed Assets Register**

Government controls over non-current assets require all Public Entities to maintain a fixed assets register to help in safeguarding its assets.

During the year under review, fixed assets worth Kshs.863,929,779.00 were acquired as per details extracted from the 2013/2014 Financial Statements.

<b>Item Description</b>	<b>Estimated value (Kshs)</b>
Refurbishment of Buildings	116,142,923.00
Construction	49,982,080.00
Motor vehicles	25,022,000.00
Furniture and Equipment	14,958,189.00
Plant and Equipment	53,866,000.00
Land	5,210,000.00
ICT assets	24,574,594.00
<b>Total</b>	<b><u>289,753,786.00</u></b>

Although the County Government had opened a fixed assets register to record assets, the register was not updated with the additional purchase of land, buildings, furniture and equipment. Further, no motor vehicle details e.g date of acquisition, invoice and payment voucher number were indicated in the asset register.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor responded as follows:

1. That there were challenges in ascertaining the exact ownership of some of the assets inherited from the defunct local authority and the devolved units from the national government;
2. That Transition Authority had not handed over the assets of both the defunct local authorities and the devolved units to the County Government; and
3. That the asset register was updated with acquisitions made within the FY 2013/14 and availed to auditors for verification.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditor General had expressed concern that there was no fixed asset register from the defunct Transition Authority.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee noted that IGTRC with other stakeholders has embarked on an asset verification exercise for all Counties, due for completion in March 2017. This once done should be submitted to the Senate.**

#### **11.1 IT Internal Control Environment**

The Control Objectives of Information Technology (COBIT) business processes require organizations to perform the following in respect of their IT internal control environment:

- Manage the IT investment;
- Communicate management aims and direction;
- Manage IT Human resources;
- Manage quality;
- Manage projects;
- Manage changes;
- Define and manage service levels;
- Manage third party services; and
- Monitor and evaluate IT performance.

Information Technology (IT) management was not supported by an established IT strategic committee and IT steering committee. Further, there were neither documented tested emergency procedures to provide guidelines for emergency response nor any offset ICT continuity and disaster recovery plan to cater for disaster management. The county government is in the process of establishing its own IT strategic committee and IT

steering committee to help in the governance of IT. This will set out the IT strategic plan and policies and procedure.

## **11.2 Internal Audit and Audit Committees**

Treasury Circular No. 16/2005, Ref: No. MOF/IAG/GEN/055/(16) dated 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2005 and Circular No. 3/2009, Ref: No. MOF/IAG/033/(75) dated 23<sup>rd</sup> February, 2009 provided guidelines for the development of an efficient and effective internal audit function in the public service through sound Risk Management Policy Framework and a competent audit committee with oversight role.

Internal audit work for the year covered a limited scope on unused receipt books, casual wages and rehabilitation of Matayos/Rakite Water Project, verification of Assets and Liabilities of the defunct local authorities.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government had established an IT Steering Committee to help set out the IT strategic plan and ICT Road Map; and
2. That the County Government has a functional internal audit directorate;
3. That the County is in the process of establishing an internal audit committee to improve management of the internal audit functions awaiting guidelines from the Salaries and Remuneration Commission; and
4. That the County Government has written to the Commission seeking guidelines on the same.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query recommends that the County Audit committee should be in place as per the SRC regulations of July 2016.**

## **12.1 Salaries payments not included in the IPPD Payroll – Kshs.16,531, 593.00**

Regulation 5.10 of the Government Financial Regulations and Procedures provides the criteria for the processing of computerised payrolls. All the payments of salaries for all officers other than casuals and works paid staff should be processed through Integrated Personnel Payroll Data (IPPD) program. One hundred and twenty (120) officers of the County Government of Busia were not included in the IPPD program during the year and thus were paid salaries through vouchers. The payments were effected for six (6) months from January 2014 to June 2014. The officers had not been allocated IPPD Payroll Identification numbers. Personal files for the officers were also not provided thus it was not possible to examine their respective terms of engagement.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the officers were from the ministry of health and sanitation, mainly the ESP workers and newly employed staff whose personal numbers had not been processed and uploaded in the IPPD;
2. That Officers have since been absorbed by the County Government and put on the payroll;

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that-

1. there wasn't sufficient evidence that all the workers who had been included in the appendices had been fully transferred/absorbed by the County Government; and
2. IPPD payroll numbers and personal files were not availed for verification

### **Committee Recommendation**

The Committee recommends that the Governor be reprimanded for not maintaining proper human resources records

## **12.2 Performance Management**

Section C of the County Public Service Human Resource Manual of May, 2013 requires the County Executive Committee to design a performance management plan to evaluate performance of the county public service and the implementation of county policies as provided for under section 47 of the County Governments Act, 2012. The plan sets out the criteria for performance appraisal.

The County Government had not established the required performance management plan. Further, there was no evidence of staff performance appraisal reports during the year to assess as comprehensively and objectively as possible the officers' performance against set targets and is aimed at the realization of the overall departmental objective. The management concurred with the finding and advised that the plan was in the process of being developed. Staff performance appraisal was yet to be carried out as the financial year had not ended by the time of audit.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented that the County Government had now established a performance contracting system which is being implemented through the performance management contracting committee, and the performance contracting steering committee to evaluate the performance of the county public service and the implementation of county policies and development agenda.

## **CHAPTER THREE:**

### **TANA RIVER COUNTY EXECUTIVE**

The Governor of Tana River, Hon. Hussein Dado invited was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of Tana River County Government for the financial year 2013/2014. Hon. Dado appeared before the Committee on Monday, 1<sup>st</sup> August and Wednesday, 17<sup>th</sup> August, 2016. The Committee considered the audit queries against the Governors response and made recommendations accordingly:

The Governor's written submission and relevant evidence collected are marked as **ANNEX. 3**

#### **1.1 Procurement of Road Works**

The County Executive of Tana River undertook construction of various roads within Galole District amounting to Kshs.97,286,068.80 under the development budget for the year 2013/2014. However, no development plan describing significant capital projects to be undertaken was made available for audit review contrary to the provisions of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012. In addition, evidence of approval of the projects by the Executive Committee was not availed for audit verification. Further procurement initiation records as required by Regulation 9 of the Procurement Regulations, 2006 were also not available for audit review. No documentary support was submitted to enable confirmation as to whether the relevant procurement committees were duly appointed as per the Public Procurement and Disposal Regulations, 2006 and also there was no preliminary evaluation report as required by Regulation 47.

The contract agreements for the road works that were availed did not specify the contract period as required and there was no evidence of award of the tender by a validly constituted Tender Committee contrary to the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005. In the circumstances, it was not possible to confirm whether the procurement of road works amounting to Kshs.97,286,068.80 was done in line with the Public



Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 and the related Public Procurement and Disposal Regulations 2006 and 2013.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That in the development budget of the financial year 2013/2014 the County Government undertook to maintain various roads in Tana River Sub-county as per the development plan;
2. That the prioritized roads were approved by the CEC and recommended for bidding
3. That the road works were advertised in the local Daily Newspapers dated 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2014 and 26<sup>th</sup> March, 2014.
4. subsequent procurement procedures were duly adhered to.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the documents were not availed at the time of Audit; and,
2. the Auditors were satisfied after subsequent verification of the submitted documents.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query, and having understood the County's security concerns during the time of audit, recommends that the Senate reprimands the Governor for late submission of documents contrary to section 62 (1)(c) of the Public Audit Act, 2015.**

### **1.2 Repairs of the County Assembly**

Records availed for audit indicate that the County Government undertook repairs and refurbishment of the County Assembly's debating chambers on behalf of the County Assembly at a cost of Kshs.6,883,150. The process which the County Executive used for sourcing and selection of the contractor for this refurbishment had the following anomalies.

- i. The tender was awarded through a restricted tendering process in June 2013 without the participation of the County Assembly Management.
- ii. The use of restricted tendering process had no prior approval from the county tender committee.
- iii. The tender committee approved the use of restricted tendering four months after the tender had closed and the bids were already opened (Tender closed and opened on 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2013, approval or use of restricted tender granted on 8 October 2013).
- iv. The contract was awarded at a cost of Kshs.6,883,150 and a mobilization payment through the first certificate amounting to Kshs.5,604,134 represents 81.5% paid before works commencement.
- v. Although the works indicated supply of hansard equipment for the chambers, no evidence was availed for audit to show that the equipment met the detailed specifications.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor Presented as follows:

1. That the County Government in the development budget of the financial 2013/2014 undertook the repairs and refurbishment of the county assembly debating chamber on behalf of the County Assembly at a cost of Kshs.6,883,150 in full participation of the county assembly management as per the tender evaluation report dated July 2014 for tender No. CATR/20/2/2013/2014;
2. That the repairs to the county assembly debating chambers were an emergency since it formed the basis for the establishment of the County Government; and,

3. That the contract was awarded on 8<sup>th</sup> October 2013 and the first interim payment certificate was done on 12<sup>th</sup> November, 2013.
4. The contractor was paid 81.5% of the contract sum a result of work done as at 11<sup>th</sup> November , 2013 as per the Valuation Report

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. there was no evidence of prior approval for the use of the restricted mode of tendering; and,
2. the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents;

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query noted that the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 was breached, and recommends that the Director of Public Prosecutions takes appropriate action with a view of charging and prosecuting the individuals responsible, and recovering the amounts lost with accrued interest.**

### **1.3 Construction of Perimeter Wall around the County Government Offices**

Documents availed for audit review indicated that on 26<sup>th</sup> September 2013 a contractor was awarded a contract to construct a perimeter wall around the County Government offices at a cost of Kshs.16,323,288. However, records availed for audit review indicated that the contractor was paid a total of Kshs.19,201,417 resulting to unexplained or unsupported excess expenditure of Kshs.2,878,129. Further, records availed for audit review indicated that the County Executive under unclear circumstances used restricted tendering for the procurement of construction works for the wall contrary to the Public Procurement and Disposal Regulations, 2006 and 2013.

Also the evaluation of the works was done without an indication of participation of the user department contrary to Regulation 9 (b) of the Public Procurement and Disposal

Regulations, 2006 and the engineer's estimates. In the circumstances, it was not possible to ascertain whether the County Assembly obtained value for money in the construction of the perimeter wall.

### Submission from the Governor

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the offices which were being used as county headquarters were not fenced;
2. That the County treasury while reconciling the difference of un-supported expenditure of Kshs.2,878,129 in the construction of the perimeter wall obtained the payment vouchers that were used to pay the contractor and analyzed them as shown below.

<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Payment voucher No.1058 ( <i>1<sup>st</sup> Payment</i> )	8,732,016
Payment voucher No.1940 ( <i>2<sup>nd</sup> Payment</i> )	6,016,897
Payment voucher No. 3711( <i>3<sup>rd</sup> Payment</i> )	1,505,053
Payment Voucher ( <i>Retention Amount</i> )	774,289
Total Amount paid as per the voucher	17,028,255
Inspection and acceptance report recommendation	2,173,161
<b>Total amount Paid as per audit report</b>	<b>19,201,416</b>
Total Contract Sum	16,323,288
Difference as per audit report	2,878,128

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that there was no evidence of prior approval for the use of restricted mode of tendering.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee having considered the governor’s mitigation, that the County treasury while reconciling the difference of un- supported expenditure of Kshs.2,878,129 in the construction of the perimeter wall, obtained the payment vouchers that were used to pay the contractor and provided a breakdown of the analysis. The Committee therefore recommends that the issue stands resolved.**

#### **1.4 Purchase of Emergency Relief Food**

Records availed for audit indicate that the County Government procured relief food amounting to Kshs.88,000,600. However, the procurement process had the following anomalies.

- i. The advertisement for supply of the relief food did not indicate the items to be supplied and the quantities for each item.
- ii. Bids were received, evaluated and awarded even though there was no clear basis of evaluation.

In addition, although the County Government had a budget for the procurement of relief food amounting to Kshs.22,937,500, an additional amount of Kshs.22,937,500 was reallocated from subsidies to Finance Institution Women Empowerment Vote. No approvals for the reallocation was availed for audit verification. Further, although part payment of Kshs.45,875,000 was made in respect of the supply, no evidence to show that the supplies were received at the county and distributed to the beneficiaries was availed for audit review. In the circumstances, it had not been possible to ascertain whether or not the procurement process was competitively carried out in accordance with Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 and the County executive obtained value for money in the purchase of emergency relief food.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor Presented as follows:

1. That the County Government advertised for the supplies of relief food in a local daily on 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2014;
2. That after taking note of the anomaly in the advertisement that the food stuff and quantities to be supplied were not included, another advertisement to correct the anomaly was placed in the local daily on 12<sup>th</sup> March, 2014;
3. That this formed the basis for evaluation of the bids received;
4. That the County Government in the financial year 2013/2014 had budgeted for Kenya shillings 100 million for purchase and distribution of foods and non -food items to vulnerable households during crisis under the department of special programs; and,
5. That the county received all the supplies and distributed to all beneficiaries as per the District Steering Group Report, Delivery notes, S13 and Way bills.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. There was an unnecessary expenditure for two newspaper advertisements
2. the officer responsible had been sacked for incompetence;
3. the district steering committee in the county determines where the food is distributed within the County, and the Auditor verified the list of recipients;
4. the Governor clarified that the actual figure of the budget was 100 million and not 10 million as erroneously stated in the documentation provided to the committee;
5. all relief maize food was negotiated in a tripartite agreement to be purchased from Hola Irrigation scheme at a price of Kshs.3,000 per bag as an incentive to alleviate farmers' debt.

6. Farmer production capacity was 60,000 bags, but an aflatoxin rumour that the maize from 11 out of 12 villages was contaminated caused panic. Investigations by KEPHIS revealed that it was only one village that had maize contaminated by aflatoxin. the farmers however, panicked and sold to others at a cheaper price and not to the county government;

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee was satisfied with the explanation provided, given that the Auditor General had verified the evidence subsequently submitted including the amount of 100 million, and was satisfied that the issue was satisfactorily explained**

#### **1.5 Hire of Motor Vehicle**

Records availed for audit reflected that Kshs.9,634,000 was spent on hiring of vehicles to transport officers within the County during public participation forums and outside the County attending workshops and meetings. However, there was no evidence of how the suppliers were identified as no pre-qualification list was availed for audit verification.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows

1. That during the financial year 2013/2014 the county government had not acquired enough vehicles for use by the county Government; and
2. That the County had pre-qualified suppliers of transport services to the county .

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the documents regarding the identification of pre-qualified suppliers were not availed at the time of audit; and,
2. upon later submission of the documents, the Auditors were satisfied with the pre-qualification list .

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends the that query stands resolved.**

### **1.6 Procurement through Imprest**

Records availed for audit indicates that the County Government procured goods using imprest without involvement of the procurement department. The users were observed to raise requests for items from which an imprest was issued to travel to Malindi or Mombasa to procure the items. A total of Kshs.3,769,583 from a sample verified was found to have been procured through imprest and items procured included laptops, iPads, carpets and television sets.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government required basic amenities and hence approved payment of imprest to the officers as required by section 152 of the public finance management Act; and,
2. That the procurement of these items was contributed by the urgency with which the items were required.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that after verifying the submitted documents, the Auditors were satisfied that the approval was actually given.*

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends the query stands resolved.**

### **1.7 Procurement of Laptops, Ipads, Xray, Ultra Sound Machine and Public Address Systems**

Records availed for audit indicates that the County Government procured goods without developing specific requirements. Items such as laptops, Ipads, Xray, ultra sound



machine and public address systems and other various items amounting to Kshs.45,811,614 were procured without specifications being developed as only general descriptions were made in the name of laptop, Ipads and Xray.

Users of specialized machines such as Xray and Ultra sound were not involved in providing the specifications. Further, in the absence of an annual procurement plan, it was not possible to confirm that the items procured were required and that sufficient funds had been budgeted for the items. There was no evidence of inspection or acceptance report in support of the items procured notwithstanding that various items had been directly procured without the necessary approvals as per the law.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the county experienced challenges in attracting experts in the relevant fields of expertise;
2. That the county had since hired experts including engineers, quantity surveyors, doctors, anesthetists, IT experts;
3. That these experts were involved in preparation of specifications for the procurement of various goods and services in their departments; and,
4. That the specifications were clear in the LPO and LSO though the documents could not be availed in time due the resignation of the CEC Finance who was the custodian of the documents.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted document.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**Noting that the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 was breached, the Committee recommends that the Director of Public Prosecutions takes appropriate**

**action with a view of prosecuting the individuals responsible and recovering the amounts lost with accrued interest.**

### **1.8 Procurement of Conference Facilities Services**

Records availed for audit indicate that the County spent a total of Kshs.12,612,474 on acquisition of conference facilities, accommodation, catering, communication, board and committee expenses. However, there was no evidence of how the suppliers were identified as no pre-qualification list of suppliers was availed for audit verification. In some cases, the procurement was done through cash payment, in contravention of section 29 of the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005. The relevance of the services to the county executive could also not be confirmed.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That during the financial year 2013/2014 the county government spent monies on acquisition of conference facilities, catering, and communication and board committees as provided for in the budget; and,
2. That the County had pre-qualified suppliers for the above services.

#### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents.*

#### **Committee Recommendation**

**Noting that the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 was breached, the Committee recommends that the Director of Public Prosecutions takes appropriate action with a view of prosecuting the individuals responsible.**

## **1.9 Motor Vehicle Repairs**

Information availed for audit shows that the county spent a total of Kshs.7,252,800 on purchase of tyres and maintenance of motor vehicles amounting to Kshs.4,622,700 and 2,630,100 respectively. However, there was no evidence of how the suppliers were identified as no pre-qualification list was availed for audit verification. No records were availed indicating the registration numbers of vehicles fitted with the tyres and recording of defects in the work tickets before repairs could be undertaken. The basis of these repairs was therefore not clear as no inspection and mechanical works reports were availed for audit verification.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That in the financial year 2013/2014 the county government spent monies on repairs and maintenance of its motor vehicles;
2. That the vehicles were inherited from the devolved ministries and the defunct county council; and,
3. That Suppliers of the services were selected from the county's pre-qualified list of suppliers.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. there was no evidence of prior approval for the use of restricted mode of tendering.
2. the Auditors were satisfied with procurement list after verification of the submitted document .

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee urges the Governor to ensure proper financial records of the County are maintained**

## **1.10 Procurement Motor Vehicles**

Tana River County Executive paid Kshs.38,800,000 for the supply and delivery of eight (8) Ford Everest station wagon motor vehicles. It was observed that no procurement process was initiated from the users of these motor vehicles and it appears that direct procurement was made for which no explanation has been made. Though the vehicles were delivered, there was non-compliance to Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 and no registration certificates were available to confirm ownership.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

- i. That the county government adopted the standard national procurement procedures from the Ministry of Transport pre-qualification tenders on the procurement of motor vehicles and while there was no letter from the Ministry of Transport, the County officers collected the list from the Ministry in person.
- ii. That the county therefore settled on Coopers Motor Corporation (CMC) and Toyota Kenya in procuring the motor vehicles. CMC is the sole supplier of FORD Everest in the country, and
- iii. The Governor provided evidence of the following:
  - a. standard national wide procurement pre-qualification list
  - b. Copies of all the logbooks of the vehicles, and
  - c. Payment Vouchers for the four vehicles amounting to 24 million which were availed to the Office of the Auditor-General for verification

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor general had seen and verified the relevant documents and was satisfied.*

## **2.0 Domestic Travel Costs**

Records made available revealed that the County Executive had utilized Kshs.11,372,316 for domestic travel. These payments were not supported with adequate relevant

documentation. Documentation presented lacked authorization for journeys made, work tickets and bus tickets as proof of travel.

The Governor presented that the relevant travel documents had been availed to the Auditors.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor general had not received work tickets that were to be earlier availed for verification. These were later submitted and found to be in order.*

### **3.0 Salary Payment and Refund of Medical Expenses**

The County Executive of Tana River paid an amount of Kshs.894,385.95 as basic salaries for Ministry of Health employees in the County. However, no supporting documentation in form of payroll for the officers of the Ministry was availed for audit review.

In addition, during the period under review an amount of Kshs.336,000 was advanced to the County Executive Member responsible for Health as a refund for paying medical fee for a County Government employee from his own sources who was involved in an accident.

However, no accident report was availed to support the claim to confirm that at the time of accident the said employee was in the line of duty. No policy is in existence to enable refund of such claims whenever they occur.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented the following:

- i. The county treasury paid Kshs.894,385.95 as basic salary for MOH employees through payment voucher 3366 and 3386 of Kshs.792,286.55 and 102,099.40;
- ii. That the medical refund of Kshs.336,000 was a refund to the county executive member of health Mr. Salim Mohamed vide payment voucher no. 605 who had

paid the medical bill of a County Officer who was involved in an accident while in the course of duty at Mater Hospital Nairobi and who later passed on; and

iii. The respective payroll supporting these payments were fully surrendered after the audit.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor general had seen and verified the relevant documents and was satisfied.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the issue stands resolved.**

#### **4.0 Store Records for Drugs**

During the period under review an amount of Kshs.14,500,546 was paid to Kenya Medical Supplies Agency for supply and delivery of drugs to hospitals in the County. Included in the Kshs.14,500,546 were drugs amounting to Kshs.2,641,732 supplied and delivered to Hola District Hospital. Perusal of records revealed that the drugs had not been recorded in the stores records. Verification in the form of physical counts or confirmation of the stock levels was therefore not possible.

The Governor presented that during audit, the stores ledger card (S3) had been misplaced but was later found and availed for Audit verification.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor general had seen and verified the relevant documents and was satisfied.*

## **Committee Recommendation**

The Committee recommends a reprimand for the Governor, because documents were availed one year later, and drug supply issues should not be taken lightly. This was an offence under the Public Audit Act, 2015.

### **5.0 Travel and Accommodation**

#### **5.1 Travel and Accommodation Claims**

Records availed for audit review indicated that the County Government paid a total of Kshs.10,489,756.25 on travelling and accommodation expenses for the officers. However, the expenditure was not supported with documentary evidence such as signed schedules, work tickets, detailed work programmes or records of meetings attended and mode of travel outside their duty stations. It was also not made clear how some of the payments were made without authority by authorizing officers.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

- i. That the procedure followed regarding travel costs is that the staff of the Tana River County Government normally make formal request to the County Secretary requesting his approval of the intended journeys;
- ii. That County secretary approval forms the necessary authority to initiate travel claims; and
- iii. That the county treasury therefore uses this approval as attachments to the relevant payment vouchers together with other necessary documents such as bus tickets, work tickets to support the travel claims.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that that subsequently the documents were provided to the Auditor General and verified.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the issue stands resolved.**

### **5.2 Air Travel Expenses**

Information availed for audit review indicated that the County Government paid Kshs.2,682,590 on air travel for officers. However, the payments were not supported by local service orders. Also the payments were done without disclosing how the two suppliers were engaged to offer air travel services to the County Executive. Similarly, the County Executive did not avail documentary evidence on their air travel policy to determine officers entitled to use air transport.

In addition, the county executive was invoiced and paid Kshs.7,089,415 for air tickets during the period under review. Evidence of actual travel in form of boarding passes was not availed for verification and the purpose of travelling was not disclosed.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor responded as follows:

- i. That the county has developed a transport policy which serves as term of reference with regards to matters of transport;
- ii. That the county secretary has issued a circular instructing all persons travelling by air to surrender the boarding passes and copies of the visas for those travelling outside the county; and
- iii. That the same should accompany the imprest surrender documents.



### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor General verified the documents however actual boarding passes were not availed, these were later submitted for verification and found to be satisfactory.*

### **5.3 Accommodation and Subsistence Allowance**

Subsistence allowance and accommodation expenditure on domestic and foreign travel amounting Kshs.14,523,704 had no supporting documents. Documentation to support travel details were not availed for audit verification and the recipients of the subsistence allowance amounting to Kshs.14,115,844 did not sign for the cash.

The Governor presented that the adequate relevant travel documents had been availed for verification.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the auditor verified the documents and was satisfied.*

### **6.0 Outstanding Imprest**

Imprest records availed for audit review indicated an outstanding amount of Kshs.8,253,992 where officers were issued with multiple imprests without having surrendered the previous ones contrary to regulations governing imprests. No explanation had been made as to why the imprest was not surrendered as required or recoveries made from the defaulting persons.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That in the financial year 2013/2014 the county had no departmental accountants to account for the imprest leading to officers being issued with multiple imprests; and,

2. That the County Government had since rectified the situation and had recovered monies from officers in default.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee noted that the Auditor general had verified the documents and was satisfied with the recoveries made.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends the issue stands resolved, after subsequent verification from the Auditor revealed that all the recoveries had been made.**

## **7.0 Fuel Expenditure**

The County Executive spent Kshs.5,484,903 on purchase of fuel during the period under review. However, no records had been made available to confirm drawing and recording of fuel in the work tickets as required. The fuel was drawn from suppliers who are not pre- qualified.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the county government employed new drivers who had not been inducted on government procedures and regulations regarding motor vehicle use in government ;
2. That due to the aforementioned, proper documentation was not kept; and,
3. That the said anomalies had been rectified.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor general had verified the documents and was not satisfied with the response provided by the Governor as work tickets had not been submitted for audit review; and
2. the Auditor subsequently reported that the work tickets were later submitted for verification, but were not complete as they did not cover fuel drawn.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the Governor fully recovers the monies lost from the relevant officers.**

### **8.1 Unconfirmed Revenue**

During the period under review, records made available indicated that the County Executive realized revenue amounting Kshs.26,979,968.40 as reflected in the bank pay in slips made available. However, relevant surrender records such as F.O. 17, cash control sheet and official receipts to account for this revenue were not availed for audit review.

Examination of the counterfoil receipt book register revealed that a total of 77 revenue books were outstanding and were long overdue and had not been surrendered as at 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2014 and officers were being issued with new collection receipt books without accounting for previous ones.

During audit review, it was observed that the County Executive was paying a 15% commission on collected revenue to agents. The commission paid amounted to Kshs.839,219.50. However, no contract was availed to confirm how these agents were selected, appointed and the terms of reference determined.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That all revenues collected during the financial year 2013/2014 were banked at the Tana River county Government revenue collection account held at KCB Bank Limited Hola and consequently transferred to the Tana River County Revenue Fund held at Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) thus eliminating possible loss of revenue.
2. That the County Public Service Board had appointed a director of revenue through competitive selection;
3. That the director had subsequently assumed the position of receiver Revenue;
4. That the revenue agents were inherited from the defunct Local Authority of Tana River; and
5. That the 77 revenue books outstanding were those in operation before devolution which became null and void on the onset of the county Government since it introduced new Revenue collection books printed by the Government printer for efficiency.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor general was not satisfied with the response provided by the Governor as there were no annexures provided to support the response, and the matter of the missing receipt books had not been properly accounted for; and,
2. Issued a disclaimer on the query and directed the Governor to submit relevant documentation to the auditors for verification.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the matter be investigated by the relevant authorities with a view of recovery of the Kshs.839,219.50 and the receipt books.**

**Where the law is found to have been contravened, full recovery be made, and prosecution be instituted.**

## **8.2 Under Performance in Collection on Local Generated Revenue**

The County collected Kshs.28,192,397 against budgeted target of Kshs.87,290,000 from local revenue sources. This represented a collection of 32.3% of budgeted local revenue indicating low levels of revenue collection. No explanation has been made to remedy the low collection.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That The county collected Kshs.28,192,397 against a budgeted target of Kshs.87,290,000 and the discrepancy was due to non-remittance by the national government of the contribution in lieu to rates (CILOR) due to the county;
2. That revenue from devolved units was not included in the 2013/2014 collections because the Transition Authority officially transferred the devolved functions to the counties in December, 2013;
3. That revenue collected by the devolved units was included in the 2013/2014 budget but was collected and remitted to the district treasury instead of the county treasury;
4. That in the absence of a Finance Bill the County relied on the defunct county councils fees and charges which were not up to date;
5. That the county had also done mapping of all its revenue sources and was in the process of automating its revenue collecting system.

### **Committee Recommendation:**

**The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query recommends:**

- 1. that the County sets realistic revenue targets in the future and ensure that all money collected locally is banked and properly accounted for;**
- 2. that there is need to come up with an automated system of collecting revenue locally running uniformly across all counties to enhance accountability; and**
- 3. that the module for revenue collection in IFMIS that has not been activated and made operational should be activated with immediate effect.**

### **8.3 Variance between Revenue Recorded in Cashbook and Cash Banking**

The cashbook availed for review reflected revenue collected totalling Kshs.28,967,325.40 in the period from 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2013 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014, while the County revenue collection bank account statements showed a total of Kshs.35,172,475.35 as having been banked resulting in a variance of Kshs.4,458,449.95. No reconciliation on this account had been done to confirm the sources of direct banking of excess revenue.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

- 1. That the variance between the revenue recorded in the cash book and the cash banking of Ksh.4, 458,449.95 arose from the direct banking done by field officers at the sub- county levels; and,**
- 2. That the officers had not submitted their weekly returns by the closure of the financial year for reconciliation thus resulting in the difference**

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor general was not satisfied with the response provided by the Governor as there was no supporting documentation; and
2. after subsequent requests for documents, they were still not availed to the Auditors.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that –**

- 1. the County Government improves on its record keeping and ascertains that all collected revenues are properly banked and accounted for;**
- 2. Investigations be done by the relevant authorities to address the under reporting in the figures.**

### **9.1 Bank Reconciliation Statement**

Records availed for audit review indicated that the County Government maintained three accounts at Central Bank and three accounts at Kenya Commercial bank for development, planning and revenue. However, no bank reconciliation was availed for audit review for the six bank accounts despite the fact that the bank statement balance seen reflected a significant balance amounting to Kshs.1,283,894,952 as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That during the financial year 2013/14, the County executive did not prepare bank reconciliation statements for its accounts as stated because;
  - a) The County did not maintain a cashbook for the county revenue fund account

- b) The County was using the G-Pay system for payment purposes but the system could not generate bank statements for the Recurrent and Development accounts
  - c) The IFMIS System which was used to generate payments could not generate a cashbook for each account i.e recurrent and development but instead only departmental cashbooks could be generated, this made preparation of bank reconciliation a nightmare.
2. That the challenges have been addressed partially with the introduction of Internet banking hence reconciliations are being prepared as required

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the Governor be reprimanded for not availing timely bank reconciliation statements.**

#### **10.1 Employee Expenses**

Records availed for audit review indicated that the County Executive made payments totaling to Kshs.202,106,903.50 as refund of salaries to National Treasury and Ministry of Health for the period July to November 2013. However, the payments were not supported by details of the beneficiaries and work station.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented that the County executive paid Kshs. 202,106,903.50 being refund of salaries to national treasury and Ministry of health for respective county employees, whose services had been devolved for the period July to November, 2013.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the issue of the National Government requiring Counties to reimburse salaries during that period was widespread across the Counties .*



### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the Inter-Governmental Relations Technical Committee (IGRTC) looks into the deduction of the money by the National Treasury, with a view to resolving the matter between the two levels of government.**

### **10.2 Casual Expenses**

Records made available for audit review revealed that an amount totaling Kshs.5,530,125 was paid as casual expenses. However, no signed payrolls and muster rolls for casuals paid for were maintained and could therefore not be made available to confirm attendance and duties allocated.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented that casual expenses amounting to Kshs. 5,530,125 was paid by the county government to casuals working in various departments within the county.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor general had verified the documents and was satisfied with the response provided by the Governor.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee urged the Governor to comply with the Public Finance Management Act and Labour laws, and further that the issue of a bloated workforce should be ironed out by the County Government as a matter of urgency.**

### **11.0 IT Network Equipment**

Records made available revealed that the Tana River County executive installed IT networking equipment in its offices at a cost of Kshs.7,679,321.49. The inspection and acceptance committee report was not signed as required by all the three signatories .

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That retention money was not deducted from the Interim payment certificate of the supplier because the nature of the work did not warrant deduction of the same; and,
2. That the inspection and acceptance report had been availed for audit verification.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor general had verified the documents;
2. there was an inconsistency with the inspection and acceptance report – as the report was not signed by the Chairman during audit verification but during the meeting with the Committee, the submitted document had been signed.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee noting the late signature of the Chairman appended to the document, recommends that proper and timely procedures must always be followed.**

## **12.0 Budgetary Control**

The annual budget for Tana River County Government as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 was Kshs.2,026,642,022 for recurrent expenditure and Kshs.1,179,455,101 for development expenditure. Expenditure incurred on development during the year amounted to Kshs.94,278,329. Analysis indicates an absorption rate of 7.99%. The absorption rate of 7.99% for development expenditure indicates that few development projects were undertaken during the period under review. No explanation has been made confirming the under absorption of funds.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented that during the financial year 2013/2014 the County faced a number of challenges that affected budget implementation, namely:

- i. Low absorption of the development funds which was caused by delays in preparing procurement plans, work plans and limited technical capacity;
- ii. A delay in appointment of chief officers affected full operation of devolved functions in the first 6 months' period . This resulted in partial implementation of planned activities at the departmental level.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government takes steps to improve on the absorption of development funds and ensures that at least 30% of the funds is spent on development in the future.**



## CHAPTER FOUR

### TRANS NZOIA COUNTY EXECUTIVE

The Governor of Trans Nzoia, Hon. Patrick Khaemba, was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of 2013/2014 financial year on Trans Nzoia County Government. He appeared before the Committee on Tuesday, 17<sup>th</sup> May and Wednesday, 18<sup>th</sup> May, 2016. The Committee considered the audit queries against the Governor's responses and made recommendations accordingly:

The Governor's written submissions and relevant evidence collected are marked as **ANNEX. 4**

#### **1.0 Outstanding Imprest**

Audit review of imprest records showed that as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014, an imprest of Kshs.64,151,407 which ought to have been surrendered by 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 were still outstanding. It was also noted that some officers were holding more than one imprest with one officer holding 54 imprests totaling Kshs.6,214,872 contrary to Regulation 5.6.4 of the Government Financial Regulations and Procedures.

Consequently, the purpose for which the imprest was issued could not be ascertained.

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That out of the queried amount of Kshs.64,151,407, Kshs.38,173,938 had since been accounted for and the culpable officers had been surcharged for the unsurrendered imprest;
2. That the anomaly was caused by the weak systems in the County Government during that period: and,
3. That firm instructions had been issued to relevant officers to adhere to set regulations on the management of imprest.

## **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the Governor did not address the query on how the monies issued were spent.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**Given the fact that the governor had failed to provide any documents, the committee recommends that the governor and all officers responsible be investigated, prosecuted and surcharged with the amount lost, with interest rates charged at prevailing CBK interest rates.**

### **2.0 Payment of Creditors Taken Over From Defunct Local Authorities**

Examination of expenditure records revealed that the County Government of Trans Nzoia paid creditors a total of Kshs.45,168,329, inherited from the defunct Municipal Council of Kitale and County Council of Nzoia. However, there was no proof that the same had been validated by the Transition Authority as was then required by the Transition to Devolved Government Act, 2012. Consequently, the legality and propriety of the expenditure of Kshs.45,168,329 could not be confirmed as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014.

The Governor in his response, presented as follows:

1. That it was true that the County Government paid Ksh.45,168,329 to creditors inherited from the defunct local authorities;
2. Most of these payments were statutory deductions which would have attracted serious penalties if defaulted;
3. That other pending payments were awaiting verification and validation by the defunct Transition Authority.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the action by the Governor to pay outstanding statutory deductions was proper and financially prudent but no supporting documents to prove the said payment had been provided.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015.**

#### **3.1 Hire of Graders**

The County Government of Trans Nzoia made payments amounting Kshs.29,080,000 to various firms in respect of hire of graders. According to the local purchase orders and invoices supporting the payments, the rate is indicated as Kshs.46,560 per day. No documentation had been provided for audit review to show how the suppliers of the graders were identified and the daily charge rate of Kshs.46,560 determined. Further, the details of the graders including the registration numbers and the respective roads worked on had not been availed for audit review.

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the suppliers of graders were identified through restricted tendering and by using guidelines from the Ministry in-charge of Public Works ; and,
2. That the tendering was restricted as a matter of urgency due to the expected onset of long rains at the time.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that-

1. the Governor had not submitted the quotations received for the supply of graders;

2. the open tender procurement method would have been more preferable as it would most probably lead to cheaper costs.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons responsible be investigated and monies lost recovered with interest from those found culpable.**

### **3.2 Bush Clearing and Road Grading**

Audit review of expenditure records showed that payments amounting to Kshs.129,277,002 were made to various firms in respect of bush clearing, road grading and other road maintenance in various county wards. Two other payments totaling Kshs.9,394,800 were made to two firms, M/s HAC Enterprises (Kshs.4,094,000) and M/s Lyavo Enterprises Ltd (Kshs.5,300,800) in respect of marking of roads within Kitale town.

However, in all cases, there were no documents to support how the firms were identified and the contract sums determined. In addition, the list of roads that were to be graded, road contract sums allocated to each contractor and the necessary supporting documents were not availed for audit review.

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That the contractors were identified by restricted tendering, by floating quotations to various pre-qualified contractors; and,
2. That the relevant documents were available for verification.



## **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that-

1. The Governor had not presented requisite documents to the Auditor- General ;
2. Restricted tendering was not the most appropriate procurement method for bush clearing and road grading.
3. The Governor needed to give the necessary documentation to enable the committee consider the query appropriately; and
4. Value for money cannot be ascertained without provision of documents.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and monies lost recovered from those found culpable.**

### **3.3 Cash Purchases**

During the year under review, examination of payment records revealed that the County Government made cash purchases amounting Kshs.31,072,113 as summarized below for goods and services whose values were more than the Class A entitlement as stipulated by Regulations. In addition, no records were availed for audit review to show that the goods and services procured were actually received.

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That these anomalies took place because of staff capacity challenges;
2. That administrative action was taken against the then Chief Finance Officer whom was a seconded officer from the Transition Authority;
3. The Goods and services were received and rendered to the County Government; and,

4. That to address the challenge, the Governor had recruited chief officers to serve all departments.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the relevant documents on this query had not been submitted to the Auditor-General .

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be investigated with a view to prosecution for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, that monies lost be recovered from those found culpable.**

### **3.4 Purchase of Land**

The County Government of Trans Nzoia entered into a land purchase agreement for 10 acres of land with an individual based in Nairobi for Kshs.23million. The land was said to be for the official residence of the Governor. Subsequently, a payment of Kshs.11,500,000 being 50% of the purchase price was made on 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2014 vide payment voucher No.03451. However, there was no documentary evidence to confirm that the tender for purchase of land was advertised as was then required by the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 Regulations.

In addition, no land valuation report was provided for audit review and as such, it was not clear as to how the land was identified and the purchase price determined.

The Governor in his response, presented as follows:

1. That it was true that the County Government paid Ksh.11,500,000 on 19<sup>th</sup> may 2014 Vide PV No. 03451 as 50% of the purchase price for the land; and
2. That the procurement was done through open tender and that relevant documents were available for verification:

## **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that-

1. The Governor had not submitted relevant documents on this query to the Auditor- General; and
2. The agreement was not signed by the seller.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and monies lost recovered from those found culpable.**

### **3.5 Purchase of Uniforms**

A review of payment records showed that an amount of Kshs.2,356,150 was made to a company for supply of staff uniform on 28<sup>th</sup> October 2013 vide payment voucher number 85 and cheque number 403. However, according to stores and delivery records, uniforms worth Kshs.652,400 were supplied as at 31<sup>st</sup> January 2015. No reason had been given for making full payment for goods that had not been supplied.

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the uniforms had since been supplied and issued for use in the County and acknowledged that procedures had not been adhered to;
2. That Andrew Wanyonyi ,the officer who authorized the payment, was interdicted;
3. The EACC was called in to conduct investigation on this and other malpractices which led to the prosecution of six officers and the cases were ongoing.

## **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that-

1. The Governor had not submitted the pro-forma invoices, requisitions and letters of administrative action taken, to the Auditor- General .
2. Documentation was important in order to verify the governor's submissions.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the responsible officers be surcharged and repay the county government by 1<sup>st</sup> May 2017.**

### **3.6.1 Expenditure on Motor Vehicle Insurance**

Review of the documents availed indicated that an amount totaling Kshs.13,289,505 was paid to various insurance firms in respect of insurance premiums for County Government vehicles, motor cycles and graders. However, there was no documentary evidence to confirm that the insurance providers were identified through a competitive bidding process as was then required by the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 and the Regulations.

In addition, there were no valuation reports to support the values of the insured vehicles and it was therefore not possible to confirm how the premiums paid were determined. Further, the insurance policy documents were not provided for audit review. Consequently, the propriety of the premiums paid could not be confirmed.

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That the cost of the new motor vehicles was used to determine the insurance premiums;
2. That for the old vehicles, the Insurance providers were identified through restricted tendering and they conducted the valuation of the vehicles. This was

- a contravention as the valuation ought to have been done by the Ministry in charge of transport; and,
3. That administrative action was taken against the officer who caused the anomaly.
  4. That the relevant documents were available for verification.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that-

1. The Minutes provided by the Governor reveal that the tender had been awarded before and that it was just a ratification exercise;
2. The Minutes were not admissible as they had not been signed;
3. That relevant documents including policy documents, had not been forwarded to the Auditors.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and monies lost recovered from those found culpable.**

#### **3.6.2 Irregular payment for supply of Fuel**

A review of payment records revealed that payments totaling Kshs.4,867,830 were made to two oil dealers between January and May 2014 for supply of fuel against cash sale receipts. The payments were made in January and May 2014 while some of the cash sale receipts supporting the payment date as far back as 30<sup>th</sup> June 2013. There was however no evidence that the fuel paid for was supplied, received and recorded in the stores records. In addition no documentation had been provided to show how the firms were identified and the prices charged determined. Consequently, the propriety of the expenditure of Kshs.4,867,830 cannot be confirmed.

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That this had been occasioned by the challenges experienced by the County Government in terms of proper fuel record keeping;
2. That the firms were identified by competitive bidding; and,
3. That the concerned chief finance officer who was in charge at the time had since been suspended.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the documents had not been presented to the Auditor-General.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and monies lost recovered from those found culpable.**

#### **3.6.3 Fuel Issued to Privately owned Cars**

Audit review of fuel records including fuel register, detailed orders, invoices and statement of accounts from the fuel suppliers revealed that fuel worth Kshs.4,174,818 was drawn from a Petrol Station by vehicles that were not among vehicles owned by the County Government . No documentation was provided to show the ownership and whether they were in use by the County Government.

In addition, the registration numbers of some motor vehicles were incomplete or incorrect. In the absence of the ownership records, lease rental records and confirmation as to whether the vehicles were in use by the County Government, it was not possible to confirm that fuel worth Kshs.4,174,818 was used .

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That the fuel was used by county government officials and MCAs as at the time there was an acute shortage of County Government vehicles necessitating the use of private vehicles in line with section K3 of the code of regulations; but,
2. The County Government had since acquired its own vehicles and hence this had been rectified.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the documents presented by the Governor had not been submitted to the Auditors .

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and monies lost recovered from those found culpable.**

### **4.0 Procurement of Consultancy Services for Preparation of County Integrated Plans**

The County Government of Trans Nzoia entered into agreement with a consultant firm on 12<sup>th</sup> June 2013 for the preparation of county integrated plans at a contract sum of US\$.864,401.84 (Kshs.73,897,713) at the exchange rate of Kshs.85.49. The integrated plans included; revenue study plan, integrated development plan, strategic plan, human resource plan, urban/spatial plan and sector plan. The contract period was for twelve (12) months effective 26<sup>th</sup> June 2013. However, it was not clear how the consulting firm was identified and the contract sum of US\$.864,401.84 determined as no documentary evidence was seen to confirm that the bidding process was done through a competitive and transparent manner.

According to the payment schedule, the payment was to be made as below:

- i. 30% on submission of inception report;
- ii. 40% on submission of approved draft report;
- iii. 10% on submission of final draft report; and
- iv. 20% on submission of the final assignment.

However, a payment of Kshs.10million (US\$117,647.05 at exchange rate of Kshs.85 to the US dollar) was made to the consultant on 12<sup>th</sup> July 2013, an amount equivalent to 14% of the contact sum, yet no inception report had been submitted as required by the provisions of the contract. A further payment of Kshs.18,000,000 was made on 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014 vide payment voucher No.04269.

The management had not provided an explanation as to why the consultancy services were not procured through competitive bidding as required by the Public Procurement Act, 2005 and the Regulations. In addition, no reason or explanation had been provided for making payments while the consultant had not submitted any report as required by the contract.

Further, there is no evidence that the County Budget and Economic Forum was constituted as required by the Public Finance Management Act, 2012 before engaging the consultant to prepare the county integrated plan.

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That it was true that the County Government had entered into the said contract because the County did not have qualified staff at that time and the strategic plan and the other plans were urgent according to the Government;
2. That the County Tender Committee awarded, through direct procurement, the contract to Price Waterhouse Coopers in line with the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005;



3. That the spatial planning was not concluded because the county had to recruit another firm to conduct the aerial mapping which was a requirement for proper spatial planning; and,
4. That the County government had since recruited competent officers and operationalized the planning department.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the documents presented by the Governor had not been submitted to the Auditors;
2. the procurement method adopted was not proper, contrary to the Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act.
3. Without documentation, it would be difficult to ascertain whether the tender was properly awarded to Price Waterhouse Coopers.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the Governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and monies lost recovered from those found culpable.**

#### **5.1 Cash Books**

The County Government operated six (6) bank accounts, three with two Commercial Banks and the other three with the Central Bank of Kenya. It was however, noted that the cash books for the Imprest Account and Revenue Collections Account had only been updated up to 30<sup>th</sup> April 2014 while the Operations Account maintained with the Commercial Banks was not updated since July 2013 as required by Regulations 5.9 and 5.11 of the Government Financial Regulations and Procedures. Further, the cashbooks for the three accounts with Central Bank of Kenya; that is Recurrent, Development and Revenue were not provided for audit review while the County Executive also failed to provide bank reconciliation statements for audit review.

In the absence of proper and up to date cashbooks and non-preparation of bank reconciliation statements, it may not be possible to detect errors and incidences of impropriety.

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That at the time the County Government had a lean staff complement and could not handle the workload;
2. The county government had since recruited enough staff who are keeping the records properly.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the Auditor was satisfied with the response and the documents presented by the Governor.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee observed that the County Government must at all time keep proper books of account and present the documents at the appropriate time.**

### **5.2 Bank Overdraft**

The County Government continued to make payments out of the Imprest account and Operations account without sufficient funds which resulted into the accounts being overdrawn on various dates between 1<sup>st</sup> September 2013 and 21<sup>st</sup> February 2014 . The overdraft on Imprest account which stood at Kshs.18,892,116 as of 12<sup>th</sup> September 2014 attracted an interest of Kshs.570,973 as at 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014, while overdraft on Operations account stood at Kshs.100,218,603 as of 6<sup>th</sup> May 2014 attracting an interest Kshs.411,322 as at 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014.

The payment of interest could have been avoided with prudent financial management practices. No reason had been provided for securing overdraft facilities.

In addition, the authority from the County Assembly to allow the County Executive to borrow or set the limit on borrowing as required by Sections 141 and 142 of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012 was not provided for audit review.

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That the overdraft was sought to bridge a funding gap from the National Treasury; and,
2. That authority was sought from the County Assembly as required by the Law.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the documents presented by the Governor had not been submitted to the Auditors and as such no verification could be done.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the Governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and monies lost recovered from those found culpable.**

### **5.3 Cash Withdrawals**

A review of the cash book and the related records revealed that during the month of June 2014, amounts totaling Kshs.72, 316,862.60 ha been withdrawn from the KCB Operations Account No.1140772694. However, no documentation has been provided to show how the cash withdrawn was utilized. Consequently the propriety of the cash withdrawn amounting to Kshs.72, 316,862.60 cannot be confirmed.

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That it was true that the money was withdrawn and used for official purposes; and,
2. That the relevant documents were availed for verification.

## **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the documents presented by the Governor had not been submitted to the Auditors and as such no verification could be done.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the Governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and the money be recovered from those found culpable, at CBK interest rates, effective from the time when the money was withdrawn.**

### **6.0 Un-Vouched Expenditure**

Audit review of expenditure records showed that payments totaling Kshs.23,812,029.30 were made to three firms and two financial institutions, but the payment vouchers together with the supporting documents were not provided for audit review. It was therefore not confirmed what the payments were for.

Consequently, the propriety of the expenditure of Kshs.23,812,929.30 could not be confirmed.

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That the payments were for valuation of land, maintenance of sewerage line and bush clearing to three firms namely Mwamba valuers, Trans-Judy general Suppliers and Mika Investment;
2. That the other two payments were in respect of salary payments and remittances of statutory deductions to Ukulima FOSA and CO-OP Bank of Kenya

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the documents presented by the Governor had not been submitted to the Auditors and as such no verification could be done.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the Governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015. Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and the money be recovered from those found culpable, at CBK interest rates, effective from the time when the money was withdrawn.**

## CHAPTER FIVE

### NYANDARUA COUNTY EXECUTIVE

The Governor of Nyandarua, Hon. Daniel Waithaka, was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of 2013/2014 financial year on Nyandarua County Government. The Governor appeared before the Committee on Wednesday 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2015, Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 and Thursday 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 and Friday, 2<sup>nd</sup> September, 2016 and made submissions to respond to the audit queries.

The Governor's written submissions and relevant evidence collected by the Committee are marked as **ANNEX. 5**

The committee made observations and recommendations in line with each audit query raised as follows-

#### **1.1 Irregularities in roads rehabilitation works**

The County Executive of Nyandarua spent Kshs.657,947,191.00 on roads maintenance and rehabilitation in all five sub-counties. The work entailed grading, gravelling, opening and murraming of weather roads. However, the amount spent was Kshs.410,447,191.00 or 165% more than the amount provided for roads maintenance and rehabilitations in the budget which was Kshs.247,500,000.00 for the financial year 2013/14.

Further, the following anomalies were observed:

- i. The County Executive hired graders, shovels, loaders, excavators and tippers/lorries at government dry rates, it would fuel them and engage temporary drivers and casual workers to supply and spread murram and perform the clearing work. However, there was no procurement plan prepared for this works and all materials and services were procured directly without regard to procurement procedures provided by the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 and Regulations.

- ii. Details of the roads rehabilitated in kilometres and width were not made available for audit verification. Further, there were no bills of quantities prepared, showing specifications of work to be done and corresponding costs.
- iii. There were instances where the date of invoice was earlier than the Local Service order (LSO). This means that invoices were raised before the work was given out and done.
- iv. There were no completion certificates, site meeting minutes or inspection and acceptance report before the works were paid for as required by regulation 17 (1) of the Public Procurement and Disposal Regulations 2006.
- v. The fuel control register was not properly maintained and detail orders to support fuel use were not provided for audit verification. Further, fuel drawn was not posted in the vehicle work tickets.
- vi. Most Work tickets for the hired machineries were not signed by the officers in charge of road works and it was not possible to ascertain propriety of the journeys made.
- vii. During physical verification, it was observed that some hard core delivered to some of the roads was still lying on the road sides.
- viii. Some other roads e.g. Bosnia Matura was poorly done resulting into it being re-done after the initial murraming barely two months after the works had been done while Mathakwa Mirangine road was being re-graded and re-murramed after the initial murram was found to be sub-standard, thus a proof of poor workmanship. In view of the foregoing, the propriety of spending Kshs.657,947,191.00 on road works by the County Executive cannot be confirmed.

## Governor's Response

1. The tender committee had approved the procurement method of hiring of equipment using the agreed government rates as a way of quick fix to the deplorable state of the road network in the county;
2. The money had only been paid for completed and inspected road projects supported with the relevant documents. The initial budget was Kshs.506, 118,000 and the department of roads, public works and transport carried out their works with the said budget;
3. There was Kshs.**251,000,000** that was earmarked for the purchase of machinery out of which only Kshs.**58,094,000** was used leaving a balance of Kshs.192,906,000. The balance of **Kshs.192,906,000** was utilized for road works and hence the total amount that was dedicated to the roads was Kshs.**699, 024,000**;
4. The total amount spent was Kshs.**671, 948,269** which implies that there was no over-expenditure;
5. In total, **381 roads** were done covering a total **1075.35 kilometres** graded and **620 Kilometres** gravelled;
6. Nyandarua County had a neglected road network. Consequently by bringing the government close to the people through the County Governments, the expectations and pressure from the residents to improve the road network in order to deliver their farm products which predominantly occupies Nyandarua's main economic activity demanded an urgency of gravelling of roads.
7. Measures have been put in place to ensure that there will be no repeat of the issues raised in the future. These include contracting by quantities other than hourly payments and stoppage of the hire of machinery.



8. The workforce is also being beefed up through recruitment. In regard to the materials that were lying on the roads, this was because by the time the audit was undertaken, work was still in progress and the same had been spread .
9. The Executive has suspended seven officers including the County Executive Committee member and a Committee had been formed to thoroughly investigate and deliberate any flouting of regulations and elements of fraudulent activity after which appropriate action will be taken.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee had previously directed that the Office of the Auditor General conducts a special audit on the issue.

The Committee was informed that the Office of the Auditor General was in receipt of the request and that the Special Audit would be availed to the Committee once concluded..

### **Committee Recommendation**

**Following the enormous irregularities and notwithstanding the special audit, the matter should be referred to the EACC and those found culpable be prosecuted.**

#### **1.2 Irregular procurement of feasibility study of Olkalou Town Sewerage system**

During the financial period under review, the County Executive of Nyandarua entered into an agreement with TAHAL Group of Israel to carry out feasibility studies and the preparation of designs for Ol-Kalou Town Sewerage system at a cost of Kshs.55,312,500.00 through a Public Private Partnership agreement. The total cost of the project was to be Kshs.110,625,000.00 but was shared equally between the County Government of Nyandarua and TAHAL Group as per agreement signed on 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2014. However, the awarding of contract was not subjected to a competitive bidding and the following anomalies were observed;

- i. Price setting and success fees could not be confirmed as competitively determined in the absence of competitive bidding. This was also against section 28(1) of the Public Private Partnerships Act No 15 of 2013.
- ii. The project was not approved by Public Private Partnership's Committee and the cabinet as per section 7 (d) and 24(1) of the Public Private Partnerships Act No 15 of 2013;
- iii. There was no established public private partnerships node in the County Government as per Section 16(1) of the Public Private Partnerships Act No 15 of 2013.

The County Executive was therefore in breach of the law.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. The response from the County Government was insufficient, as neither the Report of the works done nor the Public Private Partnership Agreement were availed;
2. Official communications between Nyandarua and Tahal Group (Israel) was missing
3. Justification of the payment of 55,000,000 was not given
4. There was no evidence of who was the Bank Guarantor and all supporting documentation relating to this were not availed.
5. There was no justification for the advanced payment
6. There was no evidence of competitive bidding process availed to the Committee

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor during the responded by informing the Committee that;

1. Tahal Consulting Engineers from Israel entered into an agreement with the County Government of Nyandarua to develop the County's Water Master Plan and review designs for Ol'kalou Sewerage System as per the terms of agreement and not feasibility studies;
2. The process used to engage the consultant was direct sourcing and it was not a PPP arrangement. This was because the structures for PPP engagement were not in place in the County as at the time the agreement was signed; and
3. The total cost of the consultancy was US\$ 625,000 (Kshs.55 Million).

The criterion used and considerations given in the selection of Tahal Consultants were based on the following:-

1. Tahal Consulting firm has the know-how and experience in the development and advancement of water sector internationally, including development of surface water and groundwater resources, urban and rural water supply, water treatment, waste-water treatment and reuse;
2. Commitment by Tahal to link the County with partners who would provide funds towards the implementation of projects in the Master Plan. Tahal would use its reputation with these partners to ensure that the County obtained the funds at favorable terms; and
3. The fact that Nyandarua County had no likelihood of sourcing the Kshs. 2.5 Billion which is the estimated cost of water projects in the County Integrated

Development Plan (CIDP). A further Kshs.16 Billion would be required to implement the proposed mega dams project in the county.

The pricing of the consultancy at Kshs.55 Million was decided through negotiations and comparative analysis of other projects that the consultant had undertaken as listed below.

- a) El Nino Emergency Project (ENEP), Nairobi Water – Design and Construction for Approx. Value of Contract: US\$ 2.7 million (Kshs.240 Million);
- b) Consultancy services for Water supply Master Plan for Mombasa and other towns within Coast Region, Mombasa – Approx. Value of services in USD: 1,824,900 ( Kshs.162 Million);
- c) Master plan for Development of Coastal Zone, Angola – Approximate value/contract UD\$ 7,000,000 (Kshs.623 Million); and
- d) Rehabilitation/Expansion of Rural Water Supply, Ghana – Approximate Value /contract US\$ 56,200,000 (Kshs.5 Billion).

A bank guarantee was provided by the consultant to ensure that County funds were secure in the event of non-delivery of agreed products in the contract. The agreement with Tahal Consultants was in two stages:-

- a) Stage one consists of:
  - i) Inception report for Nyandarua County Water Master Plan

This report was very detailed and comprehensive in that it contained all relevant background information on the county. To complete the remaining part of the master plan, only some data gaps will need to be filled.

ii) Design review of Ol-kalou town Sewerage System

The initial sewerage design had been carried out in 2009 when Ol-kalou town did not have its current status of county headquarters. Thus a number of parameters needed to be changed to take into account the present situation.

b) Stage two consists of interim and final report for Nyandarua County Water Master Plan

The cost of stage one was Kshs.55 Million and reports have already been finalized and handed over.

The Tahal Team visited the County for a field visit from 11<sup>th</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> August, 2014. During this period, they visited all the 25 wards in the county. The ward visits enabled them to meet with Members of the County Assembly (MCAs) and the community.

The County Government of Nyandarua and MASHAV, the Israel agency for international development has signed intent for cooperation in areas of water, agriculture, health, technology transfer and development of green energy.

### **Committee's Further Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Governor's response did not address why the County resorted to single sourcing as a procurement method;
2. the Auditor general had seen and verified the relevant documents and remained dissatisfied with the procedures used;

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Governor and any other person(s) involved in the entire process be investigated with a view to prosecuting those found culpable.**

### **1.3 Irregular Leasing of the County Offices**

During the financial period under review, the County Executive of Nyandarua rented a building (Njorah House) to house the County offices within OlKalou town. This was done through single sourcing and other building owners in Olkalou town were not invited to bid. Further, audit verification revealed that the County Government entered into a short term lease of two years renewable at a cost of Kshs.300,000.00 per month payable in twelve (12) months in advance amounting to Kshs.3,600,000.00 per year. This was found to be too short for the County Government to have realised value for investment on renovation and modification of the building in case the owner refused to renew the lease contract. However, no funds had been paid out by end of June 2014.

The regularity of the leasing procedures could not be confirmed.

#### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented that:

1. A survey was conducted by a team of officers in Ol Kalou to look for a suitable office for the Governor. The team which comprised of all Interim officers from the Transition Authority, Ministry of Housing and Public Works, recommended the current building that was found to be the only one having sufficient space, parking lot and more strategically located to house the Governor and the Executive.
2. The County intended to relocate to its own building thus there was no need for a long lease to avoid legal complications during termination. However, there was provision in the lease for extension if need arose.
3. The construction of County offices has already started near the Nyandarua County Commissioner's offices. Fixtures and fittings will be removed at the end of the lease period. The lease has also been extended while awaiting completion of County offices.

## **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that-

1. minutes of the tender committee and the extension of the lease should be availed for further review.
2. there was limitation of suitable office space .
3. The law was flouted in procuring the offices required since single- sourcing was used.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**In future, single-sourcing should be used only when absolutely necessary and justifiable under the law.**

### **1.4 Irregular procurement of County Offices Refurbishment works**

During the financial period under review, the County Executive of Nyandarua contracted a contractor to carry out the work of refurbishing and partitioning its offices at the County Headquarters at a cost of Kshs.12,811,950.00. However, the following anomalies were observed on this process;

- i. The contractor was said to be procured through use of restricted tendering method from a list of contractors obtained from the Ministry of Public Works at Nyahururu but this bit of information could not be confirmed as it was not supported by any documentary evidence.
- ii. This contract was later varied to include additional works of Kshs.8,847,391.00 or 69% of the original contract sum. This was done without re-tendering as required by regulation 31 (c) of the Public Procurement and Disposal regulations 2006 for contract variations above 15%.

The regularity of procurement procedures for the refurbishment of the County headquarters could not be confirmed.

## **Governor's Response**

The CEC Roads and Public Works informed the Committee that due to the urgency to settle the county executive, the county government resolved to use restricted tendering method. Quotations were sought from a list of 10 pre-qualified tenderers.

The Governor further presented that:

1. Due to the urgency to settle the County Executive, the County Government resolved to use restricted tendering method and quotations were sought from a list of 10 pre-qualified tenderers held by the Ministry of Public Works. This action can be supported by Section 73 (2) of the PPDA 2005.
2. An approved list of prequalified suppliers from the Ministry of Public Works was used to invite the bidders and hence the law was not contravened as indicated above.
3. Regarding the failure to widely circulate the restricted tendering: 10 bidders were invited which was considered as a wide circulation and hence the rules were not contravened
4. Under the PPDA Act 2005 and regulations 2006, under procurement of public works, a County Government falls under class A which requires 6 million for Open Tender and not 3 million.
5. Section 25(3) of Public Procurement and Regulation 2006- Tender invited from the prequalified list. Ref NYA/TC/010/2012-2013 of 24<sup>th</sup> June 2014 (**Approval**)
6. Restricted Tender Procurement followed. Ten (10) tenderers were invited as per the Approval of the above TC ref NYA/TC/004/2012-2013.
7. The regularity of the procurement procedures was confirmed through reference of Agenda No NYA/TC/3/004/2012-2013 of NYA/TC/004/2012-2013



## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. documentation on list of the ten contractors who bided was not availed
2. The Committee observed that the County Government did not re-advertise upon realization that the new works exceeded 15% as required by law
3. the Governor acknowledged flouting of the law and proceeded to sack the CEC Roads and transportation in the County Government at the time.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The EACC should investigate this matter with the intent of apprehending the persons involved.**

### **1.5 Irregular Procurement of Works**

During the financial period under review, the County Executive approved construction of five(5) Eco toilets in Kasuku, Njabini, Miharati, Ndaragwa and Captain Markets each at Kshs.5,000,000.00 totalling Kshs.20,000,000.00. However; The following anomalies were observed;

- i. The contractors who were invited to make quotations were not in the list of prequalified suppliers in the financial year 2013/2014.
- ii. For Ndaragwa toilet, contract agreements, tender evaluation and award minutes were not made available for audit;
- iii. The Kasuku market project was abandoned after it was found to have been done in road reserve. A total of Kshs.695,241.36 had already been paid to the contractors although upon inspection, the only work which was found to have been done was digging of trenches and some materials on the site which did not correspond with the amount paid.

iv. There was no Environmental Impact Assessment report that was produced for audit verification to prove that these projects met the environmental requirements before implementation.

The propriety of the expenditure and the regularity of the procurement procedures could not be confirmed.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented that:

1. Authority was not sought from Tender Committee to apply selective tender. Advertisement required 6M and above. The BQ was prepared for each area hence each Sub county given its individual quotation.

2. Most were prequalified from the 2013-2014 FY from County Government while others from the sub counties in the national prequalification lists within the county.

3. Kasuku town has been seriously affected by lack of a public toilet. In an attempt to respond to the pressure by the residents, the County Government proposed the construction of a public toilet whose initial approval and valuation of works was done by Public Works. Works were awarded using the right process and construction work kicked off. However it was realized that the identified site was part of road reserve. With this, works were halted and public works department assessed the work done and value of materials on site and raised the certificate of payments of Kshs.695,241.36.

5. Environmental Impact Assessment (E.I.A) from NEEMA was not done prior to the project.

6. The County Government is undertaking EIA as auditor's advice and recommendation.

The Governor also informed the Committee that they paid the aforementioned sum based on the certificate they were given and that Kshs.335, 000 was used on materials and the

balance from Kshs.695,241 was what was incurred before the stoppage of the project was given.

He further informed the Committee that this was a partial payment because the contractor was discontinued and the rest of the money could not be paid.

### **Committee's Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. There was need for the recovery of Kshs.695,241.36 or the balance after deduction of materials;
2. Contracts for eco toilets should be availed to the Committee ;
3. the county government should move fast to continue with the construction of the toilet on the land that had been identified.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The EACC investigates the irregular expenditure of Kshs.695,241.36 with a view to prosecute those responsible and monies recovered with the accrued interest thereon.**

### **1.6 Uncompetitive procurement of repairs and maintenance of motor vehicles, plant and machineries**

During the financial year under review, the County Government spent Kshs.9,662,207.14 on repair and maintenance of the county vehicles and heavy machineries.

However, these services appear to have been procured without following laid down procedures; No quotations or other tender documents were produced for audit verification and there were no reports of Government Mechanical Engineer to support the repairs. Further, there were no reports of the inspection and acceptance committee produced to confirm that the services done as required.

The County Executive was therefore in breach of the law and the propriety of the expenditure could not be confirmed.

### **Governor's Response**

The County Government responded by informing the Committee that they have since submitted all the documentation to the Auditor General for verification. Further, they informed the Committee that they inherited from the defunct county council where vehicles to be repaired were inspected internally by a mechanical foreman. They also attached an inspection report and also purchased a number of new vehicles which were serviced by the dealers who are pre-qualified by the national Government.

The County Government then informed the Committee that, they realized that there was a deficiency in the process, a circular dated 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2014, Nyandarua County Government, TIE 8/04, was issued to all departments advising on how the procedure would apply in vehicle maintenance.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that there was no competitive bidding and that the County Government rectified the anomaly.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**At all times the County Government should follow procedure in accordance with the Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act in acquiring or disposing of government property.**

#### **1.7 Irregular Procurement of pipes**

During the financial year under review, the County Executive through the Ministry of Environment, Water, Energy and Natural Resources procured pipes worth

Kshs.401,500.00 from a supplier who was not in the list of prequalified supplier of goods and services in the financial year under review. It was not clear why the County Government ignored other suppliers who were shortlisted. Further it was not explained how the supplier was sourced.

The County Executive flouted the Procurement Procedures by not sourcing the quotations from the prequalified suppliers.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor responded by informing the Committee that all documents in this respect were forwarded to the Auditor General for verification.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee confirmed that the auditors had indeed verified the documents as being in order and satisfactorily responded to the audit query.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that going forward the documentation should be submitted in time as at the time of audit.**

## **2.0 Expenditure**

### **2.1 Unaccounted for funds for construction of County headquarter**

The County Executive received Kshs.62,000,000.00 from the Transition Authority on 5 June 2013 to facilitate acquisition of office structures. However, the funds were not utilized for the intended purpose since no construction of offices has been done to date. Records showed that the funds were used to pay for other unapproved expenditure. Further, the County Government did not give details of how the amount was utilized and accounted for.

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor informed the Committee that;

The figure captured by the auditors is incorrect; the correct figure is Ksh.61, 592,200.

Out of the amount Ksh 61,592,200, the County has since accounted for Kshs.56,745,968 by surrendering the said expenditure voucher to Transition Authority. Ksh.62,000,000 was the amount of money which was the balance brought down as treasury returns and budgeted in financial year 2013/14, where an amount of Kshs.46,991,874 was budgeted for office construction and refurbishments. Kshs.19,774,024 was used for office refurbishments in the county headquarters; and an amount of Kshs.5,309,350 was used by the Nyandarua county assembly for the purchase of the Hansard and electronic equipment's as the directive from transition authority as per attached letter on physical verification on utilization of Kshs.61,592,200. The Kshs.45 million which was budgeted for in the financial year 2013/14 had not been used for office construction and it was later budgeted for in the financial year 2014/15 for office construction where Kshs.31, 662,594 which has been used to pay for construction of the offices currently on going to be occupied by the Executive in the financial year 2014/15.

He attached copies of surrender voucher and the forwarding letter to the Transition Authority.

Further, he informed the Committee that the remaining balance of Kshs.4,846,532 has been spent to pay the contractor in this financial year 2015/16 since the construction of the offices is ongoing.

## **Committee's Observation**

The Committee raised concerns with the manner in which the County utilized the funds and the failure to account for the balance of Kshs.39 Million was flagged out by TA because part of the money allocated for 2013/2014 was spent on refurbishing the county government offices that were leased.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The County Government has not accounted for the money advanced to it in the financial year 2013/14, the same money be recovered from the Governor and those involved if it is not accounted for in the subsequent financial year.**

### **2.2 Unsupported fuel expenditure**

The County Executive of Nyandarua procured fuel totalling Kshs.38,065,012.00 for its motor vehicles and machineries during the year. However, fuel register was not completed with the details of fuel purchased and how it was consumed by the vehicles, authorization to draw through the use of detailed orders was not enforced and fuel drawn was not recorded in the work tickets. Further, most of the vehicles which had malfunctioned odometer; in the circumstances, the fuel purchased could not be properly accounted for.

The propriety of the fuel expenditure amounting to Kshs.38,065,012.00 could not be confirmed as a correct charge to public funds.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor responded by informing the Committee that he was seized of the matter and he wrote to the EACC requesting them to investigate certain offices in various departments who were involved in such malpractices. Among those to be investigated were officers in the Roads department. He tabled before the Committee the letter to EACC and confirmation of receipt of the request by EACC.

The Governor assured the Committee that going forward the County would resort to better ways that would ensure accountability during such projects and avoid dry rate when hiring.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that there were a number of loopholes in the entire process and that since the EACC had taken charge of the matter then the suspects should be brought to book and going forward the County Government should device better measures to seal all the loopholes during such projects.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee urges the EACC to expedite the investigations and bring those involved to book.**

### **2.3 Unsurrendered imprests**

The County Executive was found to have issued imprests totalling Kshs.25,763,259.00 during the financial year under review. These imprests remained unsurrendered as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. This includes imprest to the office of the Governor and his deputy for entertainment and security amounting to Kshs.2,200,000.00 and Kshs.200,000.00 respectively.

Further, the County's Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries had issued imprest totalling to Kshs.2,122,109.00 to various officers which also remained unaccounted for as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. It was further observed that some officers were issued with additional imprest without having surrendered the previous one.

The propriety of the expenditure amounting to Kshs.30,285,368.00 could not be confirmed .

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor informed the Committee that;

1. Vouchers amounting to Kshs.11,502,800 were surrendered;



2. The money was being recovered from respective officers' salary - monthly recoveries totalled to Kshs.1.6 Million;
3. The amount yet to be recovered was Kshs.5,933,435.75 this was to be fully recovered by end of June, 2016.
4. Kshs.2,122,100 issued through County Ministry of Agriculture, Culture and social services had been fully recovered.

The imprests were however surrendered and fully accounted for within the first quarter of the 2015/16 Financial Year to the satisfaction of the ministry and the County department of finance.

On the issue of officers being issued with more than one imprest, he informed the Committee that it only happened to a few Veterinary Officers who had to undertake emergency services specifically to carry out vaccinations against Foot and Mouth Disease (FMD), Lumpy Skin Disease (LSD) and baiting Rabid dogs to control rabbies. These were notifiable diseases by law and the earliest opportunity was taken to control them. He assured the Committee that this would not recur in future.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that the governor referred to and accounted for only Kshs.25,193,459 with a difference of Kshs.569,800 that he informed the committee he will follow up.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the officers responsible be brought to book and the imprest recovered from them at the prevailing CBK interest rates as from the time the imprest was to have been surrendered.**

**Further, the County Government is advised to desist from giving imprest without consideration of the law. The Accounting Officer should therefore ensure that all**

money is recovered from the persons who have un-surrendered imprest by May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2017.

### **3.0 Irregularities in the Ministry of Education, Children, Culture and Social Services**

#### **(i) Improper procurement procedures and accountability of Kshs.26,151,000.00 for Developments Projects**

The County Executive disbursed Kshs.26,151,000.00 through the Ministry of Education to 33 (ECDs) Early Childhood Development schools and 6 youth polytechnics for construction of classrooms and other facilities during the financial year under review.

During the implementation of the projects, the schools management did not adhere to procurement process since they used quotations to source for contractors instead of using the open national tendering, considering the budgeted amount was Kshs.600,000.00 for ECD classrooms and between one million and six million for Youth polytechnic facilities which was above Kshs.500,000.00 as set out under class C threshold matrix.

In addition, no bills of quantities were made available for audit to show the extent of work to be done in each project. Further, physical verification in some institutions like Kangui Polytechnic revealed that the work done was not commensurate with the amount already paid of Kshs.1,000,000.00 since only foundation works had been done. It was also noted that there was no proper accountability of the funds since some schools e.g. at Gachigirira E.C.D, the school had its own quarry where they were getting the building stones and therefore the payments could not be accounted for.

In addition, there was no evidence to show that the work being done was supervised by the engineers from the Ministry of Public Works as required.

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor informed the Committee that:

1. The necessary documents which were missing were submitted to the office of the Auditor General.
2. However, accounting of funds allocated to Kangui Polytechnic of Kshs.1 million, was a challenge since the work done could not justify the funds allocated.
3. When the Governor's office conducted investigations, the officer was found to be culpable, she was suspended and the matter was forwarded to EACC for further investigations.
4. By the date of the meeting there wasn't any response from EACC on the matter.

## **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditor General was satisfied with the Governor's response except the issue of Kangui Polytechnic.

## **Committee's Recommendation**

1. **The Committee recommends recovering of the money from the officer as a more expeditious approach.**
2. **The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015.**
3. **Further, the governor and the persons involved be investigated, prosecuted and monies lost recovered from those found culpable.**

### **b) Unaccounted for recurrent expenditure of Kshs.6,192,072.00**

An examination of bank statements revealed that the Ministry of Education, Youth, Children, Culture and Social Services had a total expenditure of Kshs.28,220,027.00 for both Development and recurrent.

Out of this amount, Kshs.11,900,000.00 was spent on project development while Kshs.16,380,000.00 was recurrent expenditure. However, from the available records and payment vouchers, the Ministry could only account for total of Kshs.10,183,955.00 resulting to un-accounted for Kshs.6,196,072.00.No explanation was given for failure to account for the amount.

The propriety and accountability of the Development expenditure of Kshs.11,900,000.00 and re-current expenditure of Kshs.6, 196,072.00 could not be confirmed.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor informed the Committee that during the financial year under review, the Ministry was allocated Ksh.16,380,000 for recurrent expenditure and that not all expenditure documents (Payment vouchers) were availed for audit review because of files mix up at the County Treasury stores but were later traced during the audit response.

He informed the Committee that, copies of the payment vouchers amounting to Ksh.6,196,072 was availed to the auditor.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that since the Auditor General was satisfied with the submissions and documentation provided following verification, it then follows that the matter may be settled.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that going forward all documentation must be submitted in time.**

### **3.1 Pending bills and flawed procurement procedures in the Ministry of Agriculture, livestock and fisheries**

The County Executive through the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries procured goods and services totalling Kshs.27,524,840.00 in the month of June, 2014.

On 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014 , the Ministry had paid out Kshs.16,611,750.00 to various suppliers leaving outstanding bills of Kshs.10,913,090.00. Further, the procurement procedures were not followed in the purchase of these goods and services; quotations were issued to the pre- qualified suppliers but there were no opening, evaluation and awarding minutes produced for audit verification. It was therefore not clear how the contracts were awarded. Further, these payments were paid through local cheques instead of government approved Integrated Financial Management Information System (IFMIS).

Public funds must have been wasted through procurement of goods at exorbitant prices due to absence of competitive bidding. The ministry was also in breach of the laid down rules and regulations regarding government accounting standards, procedures and policies.

### **Governor's Response**

The governor informed the Committee that the relevant documents were forwarded to the office of the Auditor General.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that since the Auditor had confirmed verification of the submitted documentation, the matter was settled.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that going forward all documentation must be submitted in time.**

### **3.2 Unsupported expenditure on KICOSCA games**

During the period under review, the Ministry of education spent Kshs.5,543,000.00 on .ICOSCA games in the month of August 2013. However, the supporting documents including hotel accommodation receipts, invoice or cash sale for the uniforms bought for the goods and other payments were not produced for audit verification.

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor informed the Committee that:

1. The documents submitted by the officer in charge were not credible; out of pocket list was signed by one person, the ID numbers of beneficiaries were not indicated; some of his officers were on the list but had not attended the event.
2. After noting the anomaly, a team was put together to conduct investigations.
3. The report of the team indicated that the authenticity of the receipts could not be ascertained.
4. The matter was referred to the EACC for further investigation.

## **Committee's Observation**

*The Committee observed that the office of the Auditor confirmed that the documents were inconsistent;*

## **Committee's Recommendation**

The Committee recommends that *Ernest Macharia and Macharia, Muthangi* should surrender the money back to the County Revenue Fund with the prevailing CBK interest rates not later than 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2017. The Governor should conduct a thorough scrutiny to establish the responsible officer(s).

### **3.3 Lack of proper Records in the Ministry of Environment, Water, Energy and**

#### **Natural Resources**

The Ministry received Kshs.3,463,000 during the financial year 2013/2014. All the funds received by the ministry were properly accounted for. However, the expenditure was not being recorded in the cash books and therefore bank reconciliations could not be prepared. Further, no vote books were maintained to control expenditure.

In the absence of cash books and bank reconciliations, the County Executive is highly exposed to loss of funds through fraud and other malpractices.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor informed the Committee that cash books and vote books had been prepared and submitted to the Auditor General's office.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that since the Auditor had confirmed verification of the submitted documentation, the matter should be settled.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that going forward all documentation must be submitted in time.**

#### **4.0 Irregular payment of Subsistence Allowance to Deputy Governor and County Executive Committee Member-Education**

In September, 2013, the African Forum on Religion and Government (AFREG 3) organized for a Continental consultation/training in Lusaka – Zambia and invited the Deputy Governor and Committee Executive member for Education.

The flight charges/fees amounting to Kshs.267,495.00 for the two officers were paid for in full by the County Government of Nyandarua to ac Tours and Travel Company whereas accommodation and local travelling was catered for by the host Committee of African Forum on Religion and Government 3 (AFREG 3).

The Deputy Governor and the County Executive member for Education were paid 6 night outs at full standard rates (full per diem) worth to Kshs371,700.00 i.e. (Kshs.206,325.00 and Kshs.165,375.00)and a further Kshs.100,000.000 (combined) to cater for contingencies. The two officers should have instead received one-quarter (1/4) of the standard rate of their subsistence allowances worth Kshs.92,925. This resulted to an

irregular overpayment of Kshs.378,775.00 to the two officers. The County Executive was therefore in breach of the law.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor informed the Committee that:

- a) The anomaly was discovered thereafter and recovery process was instituted.
- b) The CEC Education requested that the sum of Kshs.124,031.25 be recovered in whole from his salary;
- c) A sum of Kshs.154,743.75 was being recovered from the Deputy Governor through monthly deductions.
- d) The Governor tabled the payroll excerpt as a proof of deductions.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that the Governor took the appropriate step of recovering the funds as confirmed by the office of the auditor general.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that that the recoveries be made in full.**

## **5.0 Irregular Rehabilitation of Dispensaries and Heath Centres**

The MCAs demanded to be allocated funds for renovation and rehabilitation of dispensaries and health centres in their respective wards through the health facilitation committee at the ward level.

A total of Kshs.14,048,840.15 was spent in fifteen (15) wards for the projects. Quotations for renovation and rehabilitation of health centres and dispensaries in the wards were floated and the tenders awarded to different contractors. However, most of the contractors who were awarded the tenders were not pre-qualified during the year 2013/2014. In addition, no procurement documents were provided for audit verification.



The regularity of ward health facilities development fund, process of awarding the contracts and payments thereon could not be confirmed.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor informed the Committee that:

- a) The Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 indicated that health centres and dispensaries committees were supposed to create procurement and tender committees.
- b) When the funds were allocated to various centres it was upon the respective committees to conduct procurement processes.
- c) However, a follow-up, revealed that 15 contracts were awarded by different centres and only 4 were awarded to un-prequalified contractors.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditor was satisfied with the documents presented.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that in future the county Government must ensure that all the tenderers are pre-qualified before issuing the tender.**

## **6.0 Human Resource management irregularities**

### **6.1 Un-procedural Hiring of staff**

The County Executive of Nyandarua employed nineteen (19) instead of eleven (11) employees, as approved in the office of the County Governor from July 2013 thereby incurring additional Kshs.11,434,107.00 as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. The following further anomalies were observed on this;

There was no evidence to show that the staff employed have been regularized by the County Public Service Board (CPSB) as per the provisions of the circular from the Transition Authority.

The County Public Service Board advertised for the position of Chief Officer on 24<sup>th</sup> September 2013. The applicants were shortlisted and the interviews were conducted culminating in the C.P.S.B appointing them vide appointment letter dated 3<sup>rd</sup> February, 2014. For the Chief Officer; Finance and Economic planning, the C.P.S.B recommended for appointment of three candidates based on the merit of scores from the interview.

It was however noted that one of the Officers who was not among the three shortlisted candidates, was appointed to the post.

The Office of the Governor irregularly appointed Chief Officer Land, Housing and Physical planning despite the County Public Service board issuing an adverse report on the officer including issue of integrity which required further investigations and failure to produce relevant documents during an interview.

The County breached section 65(1)(a-j) of the County Governments Act No. 17 of 2012 and Chapter Six of the Constitution on Leadership and Integrity.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor informed the Committee that

- a) The County Public Service Board (CPSB) presented a list of 3 nominees.
- b) However, on scrutinizing the interview performance list which was also submitted, No. 2 was not in the nomination list.
- c) It was alleged that No. 2 had some issues which warranted him not to be nominated; those allegations were not substantiated.
- d) After consulting with cabinet, it was resolved that in order to satisfy the

- A third gender rule; and
- Regional balance

The no. 2 was to be appointed.

### **Committee's Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. CPSB should not rank a candidate who has un-clarified issues; and
2. The Auditor confirmed that hiring of the 19 employees was later regularized with the CPSB.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**In future the county government must follow the proper employment procedures.**

### **6.2 Irregular employment of casuals**

The County Executive inherited ninety six (96) casuals from the defunct local authorities i.e. County Council of Nyandarua and Town Council of Ol'kalou. The casuals were being paid Kshs.205.00 per day thus translating to Kshs.5,195,520.00 (Kshs.205x22 days x 96x12months) for eleven months up to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2014. The status of the long serving casuals had not been sorted out as at the time of the audit.

The County Executive was violating the rights of these workers by engaging them on casual basis longer than required and is also against the employment Act which could lead to expensive litigations against the County Government.

### **Auditor General's Comments**

The auditor general informed the Committee that the casuals were inherited from the defunct councils. However, the County should have formalized the contracts. The matter is pending in court.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query recommends that the practice should be stopped henceforth.**

### **6.3 Incomplete staff audit**

The County Executive was required by the Transition Authority letter Ref TA/7/3/Vol. 1/67 dated 24<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 to carry out staff audit through head count to confirm job placement and needs assessment for proper management of the human resources aspect of the County. However, interview with the County Public Service Board revealed that the purported head count previously conducted was not conclusive and that the C.P.S.B was not fully involved in the exercise as enshrined in the County Government Act No 17 of 2012. The report was not implemented and at the time of audit, no proper staff count and placement been done.

Failure by the County Executive to follow up on the various enacted legislations, circulars and other information from the various constitution bodies to help in management of devolved human resources may result to breach of the law.

### **Committee Observation**

The auditor general informed the Committee that the County was awaiting the biometric report by ministry of devolution.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government should expedite the implementation of the biometric audit of their staff.**

## **6.4 Inadequate staffing structures/matters within the County Government**

The following issues were noted;

There were no approved organization structures to date detailing the flow of information, authority and the interconnectivity between the departments;

There had been no approved staff establishment to guide employment of staff within the County Executive. It therefore meant that the County Executive might be overstaffed due to lack of information on the total staff number requirements vis a vis the work load. This had lead to some complaints from county executive members and the County secretary directed to the County Public Service Board (C.P.S.B). e.g. A letter to the C.P.S.B by the CECM-Ministry of Agriculture, livestock and fisheries Ref .MOEL/NYA/CEC/CON/COR/Vo11/1 of 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 was requesting suspension of recruitment for the department until full staff positions were established .

Further, communication through letter Ref: NYA/CNT/GOVT/HR/3/3 dated 11<sup>th</sup> November 2014 from the interim county secretary requested for consultations between the departments and County Public Service Board before media adverts on recruitment. This was meant to manage the cost implications and to agree on the terms and condition of service for various cadres of staffs.

There was no human resource policy to guide in the management of staff matters. Job description and specifications have not been re-developed to facilitate proper integration of devolved staff from the central government and those that came from the defunct local authorities;

Review of the appointment letter issued by the CPSB to the newly recruited staff revealed that some staff were given appointment letters without probation period while others were issued with letter appointing them on permanent and pensionable basis at the date of the letter together with other clauses which indicated that the staff were to work on probation period of one year. This was contrary to the law.

Communication channels between the CPSB and the County Executive particularly the County Secretary who is the head of the County Public Service was found not to be very consultative. Despite the board enjoying independence from the County Governments Act, 2012 there was need for constant consultation by the two offices for smooth running of the human resource affairs; Further, the C.P.S.B should consider application of section 86 of the County Governments Act by ensuring that mundane issues like opening the files for new recruit is placed in the human resource department to avoid the board being tied down with mundane issues that can be handled by other departments.

Despite the County Government having been in existence for almost two years, no skill audit had been done to determine the skill capacities for all the staff. It was therefore difficult for the county Government to do staff training and placements. Further, without skills audit, it was difficult to fully justify the various new recruitments that have been done by the County Public Service Board.

It was also noted that there are no scheme of services designed for some of the new posts e.g. ward administrators. This was against County Human Resource manual 2013 section B.19 which stresses the need for a scheme of service to guide in the appointment of staff.

### **Committee Observation**

The auditor general informed the Committee that the organization structure had been approved, capacity assessment exercise had not been concluded and human resource policies not yet finalized.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government should have an appropriate HR manual, proper structure and rules to be used in every department in the public service.**

#### **6.4.1 Irregular admission of applications after the closure date**

It was noted that some applications were irregularly accepted after the official closure date for applications. A case in point was noted in the recruitment of County Policing

Officers where two extra applicants were received after the closure date of 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2014. The number of applicants at the closure date was one hundred and seventy nine (179) while the extra applicants were allocated numbers 267 and 268. This gave unfair treatment and favourism in the recruitment.

#### **Committee Observation**

The auditor general informed the Committee that there is a possibility that the applications were received after the closure date.

#### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that in future the County Government should demonstrate fairness in recruitment processes.**

#### **6.4.2 Unfair discrimination of qualified and recruited candidates**

It was noted that some qualified candidates were not employed even after having the requisite qualifications. A case in point was noted in the appointment of ward administrators where a candidate named Jemima W. Kimani was interviewed and shortlisted for recruitment for the post of ward administrator together with other twenty (20) candidates.

However, she was later disqualified on the basis of not being a resident of Nyandarua County. This violated the Constitution which required promotion of fairness in all recruitment.

Further, all applicants for four other wards were disqualified and not shortlisted for interviews on other basis other than the advertised qualifications required. The five posts

for Nairobi, Kipipiri, Murungaru and Githabai were ear marked for re-advertisement which meant that the C.P.S.B was to incur further expenditure on re-advertisement.

### **Governor's response**

There was unwritten understanding amongst the Executive, the County Assembly, and the CPSB that the ward administrators should preferably be recruited from the wards they reside in. The understanding is based on sections 48 to 54 on decentralized units in the County Governments Act, No. 17 of 2012. In particular, Section 53 (3) (b) stipulates that a person to be appointed as a village elder must have “been a resident of or has been the owner of property in the respective village unit for a continuous period of not less than five years prior to the appointment date”. The residency requirement was deemed applicable to recruitment of ward administrators. This is because being a resident in a ward a person knows the community and their needs. Hence the stipulation in the advertisement those applicants are “conversant with the county Integrated Development Plan, county revenue sources, and demonstrate ability to enhance and mobilize community potentials at the Ward unit.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government should follow the law during recruitment.**

#### **6.4.3 Failure to promote ethnic diversity in the county establishment**

The county Executive through the CPSB and office of the Governor has engaged two hundred and two (202) staff in various departments as at 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2015. The distribution of newly recruited staff based on ethnic balance is as follows-

#### **Ethnicity Number**

Kikuyu 196, Kalenjin 2, Luhya 1, Luo 1, Kisii 1, Nandi 1: **Total 202**



From the distribution, Kikuyu which was the dominant community occupied 97% of the total posts which was found to be against Article 175 of the Constitution of Kenya 2010 which outlines that 30% of the recruitment should be from minority communities.

### **Committee Observation**

The auditor general informed the Committee that the matter remains a challenge to the County and efforts should be made to adhere to the Constitution.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**Parliament should enact the appropriate law to give clarity to the Constitutional provisions on regional diversity**

#### **6.4.4 Inefficient and uneconomical use of funds in placement of adverts in the media**

Audit verification revealed that the CPSB has regularly placed adverts in the newspapers inviting applicants for various posts. Instances were noted where some posts were being advertised twice or thrice and this exposed the County government to serious financial implications. Due to lack of staff establishment, the County Executive could not enjoy economies of scale in the advertisements. This was because without approved staff establishment, there was likelihood of piece meal advertisement for various posts in the media which was noted to be very costly in the long run.

The county may not achieve the 30% threshold from the minority communities within the establishment as per Article 175 of the constitution of Kenya 2010.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government should not spend unnecessarily on advertisements, as this leads to the wastage of public funds.**

## **7.0 Unsupported Creditors Balances**

The County Executive did not maintain accurate and complete records of its creditors. It was also noted that there were no laid down procedures of managing the accountable documents including Registers for LPO's/LSO's were in place. The used LPOs/LSOs were also not being cleared from the Counter Foil Receipt book register (CRBR) therefore exposing them to possible fraud and other malpractices. Further, invoices totaling Kshs.12,089,000.00 were not supported by either LPOs or LSOs at the end of financial year. Their validity could not therefore be ascertained.

The validity of the liabilities could not be confirmed as representative of the liabilities incurred. Further, possible errors and fraud could pass undetected due to incomplete records and weak accountability systems.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government has instituted a proper system of recording creditors as they get contracted;
2. That the department has been preparing a list of creditors after every closure of a financial year;
3. That the County Government had engaged the national government to second staff, particularly accountants, and the County Public Service Board had recruited additional staff to boost staff capacity;
4. That the Governor had constituted a team to authenticate the pending bills without documentation.

## **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that;**

- i) A special forensic audit of all the pending bills be carried out.**
- ii) Those found culpable be brought to book and all monies paid by the County Government of Nyandarua recovered from the said persons.**

### **8.0 Unaccounted and Un-procedural Bursary Awards**

The County Executive disbursed Kshs.6,917,750.00 to various wards in respect of bursary funds to needy students within the county during the financial period under review. However; the following audit issues were noted;

- i. There was no approved fund and the corresponding regulations to cater for the bursary as outlined in PFM Act 2012, section 116(1);
- ii. The criteria used in the administration of the bursary could not be confirmed as there were no structures put in place to administer the fund, i.e. there were any ward committee minutes to indicate how the beneficiaries were identified.
- iii. The acknowledgment documents from various beneficiary schools were not produced to confirm they were indeed received.

In the absence of proper structures as outlined in the PFM Act 2012, Section 116(1), the County Government was therefore in breach of the law.

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That during the period of disbursement of bursary awards to the 25 wards of the County, there were interim ward bursary committees which were formed with the consent of the County Executive and the County Assembly;

2. That the committees were mandated to disburse Kshs.6,917,750 available during 2013/2014 financial year; and,
3. That during 2014 the County Assembly formulated a Bursary Bill whose purpose was to formalize the issuance of bursary awards.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that:

1. the auditors had just received the approved budget and the acknowledgement schedule; and,
2. Directed that the auditors to verify the documents within two days and report back to the Committee of their findings.

### **Auditor General's Subsequent Findings**

There was no approved fund as per the PFM Act 2012, section 116(I). The Nyandarua County Bursary Fund Act, 2014 was published on 29/09/2014.

Only Magumu, Kaimbaga, Rurii, Gathara and Mirangine ward Committee minutes were availed. However, the minutes did not include the process of vetting the beneficiaries. It only included the names of the beneficiaries.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government should adhere to the law in disbursing funds.**

## **9.0 Revenue**

The County Executive had budgeted to receive Kshs.3,150,207,289.00 from the National Government in the F/Y 2013/2014. As at 31 May 2014 the County executive had received Kshs.2, 646,174,124.00. The balance of Kshs.504,033,166.00 was expected in the month of June 2014.

Further, it had budgeted to collect Kshs.174,000,000.00 from the Local sources in the same year. However, it had collected Kshs.127,627,088.00 as at May 2014 with only one month to the end of the financial year.

This meant that the County might not achieve its target on local revenue collections. Further, the following anomalies were noted;

- i. The collection of Kshs.127,627,088.00 was Kshs.15,110,716.00 less compared to Kshs.142,737,804.00 in the same period in 2012/2013 before the County Government took over from the defunct local authorities.
- ii. The County Government took over land rates arrears totalling Kshs.3,173,936,312.00 on 4<sup>th</sup> March 2013. As at 31<sup>st</sup> May 2014, the balance stood at Kshs.4,077,319,973.00. The County Government managed to collect only Kshs.11,280,775.00 or 0.3% of total debt during the financial period under review. The County Government has not shown determination to collect this debt and their recoverability appears in doubt.

The revenue collection policy of the County Government therefore appear ineffective and the County Government could be losing revenue through misappropriation or been uncollected.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That upon taking over, the County Government set up specific committees tasked with revenue enhancement;
2. That the county further gave a waiver of interest on land/plots; and
3. That the County had procured an automated revenue collection system which was aimed at reducing pilferage of revenue.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government puts in place measures to enhance accountability in revenue collection that includes starting with automation and enabling of IFMIS modules to cater for revenue collection so that the County can meet its targets.**

### **9.1 Uncontrolled issue of Revenue collection books**

It was noted that some officers were being issued with additional revenue collection books before surrendering the previously issued ones. An audit review of Boda Boda fee collection records revealed that receipt books with value of Kshs.2,820,000.00 had not been surrendered yet the collector had been issued with more books.

Revenue collected could have been misappropriated.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the issuance of some additional books before surrendering of previously issued books was done as precautionary measure to avoid loss of revenue on areas that were far from the County headquarters;
2. That the books had since been returned to the sub county offices and the revenue collection had been controlled; and,
3. That proper control had been put in place.

### **Committee's Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had just seen the photocopies of the register and needed the original to enable them verify; and
2. Directed the Governor to submit the originals to the auditors for verification within two days.

## **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the receipts, going forward must be surrendered in time and properly serialized in line with the PFM Act. Further, the committee recommends that automation is highly encouraged as a preferred mode of collecting revenue.**

### **9.2 Unsurrendered Revenue collections at Ol-kalou District Hospital**

During the period under review, the Ol'kalou Hospital collected revenue totalling Kshs.28,059,777.00 up to May 2014. However, the hospital had only transferred Kshs.20,400,065.00 to the County Revenue Account, resulting to a deficit of Kshs.7,659,712.00 which was spent without approval from the Controller of Budget. In addition, the hospital did not maintain separate revenue bank accounts distinct from its normal operations account where collections were to be banked for onward transfer to County Revenue Account as provided for by Section 109(2) of the Public Financial management Act 2012.

The hospital was therefore in breach of the law.

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That Kshs.7,659,712.00 had been spent in the hospital as they had sought authority from Provincial Director of Medical Services (PDMS) for the collections that had been done in 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of the financial year;
2. That this was as a result of the previous structures in place before county government structures took over; and,
3. That the collection accounts which were operated by the Hospital had mandatory signatories from provincial administration and were only for clearing upon

issuance of Authority to Incur Expenditure (AIE) issued by the Provincial Director of Medical Services.

### **Committee's Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified the AIEs and confirmed that the accounts had been opened but had not verified the original bank Statements; and
2. Directed the Governor to submit the originals to the auditors for verification within two days.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the Ol-kalou District hospital should always submit its documentation in time to avoid audit queries.**

### **9.3 Non-appointment of Proper Revenue Collectors**

During the financial period under review, the County Government has not designated in writing, persons to be responsible for collecting, receiving and accounting for such revenue. This could partially be blamed for low land rates collection

It was also noted that some revenue collectors were engaged on casual basis. They are listed in.

This posed a danger of the collector disappearing with the collected revenue or misappropriating due to absence of accountability mechanism including recovery of lost cash from their salaries. Failure by the County Executive Committee member for finance to adhere to the laws on revenue enhancement and accountability may result to breach of Section 157 of PFM Act, 2012.



### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented that the CEC finance had since appointed revenue collectors in line with the provisions of the Public Finance Management Act (PFM).

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee directed the Governor to submit documentary evidence of the appointments to the auditors.

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that going forward all documentation must be submitted in time.**

### **10.0 Fixed Assets Register**

The management of County Executive of Nyandarua produced for audit review an Assets Register which showed the value of its assets as Kshs.202,706,686.00. Further, most of the land included in the register did not have ownership documents and its ownership could not be established. The County government did not seem to be making effort to acquire title documents in order to secure public land in its control.

The assets of the County Government may be lost or misused due to poor recording and management.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government had recorded all the additional assets acquired from Financial Year 2012/13 and 2014/15;
2. That the County was in the process of identifying all other assets owned by the defunct local authorities; and,

3. That the fixed assets register was currently being maintained and updated with additional assets.

### **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors commented on the need to improve the asset register and that tagging of assets should be expedited;

### **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that automation is a more appropriate way to ensure a well maintained proper asset register.**

### **11.0 Implementation of IFMIS**

The County Executive started using I.F.M.I.S in November 2013 and therefore the financial transactions from July to October 2013 were done through manual system. Audit verification of the IFMIS utilization revealed that the reports generated through IFMIS were not comprehensive. Other reports like suppliers statements, interrogation of vote book balances were not done. It was also noted that the staff capacity was limited and this has contributed to the slow implementation of IFMIS by the County Government.

Further, it was noted that the County Executive continued to use the LAIFOMS system that was being used by the defunct local authorities. However, the support system of the LAIFOMS by the vendor was found to be unreliable after the cessation of local authorities and therefore its sustainability could not be confirmed. Based on the above, the County Executive ability to successfully utilize the information technology is highly constrained.

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the county had a problem of lack of trained IFMIS officers until they were given one in July 2014.
2. That the County Government had since recruited key staff with capacity on information technology to facilitate adequate and comprehensive usage of all IFMIS features; and,
3. That the others were being trained both on the job and through external seminars.

## **Committee's Observation**

The Committee observed that the Governor had not submitted a list of all the employed officers and their job description.

## **Committee's Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends the proper use of IFMIS in the management of public funds in the County.**

## CHAPTER SIX

### MIGORI COUNTY EXECUTIVE

The Governor of Migori, Hon. Okoth Obado was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of Migori County Government for the financial year 2013/2014. Hon. Obado appeared before the Committee on Wednesday, 8<sup>th</sup> June, Thursday 11<sup>th</sup> August, and Tuesday 6<sup>th</sup> September, 2016. The Committee considered the audit queries against the Governors response and made recommendations accordingly:

The Governors written submission and relevant evidence collected are marked as:

#### **Annex. 6**

#### **1.1 Internal audit Unit**

#### **Findings**

- a) The Internal Audit has not been allocated adequate resources. The Unit has only two officers who may not be capable of handling all the work at the County Government.
- b) There was no formal channel of communication between the internal audit and the County Government thus making it difficult for the department to have any effective oversight over the various functions at the county.
- c) The Accounting Officer has not issued any letter providing the Internal Auditor unrestricted access to all records, departments, assets etc. of the entity.
- d) The internal audit charter detailing the scope, responsibilities and purpose of internal audit has not been developed.
- e) The Internal Auditor has not prepared and operationalized annual audit plans.
- f) There was no evidence of documented audit approach followed for internal audit assignments. The relevant audit programs and sample items for audit have not been identified.

- g) The Audit Committee does not exist contrary to the requirements of Treasury Circular No. 16/2005 and section 155 of the Public Financial Management Act 2012 that provides for internal audit arrangements according to guideline by the Accounting Standards Board that require all entities in the Public Service to establish and operationalize internal audit committees.
- h) There is no evidence of value addition accruing from the services of the internal audit office in as far as oversight functions expected from such a unit.

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government was in the process of restricting the internal Audit office;
2. That they had since hired a Director of internal Audit;
3. That they currently had five (5) internal auditors and had allocated Ksh. 20,000,000 to the department; and,
4. That they had completed the process of forming the County Audit Committee and the names had been forwarded for gazettelement.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

IFMIS is currently operational, and the vote book is being maintained.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that, all documentation must be submitted in time, and the County Government focuses on the strengthening of the Internal Audit department and financial management processes.**

## **1.2 Maintenance of Accounting Records**

Generally accounting records are poorly maintained by the county Government. Most transactions are processed and recorded manually.

### **Petty cash management**

There is a significant amount of cash transactions by the County which by its nature is susceptible to misuse and also poses security challenges.

Review and approval of postings to the cash books

There is no evidence of review of cash books to ensure proper records are being maintained for the transactions that have taken place. There are also numerous cases of corrections and cancellations which is inherent in such cases of manual records.

### **Multi location processing of payment vouchers**

There are various points of processing payment vouchers and recording of the same making it difficult to track payments. There were payment vouchers at the ministries, treasury and other departments and cash books maintained at various locations with no regular reconciliations amongst them evident.

### **Budgetary control**

There is no evidence of comparison or tracking of actual expenditures to the approved budgets. This is a key accounting control which is not evident from the payments verified.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor admitted that it was true during the transition period in the FY2013/14 they had not fully implemented the IFMIS due to challenges of connectivity and lack of qualified personnel. Most of their accounting records were therefore manually done.

- Manual Accounting System

Currently they have embraced IFMIS government financial automation system to reduce manual and cash transactions.

- Cash Management

They have also engaged qualified and competent accountants to help in proper record keeping and operationalization of the IFMIS system. They attached the list and qualifications of the newly recruited accountants who are since helping in proper accounting and record keeping.

- Cashbook Reconciliation and Reviews

Their cash books are being reviewed by the internal audit department and updated and reconciled on regular basis. Reviewed cash books have since been forwarded to auditors for verification.

- Record Keeping

With the engagements of qualified accountants, the county Government has assigned accountants to each department to help in tracking, reconciling and proper record keeping of departmental expenditures records.

- Budget Control

The county treasury has put in place budget/vote book office and accountants deployed to check and confirm all payment adhere to the Appropriation Act.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. There was no written response at the time by the Governor and ruled that as un-procedural.
2. The Committee further directed the Auditors to present a breakdown of the actual figures described under petty cash in this query as soon as they have it ready for the Committee to consider.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that proper accounting records need to be updated and maintained, since failure to do so, is flouting of the law and officers involved should be brought to book.**

### **2.1 Un-surrendered Imprests**

The County Treasury had issued imprests worth Kshs.29,392,212 which were still outstanding by 30 June 2014. There were also cases where some officers held more than one imprest as at the end of the financial year.

#### Governor's Responses

The Governor informed that Committee that;

- Officers taking more than one imprest

During the transition period from local authority to the county government, there were numerous activities that were being carried out concurrently and this resulted to officers sometimes acquiring more than one imprest to hasten the operation within the county.

- Un-surrendered Imprest

They have since made the necessary efforts to ensure that the imprests of Kshs.29,392,212 were fully surrendered by the imprests holders and all the supported payment vouchers were submitted to auditors for verification.

- **Control measures**

Control measures have been put in place to ensure that no employee holds more than one imprest at a time. Those who fail to comply have their salaries attached. In addition imprest registers have been introduced to track all imprests issued and surrendered.



## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor General had not verified annexures and hence could not deliberate on the query; and,
2. Issued a disclaimer to this Query and resolved that all relevant documents be submitted to the Auditor general within two weeks for verification.

## **Auditor General's Subsequent Review**

The Auditor General informed the Committee that the Un-surrendered imprests amounting to Kshs.29,392,212, imprests totaling to Kshs.22,492,456 were verified while Kshs.6,899,756 were not verified as analyzed below:

DEPARTMENT	TOTAL IMPRESTS (KSHS)	VERIFIED (KSHS)	NOT VERIFIED (KSHS)	REMARKS
Finance and economic planning	2,965,460	2,794,445	171,015	Payments were not fully supported
Agriculture	881,060	720,560	140,400	Payments were not fully supported
Public Service management	12,361,780	8,288,280	4,073,500	Outstanding surrenders and payments not fully supported
Education, Youth and Culture	1,230,000	1,142,500	87,500	Outstanding surrender and payment not

				fully supported
Public Works	5,503,222	4,768,871	734,351	Un-surrendered balance, payments not fully supported
County Assembly	1,708,000	1,608,000	100,000	Payees did not sign for amounts received
Trade	2,700,700	1,985,210	715,490	Payments not fully supported
Lands	2,041,990	1,164,490	877,500	Payments not fully supported
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>29,392,212</b>	<b>22,492,456</b>	<b>6,899,756</b>	

Some officers held more than one imprest at a time

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The EACC investigates recommends that the officers responsible be investigated with a view to prosecution, and the recovery of monies lost with accrued interest thereon at the prevailing CBK rate at the time of the loss.**

### **2.2 Unsupported Payment to Kenya Medical Supply Agency**

In the year under review, the County bought drugs worth Kshs.14,682,051.20 However, the distribution schedule and delivery records were not provided for audit verification.

#### Governor's Responses

The Governor informed the Committee that;

The department of Health had made an agreement with KEMSA to make deliveries of drugs direct to the facilities.

He said that, it would have been costly for the county to receive them and thereafter distribute them to the facilities. The counter receipt voucher (s13) from facilities was then forwarded to the chief officer for health for payment.

He attached delivery notes, invoices and S13 for the items supplied between 20<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> December 2013.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor General had not verified the annexures and hence could not deliberate on the query; and,
2. Issued a disclaimer to this Query and resolved that all relevant documents be submitted to the Auditor general within two weeks for verification.

### **Auditor General' s Subsequent Review**

The payment relate to payment voucher no 001165 – Kshs.14,682,051.20

<b>HOSPITAL</b>	<b>DELIVERY NOTE</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>	<b>REMARKS</b>
Kegonga District Hospital	EMB-2-081255-2013/2014	1,115,081	Delivery note/invoice Kshs.1,252,500.11
Kuria District Hospital	EMB-2-081261-2013/2014	1,836,075	S13 verified delivery notes not seen
Macalder Sub-District Hospital	EMB-2-081262-2013/2014	945,574	
Ntimaru Sub-District Hospital	EMB-2-081263-2013/2014	875,650	S13 verified, deliveries total to Kshs.2,652,723
Isibania Sub-District Hospital	EMB-2-081264-2013/2014	1,339,143	Deliveries Kshs.1,129,181.28
Migori District Hospital	EMB-2-081265-2013/2014	5,055,940	

Othoro Sub-District Hospital	EMB-2-081266-2013/2014	721,893.80	
Rongo District Hospital	EMB-2-081267-2013/2014	1,435,225.40	
Awendo Sub-District Hospital 12	EMB-2-081268-2013/2014	1,357,469	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>14,682,051</b>	

- S13 (counter receipt voucher), S11 (issues) and delivery notes were availed.

### **Committee Recommendation**

The Committee notes that from the evidence adduced by the Office of the Auditor General it appears that some drugs were either missing or documentation misplaced. The Committee therefore recommends that further investigations be done by EACC ascertain whether all drugs were delivered.

### **2.2 Unsupported Payment to Kenya Medical Supply Agency**

In the year under review, the County bought drugs worth Kshs.14,682,051. However, the distribution schedule and delivery records were not provided for audit verification.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. *the Auditor General had not verified annexures and hence could not deliberate on the query; and,*
2. *Issued a disclaimer to this Query and resolved that all relevant documents be submitted to the Auditor general within two weeks for verification.*

## Auditor General's Subsequent Review

The payment relate to payment voucher no 001165 – Kshs.14,682,051.20

<b>HOSPITAL</b>	<b>DELIVERY NOTE</b>	<b>AMOUNT</b>	<b>REMARKS</b>
Kegonga District Hospital	EMB-2-081255-2013/2014	1,115,081	Delivery note/invoice Kshs.1,252,500.11
Kuria District Hospital	EMB-2-081261-2013/2014	1,836,075	S13 verified delivery notes not seen
Macalder Sub-District Hospital	EMB-2-081262-2013/2014	945,574	
Ntimaru Sub-District Hospital	EMB-2-081263-2013/2014	875,650	S13 verified, deliveries total to Kshs.2,652,723
Isibania Sub-District Hospital	EMB-2-081264-2013/2014	1,339,143	Deliveries Kshs.1,129,181.28
Migori District Hospital	EMB-2-081265-2013/2014	5,055,940	
Othoro Sub-District Hospital	EMB-2-081266-2013/2014	721,893.80	
Rongo District Hospital	EMB-2-081267-2013/2014	1,435,225.40	
Awendo Sub-District Hospital 12	EMB-2-081268-2013/2014	1,357,469	
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>14,682,051</b>	

In summary S13 (counter receipt voucher), S11 (issues) and delivery notes availed and the Query stands resolved.

### **2.3 Items paid for but not delivered**

The Health Sector purchased goods worth Kshs.770,000 which could not be accounted for. The two payments were made through an “Estimate of costs” instead of an invoice and the attached LPO was not priced hence irregularly paid without proper procedures. Further, the counter receipt documents were not availed at the time of the audit but were later supplied though they lacked item description details and other information. Consequently it was not possible to confirm that goods were delivered.

#### Governor’s Responses

The Governor informed the Committee that;

The items mentioned in this audit finding refer to the office of the Deputy Governor and not Health Sector as is indicated in the report.

- All those items were fully delivered and received in the Deputy Governor's office before the payment were done.
- There was just an oversight on the officer who received the items when he failed to fill the required counter receipt documents (S13) completely as observed by auditors.
- All these are attributed to transitional problems due to lack of capacity which have since been addressed by recruiting competent stores clerks across all the departments.

He further attached the receiving and inspection reports to confirm deliveries.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditor General had not verified annexures and hence could not deliberate on the query; and

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time in accordance with the Public Audit Act, 2015.**

### **2.4 Advance Payments for various ICT Projects**

It was observed that in the year under review, the County Government incurred expenditure totaling Kshs.36,831,140 on various ICT projects. The suppliers of the services were paid deposits upfront for works that had not been certified. There was no indication as to whether an assessment of the financial capacities of the firms carrying out the works was done. In some instances, the inspection and acceptance certificates for the projects were not made available for audit verification.

### Governors Response

The Governor informed the Committee that;

- The requests for advance payments were put before the tender committee which accordingly authorized the advance payments to have the projects urgently implemented. He attached the tender committee minutes.
- The tender committee combined both technical and financial evaluation as reflected in the attached minutes.
- The works were done and continuously inspected and certified by the projects management committee and certificate of completion issued before final payments were done to account for the advance payments. He also attached the project completion certificates certified by the project implementation committee for the Committee's perusal.

- The ICT platform that was procured was successfully completed and is now fully operational. The Governor further attached the certificates of connectivity.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that auditors were satisfied with value for money, and that the issue that is pending determination is the advance payment .

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the law must be properly followed and adhered to. The EACC should investigate this matter and ensure that those responsible be prosecuted.**

### **2.5 Fuel used in Road Works**

On diverse dates during the period under review, the County Government purchased fuel worth Kshs.4,500,000 sourced from three suppliers for road works. There were no proper mechanisms in place to ensure that the fuel was properly and fully accounted for and efficiently used.

### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor informed the Committee that the fuel mentioned was paid to three petrol stations as follows;

- Mairi filling station (kshs.1, 500,000) - The fuel was used in the opening/improvement/maintenance of roads in Kuria East and West sub counties.
- Hass Petroleum (kshs.1, 000,000) - The fuel was used in the construction of roads in Suna west, Nyatike and parts of Suna-East sub counties.
- National Oil Corporation (kshs.2,000,000) - The fuel was used in undertaking the road work in Rongo, Awendo, Uriri and parts of Nyatike sub county.



- He further attached some of the fuel consumption statements, Copies of works tickets and list of roads done for your perusal.

The Governor the informed the Committee that currently the department of Roads has strengthened the fuel accounting system by use of detail orders which are then registered on fuel registers and use of work tickets.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Governor submitted evidence in response to the aforementioned audit query and the auditors were not satisfied since the documentation did not outline how the billing was done and what the dates of billing were;
2. The Governor further undertook to provide the more information on the query; and
3. Issued a disclaimer on the matter and informed the County Government that mechanisms should be put in place to ensure efficient use of county resources and proper accountability, particularly of fuel.
4. The Committee noted that Only fuel from Hass Petroleum of Kshs.1,000,000 was supported with consumption records and work tickets. The balance of Kshs. 3,500,000 was not verified by the auditors and the Work plan missing; and,
5. The Committee also noted that copies of fuel register and detail orders were not availed to the Auditors.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends the EACC should investigate the violation of the procurement laws with a view of prosecuting those culpable, and recovery of monies lost with accrued interest thereon at the prevailing CBK rate at the time of the loss.**

### **3.0 NON-CURRENT ASSETS**

#### **3.1 Purchase of Furniture**

Purchase of furniture at a cost of Kshs.6,457,200 was made through restricted tender. The choice of this method used did not meet the requirements specified in the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 since there are many suppliers for such items and there were no time constraints. Further, payment of Kshs.1,855,500 was made on the basis of a proforma invoice.

#### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor informed the Committee that;

- The purchase was done through the use of prequalified suppliers at the predetermined prices. That this was during the transition period and the newly established offices did not have any furniture including the executive and county assembly departments. They therefore requested the prequalified suppliers to supply at the prices which had been set by the technical evaluation committee during prequalification.
- The items were then delivered and received. He attached the delivery notes.

Kshs.1,885,500.00 was for purchase of 24 Bay Bulk filler which is a specialized machine for file being used in our registry. First Choice Ltd was the only supplier of such equipment and had put a demand of payment before delivery according to the response of the Governor.

#### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Governor submitted evidence in response to the aforementioned audit query and the auditors were not satisfied since the documentation did not indicate why payments were done based on the proforma invoice; and

2. those involved have since been suspended following EACC's involvement on the matter;

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee notes the administrative action taken and the EACC's involvement and cautions the County Government that going forward strict compliance with the procurement laws and regulations should be observed.**

### **3.2 Unaccounted for Photocopier Machines**

The Ministry of Trade procured two Kyocera photocopier machines at a cost of Kshs.445,138. The photocopier machines were not physically available during the audit. The Chief Officer and the Accountant could not confirm whether they were actually purchased since both were not working with the Department when the order was placed.

### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor informed the Committee that;

- The photocopier machines were bought during the transition period by the T/A officers and were not taken to trade department as was intended instead they were issued to other departments as follows;
- Serial no.NX43817041 is at Deputy Governor's office and Serial No.NX43816969 is at roads and works department.
- They were being serviced regularly by MFI company ltd.

He informed the Committee that attached are the service job cards. The photocopiers existences have also been physically verified by the Auditors.

### **Committee Observation:**

*The observed that:*

1. the Governor submitted evidence in response to the aforementioned audit query and the Auditors informed the committee that the matter has been adequately addressed;

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Query stands resolved, however the Committee cautioned the Governor that procurement goods and services must be fully accounted for in accordance with the law.**

#### **4.0 Purchases of assorted items for the Deputy Governor's office**

##### **4.1 Purchases of Curtains and Other Items**

A payment for the supply of curtains amounting to Kshs.145,000 was not supported.

It was not possible to confirm that the said items were ever supplied.

### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor informed the Committee that;

- The office of the deputy governor requested for curtains and furniture to be purchased for his office use during the financial year 2013/2014. The items were then delivered and received according to procurement procedures and physically verified.
- Currently they have inventory for all county departments.
- After issue of LPO, Delivery notes and Invoices were brought together with the goods.
- Copies of Acceptance and Inspection report and S3 cards were then availed.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that* the Governor submitted evidence in response to the aforementioned audit query and the Auditors informed the committee that the matter has been adequately addressed;

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee cautioned that inventory records of items in every office should be kept in the offices.**

### **4.2 Purchase of Motor Vehicles**

The County Government procured 41 Motor Vehicles at a cost of Kshs. 231,678,610 by use of supplies branch circular no. R04/2011-2012 which had expired on 31 November 2013. The procurements were done by selecting a supplier from the list based on the preferred vehicle type without reference to other suppliers in the list who had different models. This in our view was not competitive as it locked out other suppliers. Further, there were no tender committee minutes approving such purchases.

## **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the purchase of the vehicles was not direct procurement as stated instead it was guided by the supplies branch circular;
2. That the purchase of motor vehicles was not done at once but were spread throughout the financial year after adoption of the circular by the tender committee on 3rd July 2013;
3. That while purchasing the motor vehicles the county government also considered the terrain of the area on identifying the suitable vehicles;

4. That CMC supplied the motor vehicles at recommended prices and accepted to deliver before payments; and,
5. That the matter was under active investigation by the EACC

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. *the auditors were not satisfied with the response as relevant documentation had not been submitted; and,*
2. *Issued a disclaimer and ordered the Governor to submit relevant documentation to the auditors within one week.*

### **Auditor General' s Subsequent Review**

The County Government used an outdated circular (RO4/2011-2012) which was up to date for earlier purchases but obsolete after the expiry of the circular which was 31<sup>st</sup> November, 2013. 27 vehicles, were purchased after the expiry of the circular.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**Committee recommends that since the County Government didn't follow PPDA Act, in using open tendering processes. The EACC should expedite the investigation and prosecute those found culpable.**

#### **5.1 Budget Over Run (Purchase Of Motor Vehicles)**

The approved budget allocation for the year 2013/2014 for purchase of motor vehicles to the various Ministries and Departments was Kshs.122,700,000. However, the actual amount used for purchase of motor vehicles was Kshs.267,988,539. Resulting in a budget over run on purchase of motor vehicles amounting to Kshs.145,288,539 (118%). There was no supplementary budget approved by the assembly for the over expenditure.

## **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Executive Committee had previously discussed and approved the acquisition of vehicles due to transport challenges that the county was facing during the transitional period;
2. That It was decided to purchase the required number of vehicles to minimize use of hired vehicles which were expensive for the county; and,
3. The excess expenditure on the motor vehicles was as a result of savings on Recurrent in the supplementary budget lines within the departments which were then transferred to Development expenditure for the purchase of motor vehicles.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had not verified the supplementary budget and other necessary documents; and,
2. Resolved that the auditors verify the documents and report back to the Committee.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The EACC should investigate the Governor for flouting the law by using funds which were not allocated by the county Assembly.**

### **5.2 Purchase of Plastic Water Tanks**

The Ministry of Water and Energy purchased one hundred (100) 10,000 litre capacity plastic water storage tanks at a price of Kshs.92,000 each. The procurement was not in the procurement plan and hence there was no budgetary allocation for it. The procurement procedure used was also not appropriate considering the amounts involved,

for which open tendering should have been used. There was no competitive process as all supplies quoted the same price.

### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That The tender for supply of plastic water tanks was advertised in the daily nation of 3/05/2013 and the standard newspaper of 8/05/13 , closed and opened on 23/05/13 at 10.00am.The tenderers submissions were subjected to technical evaluation and the same was adopted and approved by the tender committee on 24th July 2013 ;
2. That the prequalification was competitively done and after different suppliers quoting their prices, the technical evaluation committee agreed on the standard prices to be used by any supplier willing to supply plastic water tanks which was then approved by the tender committee;
3. That the LPOS were then issued to the prequalified suppliers for building and hardware materials as per the predetermined prices ;
4. The water tanks were delivered and received in the store and inspection done by the inspection and acceptance committee for the purpose of receiving the water tanks.
5. That in the financial year 2013/14 the development budget was not itemized or activity based but departments were allocated lump sum amounts in the budget to spend according to the priorities of the department;
6. That the plastic water tanks purchased was within the development consolidated budget of ksh.261,426,885 under water department.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee noted that the auditors had verified the documents and were satisfied, and the matter stands resolved.



### **5.3 Purchase of Water Pipes**

The Ministry of Water and Energy also purchased water pipes of different sizes at a total cost of Kshs.7,004,500. The purchase was done from one supplier through direct procurement even though 67 suppliers had been prequalified for the same. There is no evidence that the other suppliers had been invited to bid.

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the tender for supply of water pipes was advertised in the daily nation of 3/05/2013 and the standard newspaper of 8/05/13 and closed /opened on 23/05/13 at 10.00am. The tenderers submissions were subjected to technical evaluation and the same was adopted and approved by the tender committee on 24th July 2013;
2. That the prequalification was competitively done and after different suppliers quoting their prices, the technical evaluation committee agreed on the standard prices to be used by any supplier willing to supply water pipes which was then approved by the tender committee. The LPO was then issued to the prequalified supplier for water pipes as per the standard prices;
3. That the water pipes were received and taken on charge vide delivery Note no.314 which is hereby attached for your perusal.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee noted that direct procurement method was used was irregular and contrary to section 74 of the PPDA, 2005.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The EACC should investigate the matter and those culpable be held liable.**

## **5.4 Water Pan Project**

The Department of Agriculture undertook to construct three water pans in the County namely Dak Magwar (Kshs.2,000,000), Bam Got (Kshs.2,000,000) and Okenge (Kshs.2,908,250). The Dak Magwar Water Pan whose construction commenced on 15 February 2014 and estimated to take 32 days had not been completed by the time of audit in July 2014. The Okenge Pan project which was to be completed in March 17 2014 was 80% complete at the time of audit. The contractors hired to construct the pans appeared not to have capacity to undertake the projects within the required period considering that their equipment was not in a working condition at the time. The cost of completing the pans is likely to increase due to inflation. Delay in projects implementation leads to continued suffering of the targeted residents from effects of drought.

### Governor's Responses

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the construction of Dak Magwar water pan and Okenge water pan was not complete at the time of audit. This was attributed to heavy rains in the month of April, June and July 2014. The machine got stuck in the mud and could not be pulled out till when the ground was dry enough;
2. That the contracts were awarded to the Counties Agricultural Mechanization Services Department (AMS);
3. That the AMS machines were later moved to the water pans and works were completed.

### Committee Observation

The Committee noted that the auditors had not visited all the sites to confirm completion, but had verified one dam, and on the advice of the Auditor, the matter stands resolved.

## **5.5 Payment Vouchers without Support Documents**

Most payment vouchers did not have adequate support documents such as stores receipt records (S 13) and inspection reports. Some of the counter receipt vouchers provided as support/ responses to audit queries had no details as to the nature of items being received, quantities, dates or unit of measure. This is an indication that the documents were completed long after the goods were received. Some Payment vouchers such as number 004 and 075 amounting to Kshs.782,000 have no support documents an indication that they may have never been supplied. There were also payments totalling Kshs.1,375,300 in the ministry of education that lacked various support documents in the form of bus tickets, work tickets and other returns necessary to authenticate the expenditure. Three primary schools were given financial assistance of Kshs.100,000 each during emergencies when their classrooms were destroyed. However, returns for this expenditure were not made.

### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That It took time for the county government to acquire the necessary accountable documents from the government printers in Nairobi during the initial stages of the financial year;
2. That during that period, the stores were managed and controlled by individual departments and some of them did not have qualified stores clerks;
3. That the County had since acquired sufficient store accountable documents e.g. S11, S13, S3 cards for use and centralized the stores;
4. That three primary Schools (Kanyimach, Anjengo and Moheto primary Schools) were given financial assistance of kshs.100,000 each during emergencies.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified the documents and were satisfied with vouchers totaling Ksh.829,300 but were unsatisfied with vouchers amounting to Ksh.545,500 and hence the query remains outstanding;

## **Auditors' subsequent response**

The Committee was informed that payments not relevant to the payment in the query were submitted by the County Government.

Of the relevant payments received, they were analyzed as follows:

<b>pmt.voucher</b>	<b>amount</b>	<b>supported</b>	<b>not supported</b>
3	104,000	-	104,000
229	440,800	440,800	-
33	33,000	33,000	-
199	40,000	-	40,000
200	56,000	56,000	-
<b>pmt.voucher</b>	<b>amount</b>	<b>supported</b>	<b>not supported</b>
205	126,500	-	126,500
227	76,000	-	76,000
222	99,500	-	99,500
219	100,000	100,000	-
217	100,000	100,000	-
-	100,000	100,000	-

222	<u>99,500 -</u>	<u>99,500</u>	
	<u>1,375,300</u>	<u>829,300</u>	<u>545,500</u>

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Kshs.545,500 remains outstanding, therefore, the Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act. Further, the EACC should investigate the governor and the persons involved be prosecuted and monies lost with accrued interest thereon at the prevailing CBK rate at the time of the loss.**

### **5.6 Procurement of Motor Vehicle Insurance Services**

Insurance services for motor vehicles were procured from one insurance firm at a cost of Kshs.7,986,750 using direct procurement method. The reasons advanced for use of this method could not be justified. There was therefore contravention of the law in the procurement of the service.

### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That during the period under review, the county government had just bought new motor vehicles necessitating immediate need of insurance covers;
2. That the vehicles were to be urgently delivered to Migori from Nairobi and this could not be possible without insurance covers. There was therefore need to identify a firm among the prequalified list that could issue the covers without necessarily being paid in advance;
3. That M/S AMACO Assurance Co. Ltd was requested to submit its costs based on the regulated rates;
4. That the quotations were requested over the phone

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified the documents and were not satisfied with the response of the Governor; and,
2. Resolved that the payment vouchers and other relevant documents should be submitted within one week to the auditors for verification.

The Committee was subsequently briefed by the Auditor that single sourcing method of procurement was used, and the issue remains unresolved

## **Recommendation**

**The EACC should investigate the members of the tender committee with a view to prosecute those who are found culpable**

## **5.7 Hotel Services**

Payments for hotel services amounting to Kshs.3,244,345 were made to hotels that were single sourced. Hospitality services were not prequalified in the financial year under review hence basis of contracting hotels was not explained. No quotations were issued out for competitive purposes hence it is not clear how prices charged were arrived at without comparison. Further, the lists of staff who attended meetings in those hotels were not availed for audit verification casting doubt as to whether such meetings were held.

## **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the meetings held in the hotels were preliminary meetings held by the county government when no prequalification for supplies had been done;
2. That the county government thereafter prequalified suppliers and proper procurement for hotel services subsequently done; and,

3. That the amount mentioned of kshs.3,244,345 was payment to the hotels.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that:

1. the auditors were not satisfied with the response of the Governor but confirmed that they had seen the attendance lists related to the functions held; and
2. Directed the Governor to submit all relevant documents within one week to the auditors for verification.

The Committee was subsequently briefed by the Auditor that no further documentation was availed, the County concurred on the use of single sourcing method of procurement, and the issue remains unresolved.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The EACC should investigate the matter and all those found to have breached the law be held liable.**

### **5.8 Variation of Structural Designs without reference to the County Tender Committee**

During the year under review, the County Government entered into a contract for the construction of a Medical Training Centre at a contract sum of Kshs.13,434,319.60. Additionally, there was a contract for construction of a Paediatric Ward at Migori District Hospital at a contract sum of Kshs. 14,151,782.50. Both contract agreements were dated 15 January, 2014. The Bill of Quantities made available for audit verification indicated that the structures were to be bungalows. However, during physical verification, it was found out that the contractors were constructing storey buildings. The redrawn structural

designs and cost implications as approved by the County tender committee were not availed for audit verification. The change in construction works from bungalow to storey is a major contract variation that should have been referred to the County Tender Committee. Also the contractors are engaging in works that were not contained in the Bill of Quantities and contract agreement, which is irregular.

#### Governor's Responses

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the decision to change the scope of work of the two buildings from bungalow to storey buildings was done by the project management committee in consultation with the CEC in charge of lands on realization that the Hospital would not get more land in the stadium for expansion; and,
2. That by this time, foundation works had already started. The revised bill of quantity were prepared and submitted to the tender committee for ratification.

#### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the auditors had verified the documents and were satisfied.

#### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee also recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time.**

#### **5.9 Contract for the Construction of Aedo – Nyamage - Wang'chieng Lela Road**

Examination of payment vouchers and other support documents as well as physical verification of works provided no evidence to show how the contractor was sourced and whether it was in the procurement plan. Further it could not be determined whether the road works were in the procurement plan for the year 2013/2014 and whether an inspection and acceptance committee was appointed for the work.



## **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the contractor for the construction of Aedo-Nyamage-Wangchieng Lela road was competitively sourced through the procurement process;
2. That Quotations were raised and technically evaluated; and,
3. That all road works were supervised by the county Roads' Engineer who gave certifications of work done before payments.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee Observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified the documents and raised the issue that the road was not in the procurement plan;
2. the procurement plan included a global number of Kilometers of road to be done and not specific roads; and,

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee also recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time.**

### **5.10 Hire of Plant and Equipment for Unspecified Works**

The Department of Roads hired excavator, tippers, steel roller, bull dozer, water tanker, motor grader and low loader at a total cost of Kshs.10 million from the Mechanical Department – Ministry of Roads and Public Works to perform works on unspecified roads in the sub counties. A payment of Kshs.10,000,000 was subsequently made to the Ministry of Roads and Public Works on the basis of a proforma invoice No 17657 of 17.9.13 as per payment voucher No 01 of 19.9.13. Information to show where the

machinery and equipment hired were used was not provided by management for audit verification.

### **Governors Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the county hired machine for Plant and equipment works from National Government mechanical department-Ministry of Roads and public works to open and improve roads in all sub counties within Migori County;
2. That this was done during the period which the county did not have adequate Roads maintenance machines; and,
3. That the machines were used to open and repair various roads within Migori County.

### **Committee Observations:**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified the documents and were satisfied;

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time.**

### **5.11 Procurement of Seedlings**

During the year under review, the department of environment procured seedlings worth Kshs.43,832,325 through the use of quotations contrary to the provisions of the Public Procurement Regulations, 2013 that limits the use of quotations to a maximum of Kshs.2,000,000. It was also noted that no provision was made in the budget for maintenance of the planted seedlings given that most of the seedlings were planted during the dry spell. As a result, most of the seedlings withered and dried off. Physical verification at Achama hills where 20,000 seedlings were planted and Oyani demonstration farm where 14,040 seedlings were planted revealed that the survival rate was about 60%.

## **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the procurement was done through quotations to the prequalified suppliers where by a total of 24 suppliers were asked to quote their prices depending on the stock varieties/species of the seedlings they were having in their nurseries.
2. That the supply of tree seedlings from within the county warranted special circumstances for reasons as:-
3. That the Kshs.28,700,000 was used to purchase seedlings from 10 youth and women groups and persons living with disability who had the required seedlings varieties, and Kshs.15,132,325 was paid to 330 groups engaged in plantation spread across the county.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee Observed that:*

1. Noted that the auditors had verified the documents and were not satisfied with the response of the Governor;
2. Directed the Governor to submit schedules of payment for the outstanding Ksh.6,411,695 as labour for tree planting in Oyani, Sori, Ranen, Taragwai and Achama within two days.

## **Committee Recommendation.**

**The Committee recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time.**

## **5.12 Payment for Hire of Tools**

The Ministry of Environment hired working tools from various suppliers at a total cost of Kshs.852,000. On close scrutiny of the supporting documents, it was noted that the quantities of working tools (jembes, mattocks, wheelbarrows) required was not specified. The tools were to be used in the planting of tree seedlings by various youth groups but it was not clear how their use would be controlled. There should be direct relationship between services rendered and value for money earned.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That in the establishment of forests on hilltops, the ministry had to grapple with clearing of vast tracts of bush land and rough terrains;
2. That given the project design to use the local communities and especially the youth groups to undertake the work, the ministry made the decision to mobilize the groups to do bush clearing, staking and eventually the tree planting exercise.
3. That the quantities of working tools were specified on the contract agreements and were accordingly received by the receiving and inspection committee.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the auditors had verified the documents and were satisfied.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time.**

## **6.0 PERSONNEL MATTERS**

The payroll of Migori County was not clearly structured separating staff from different ministries or departments. By the time of the audit, there were staff who were not captured within the IPPD system. Migori County Public Service Board had recruited four

town administrators in December 2013 whose appointment was later revoked in February 2014 since they had been done before the establishment of the town Committees.

These officers were redeployed to other departments pending the lifting of the revocation order. The employment and redeployment of these officers is an indication of poor planning and non-adherence to procedures in hiring of staff. The records availed during the audit indicated that Migori County Government had engaged 383 casual workers. The verification exercise carried out between 3 June 2014 and 20 June 2014 revealed that there were 29 casual workers whose existence could not be established even though their names were in the monthly payment schedules.

Another 141 casual workers were found on the ground but their names did not appear in the payment schedule. There were also no formal contracts between the workers and the County Government for those properly engaged. A suitability interview was carried out on some 594 employees of Migori County Government with a view of placing them where they are best suited. The verification exercise revealed that 22 officers were degree holders with various professional qualifications.

It also revealed that 98 officers were Diploma holders. However, some of these officers have not been deployed and are unaware of their responsibilities in Migori County Government even though they are being paid. The other staff had lower qualifications.

Examination of personnel files kept at the personnel registry indicated that the files are not updated with documents/information required including photocopies of National Identity Card, Tax Pin Card, Academic certificates, Medical Certificates, application letter etc.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the staff establishment of the county government was composed of employees inherited from the former local authorities and those seconded from the

national government and hence there was a technical challenge in separation of the departmental payrolls since the former local authority staff inherited were scattered in all the departments yet the payroll was consolidated;

2. That the county payroll was processed through IPPD which was facing technical challenges at the transition period since it required that the payroll be separated as per departments;
3. That subsequently, staff establishment was pegged on the departmental budgetary provisions and in compliance to SRC recommendations as per the county human resource recruitment plan as implemented by the county public service board;
4. That the county public service board advertised for the positions of four town administrators following the gazettelement and listings of the towns and urban areas by the transition authority and competitively engaged qualified town administrators for Kehancha, Migori, Awendo, and Rongo towns;
5. That the County Assembly, however, reversed the appointment much later in February 2014 after the officers had been recruited and included in the payroll;
6. That the county public service board then resolved to deploy them to serve in other capacities to perform other responsibilities commensurate to their scales and salaries and relevant to their qualifications;
7. That the casual workers in question were inherited from the defunct local authorities and the county had been cautious while handling them to avoid court battles which would be expensive and tedious to the county;
8. That the verification carried out between 3rd of June and 20th June 2014 was done by the county's Human Resource Department to ensure compliance with the labour requirements;
9. That the Migori county public service board conducted suitability test for the purpose of staff rationalization- the implementation of the report was ongoing; and,
10. That a central registry point had subsequently been established with Personnel files been updated accordingly.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee Observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified the documents and were satisfied with response of the Governor; and,
2. noted that the matters were cross cutting in nature and resolved to address them at a global scale.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that matter should be handled by Intergovernmental Relations Technical Committee (IGRTC).**

**The Committee further recommends that Counties should finalize staff rationalization and the implementation of the reports findings should be applied.**

## **.0 GROUNDED MACHINERY AT AGRICULTURAL MECHANIZATION CENTRE – MIGORI**

During the audit, a physical verification of equipment and machinery at the Agricultural Mechanization Centre was carried out which revealed that all the machinery, except for the five tractors purchased in the year 2014, are not operational and have various mechanical problems. The funds estimated to meet the repair costs amount to Kshs.4,669,445.60. The net effect is that the Centre is not able to fulfill its mandate of promoting agriculture, constructing soil and water conservation structures and generating revenue.

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented that the management of Migori County through department of Agriculture serviced the stalled machines with a view of promoting Agriculture.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified the documents and were satisfied with the response of the Governor,
- 2.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time to Auditor-General**

### **8.0 ADVANCE PAYMENT FOR SUPPLY OF ELECTRICITY CONNECTION TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FISHERIES - NYATIKE**

The department of Fisheries and Livestock Development paid Kenya Power & Lighting Company, Kisumu an amount of Kshs 3,002,651 through LSO No 0610971 dated 27 May, 2014 for electricity connection to Nyatike Office. However by the time of our audit, the service has not been rendered.

Governor's Responses

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the Supply of power to Nyangwina Fish Auction centre was initiated by the National Government in 2013 but was unsuccessful;
2. That the county department of fisheries reinitiated the process of installing power to Nyangwina Fish Auction centre on 9<sup>th</sup> January 2014 through a letter reference no. FISH/MIG/ADM18/VOL.1/27. Through this letter, a request for an estimate for the installation works was made;
3. That one of the conditions was that the department had to pay the quoted sum, Kshs.3, 002,651, within 90days for KPLC to undertake the installation works and the county did not have any other option since Kenya power enjoys monopoly of power supply;



4. That this was the major reason why advance payment was made to KPLC in July 2014; and,
5. That the delay in completing of the work was occasioned by complications in obtaining way leave which took some times due to local politics and non availability of some materials which were out of stock at the time of order; and,
6. That the installation had since been completed.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors indeed received the quotation letter by KPLC demanding payment and verified all the documentation

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time.**

## **9.0 RECEIPT OF MEDICAL SUPPLIES AT THE HEALTH CENTRES**

A review of delivery notes of medical supplies to health facilities revealed that the same had not been acknowledged by an inspection, acceptance and disposal committee as required by the public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005. These supplies were instead being received by officers in charge of the health facilities. An inspection of some of the facilities that were receiving large quantities of medical supplies indicated that they may not have the capacity to handle them both in terms of manpower and storage. For example, Othoro Sub-county hospital had received drugs worth Ksh.885,049 in May 2014 yet it had only one Medical Officer handling clinical and drugs dispensing functions. The storage facility was also not secure to safeguard against theft. The facilities were also not making proper use of the stock cards as a tool to control movement of the received items. Stock takes were also not being done regularly as noted in some facilities visited and when done, discrepancies were being noted between the

balances as per the cards and the physical count balances. This was noted in Othoro Sub-County hospital, Otacho Dispensary and Begumbe dispensary.

### Governor's Response

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That KEMSA delivered medical commodities to all medical facilities in the county where the commodities are received and verified by the facility in-charge, departmental in charges as well as a representative of the facility management committees;
2. That Given the huge number of facilities (i.e. 127) it was realistically not tenable for the centralized county inspection team to access all the facilities to timely inspect the commodities;
3. That KEMSA was supplying anti malarial and family planning commodities which are provided free of charge by the national government; and,
4. That the disparities identified in stocks against the laid down store management procedures and tools (Forms S11, S13 and delivery notes) was primarily due to lack of human capital.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors observed that the Governors response confirmed the query and hence were not satisfied

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommended that since documentation was not available at the consumer level of the 3 sub counties the matter be investigated further and persons responsible for poor record keeping should face administrative action.**

**Furthermore, the Committee directed that medical facilities concerned need to keep proper documentation on deliveries by KEMSA, they should also keep prompt and**

**accurate records at all times and avail them to the inspectors and auditors at the time of audit; and,**

**The County Government should issue instructions to all health centers to keep updated records of all health records.**

## **10.0 APPOINTMENT OF COUNTY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD SECRETARY**

Migori County Public Service Board has operated without a substantive Secretary for almost one year in contravention of the County Government Act that requires the board to have qualified secretary. During this period, the chairman has been performing the duties of both the secretary and chair.

Governor's Responses

The Governor presented as follows;

That a certified Secretary of good professional standing has been competitively interviewed by the county public service board and nominated by the governor. The person had since been vetted by the county assembly.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee Observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified the appointment process of the county service secretariat and the CPSB nomination by the Governor was proper.
2. documents were submitted and were satisfied with the response of the Governor;

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time.**

### **11.1 Payment to a Football Club**

Payment amounting to Kshs.168,000 was made in favour of a football Club. The management could not explain the purpose of the payment. There was no LSO or invoice to support the payment. There was therefore no authority for such a payment

### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the county department of youth sports and culture had organized a mentorship programme for the youths through promotion of sports;
2. That for the purposes of exposure of the football talents of our youths and experience sharing the department of youth invited Gor mahia team to play with their county team;
3. That the county then paid ksh 168,000 to Gor mahia football club to settle for expenses they incurred while attending Migori Agricultural show to play with the county team on request by the county youth department

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were satisfied with the response and the Query stands resolved.

### **11.2 Team Building Seminar at the Reef Hotel**

An amount of Kshs 1,535,440 was made for a seminar at the Reef Hotel in Mombasa between 27/09/2013 and 28/09/2013. The list of participants indicates a total of 82 attendees who included MCAs and other staff; however the invoice is for 91 persons. The correct procurement process was not followed to procure the Hotel services as no quotations were given out, no LSO is attached hence direct purchase of services which is

against the procurement process. Also the payment voucher is not fully processed as it did not pass through vote book control purposes.

### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That it was a consultative meeting between the county executive, County public service board and members of the county assembly;
2. That due to the unfavorable political environment prevailing then immediately after elections, there was an urgency of stabilizing the working environment between the two arms of county government hence the team building meeting was organized and Reef hotel was identified as the ideal venue for the meeting; and,
3. That the excess numbers of people queried in the auditor's report were members of county public service board who also attended the meeting.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors were not satisfied with the response of the Governors as the procurement process used to identify the Hotel was still outstanding.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**Committee recommends that the EACC should investigate the matter since the County Government didn't follow Public Procurement and Disposal Act, in neither using open or prequalified tendering processes, and those found culpable face the full consequences of the law.**

### **11.3 Travelling, Accommodation & Subsistence Claims not supported**

Various travelling, accommodation and subsistence claims of security officers and other officials attached to the Governor's offices were not adequately supported. Some of the

schedules were not signed to indicate receipt of money and some payment vouchers were not fully processed i.e AIE holders did not sign the payment vouchers. Further, there were instances where large purchases of soft drinks were done in Nairobi without imprests issued and the functions where the purchases were used were not disclosed. The total sum of payments identified as not having adequate support from the audit sample tested was Kshs.24,438,613.

#### Governor's Responses

The Governor presented that the relevant documents required by the auditors to fully support the payments had subsequently been availed to auditors for verification.

#### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors were not satisfied as Ksh. 3,298,000 had not been supported.

#### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that, the money should be surrendered and recovery of monies lost with accrued interest thereon at the prevailing CBK rate at the time of the loss.**

#### **11.4 Hire of Motor Vehicles**

During the year under review, the County Government hired motor vehicles/ taxis at a cost of Kshs. 3,020,900. The services were in most cases sourced from one supplier. 23 The activities carried out during such trips were not specified. The rates quoted by the suppliers could also not be considered as standard. The method of procurement for such services could not be explained. In conclusion, the criteria used for the selection of suppliers and how the amounts charged was determined could not be explained by management.

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the vehicles were hired on a need to need basis since the county had not purchased vehicles for our operations during the transition period; and,
2. That Travel time leader company had been prequalified to offer transport services. Due to continuous need of means of transport, call for quotation would not be appropriate and LSOs were always issued to prequalified dealers like travel time leader company

## **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors were not satisfied as the documentation provided was inadequate

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that as Kshs 850,140 could not be accounted for and since the money is still owed, the officers should pay back the money to the County Treasury by 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2017 with accrued interest thereon at the prevailing CBK rate at the time of the loss.**

## **11.5 Accommodation for Staff at Tiwi Beach Resort**

A payment of Kshs. 1,738,000 was made to Tiwi Beach Resort for hosting a seminar vides a payment made on 24 December 2013. However the payment did not indicate the dates the seminar took place. The payment voucher was not authorized for payment by the AIE holder and there was no evidence it was controlled in the vote book. The procurement procedures were not followed as no quotations were raised and no Local

Service Order (LSO) was raised. The minutes for the meeting that authorized the seminar were not appropriately signed to qualify as adequate support for the expenditure.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented that he and his team of twelve officers as indicated travelled for a consultative meeting in Mombasa.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

Auditors had indeed received and verified the vouchers and that the money has been properly accounted for.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that all documentation must be submitted in time.**

### **12.0 Failure to deduct Withholding Tax from Payments to Contractors**

Payments to contractors arising from Engineers' certificates indicated withholding tax deductible, however the taxes were not deducted. Contractors were paid gross of withholding tax. A review of payments to contractors for the period April to June 2014 indicated that Kshs. 1,839,263 had not been withheld as required by law.

Submission from the governor

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the preparation of payments to contractors was normally done by the relevant departments and they would make all deductions while certifying the work done and only prepare the net payments due to contractors;
2. That the cases highlighted were omission done on certification;
3. That the County had communicated the same to the affected contractors to pay the taxes that were not withheld; and,



4. That the taxes had subsequently been paid and the named contactors issued with certificate of compliance by the KRA.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee directed the auditors to verify the compliance certificates mentioned in the Governors response within two days.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government should submit the certificates by 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2017 to the Auditors since they hadn't physically confirmed the compliance certificates.**

**The County Government should always deduct and remit the withholding tax before paying contractors.**

### **13.1 Revenue collection shortfall**

A review of revenue collection revealed that the county had budgeted to collect local revenue of Kshs.795m in the year 2013/2014. However, revenue collection for the period was Kshs.238m resulting in to a shortfall of approximately Kshs. 557m (70%).

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That the revenue figure was a projection which was targeted for collection of ksh.795m but only 238m was realized;
2. That the County subsequently had put in place a Revenue enhancement team and also introduced payment of revenue through Mpesa to improve revenue collection; and
3. That the County was also in the process of full automation of revenue collection.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were not satisfied with the response given by the Governor;
2. the Governor needs to further enhance revenue collection strategies in the County;  
and,
3. Requested the Governor to submit a tabulation of the revenues collected during the times of the now defunct local authorities.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that since the management has provided the revenue analysis for 2014/2015 as 238 million and 2015/2016 as 355 million they must institute and implement automation of revenue.**

**The Committee further recommends that the County Government should submit to the office of the Auditor General the status of revenue collections in the county by 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2017, and that all documentation must be submitted in time.**

### **13.2 Revenue Collection by Hospitals**

An inspection of records of banking and daily cash collections for Othoro Sub-district hospital (April, June, July and August 2014) and Isebania Sub-district hospital (June and April 2014) revealed differences between the bankings and the daily collection. Even though, the variances were not individually significant, there is a possibility, that there are other institutions having similar issues but which were not visited. There were also instances where collections were not banked daily from the facilities visited.

### **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented the in the month of April a total of Kshs.222, 480 were collected while Kshs.208, 580 was banked the same month. The balance of Kshs.13, 900 was banked in the following month of May.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Governor's response confirmed the query

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that since there was under banking and the reason not ascertained and the matter is cross-cutting, a law should be established at the Senate to address this issue.**

### **13.3 Variances in Revenue Figures**

A review of the revenue records maintained revealed discrepancies between the revenue summary report and actual records available at the Revenue Office. For example a review of income for the period April to June 2014 revealed the following:

ITEM	REVENUE REPORT	RECORDS	VARIANCE
Cess – sugarcane	7,626,605.00	6,651,290.00	(975,315.00)
Public works (building)	165,000.00	156,000.00	(9,000.00)
Physical planning	7,000.00	367,000.00	360,000.00
Fisheries	60,210.00	62,400.00	2,190.00
Survey fees -	-	137,370.00	137,370.00

It was therefore not possible to ascertain the correct revenue figures.

## **Governor's Responses**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the actual Cess - Sugarcane revenue realized was Kshs.7,626,605 as per the revenue report and not Kshs.6,651,290.00;
2. That there existed a variance of Kshs.975,545 which was as a result of an omission of cheque Nos. 014481 of Kshs.435,952 and 043447 of Kshs.539,593. Totaling to the variance figure of Kshs.975,545; and,
3. The cheques were deposited in Migori County Revenue Account on 16th May 2014 & 26th June 2015.
4. Public Works (Building)

That the County record for the period shows that the actual collection was Kshs. 165,000 and was erroneously recorded as Kshs.156, 000.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors had verified the figures regarding the sugar cane documents and were satisfied with the response of the Governor. The Query therefore stands resolved.

### **13.4 Non Collection of Revenue-Parking Fees**

A spot check of collection of parking fees revealed that motor vehicles parking in most spots in town were not paying parking fees. A spot check at the post office area revealed that forty one vehicles, taxis and private vehicles stationed there at the time of the visit had not paid. The County Government may have lost undetermined amount during the year 2014 in uncollected revenue.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That there had been a dispute between the county and the taxi operators over the payment of parking fees;

2. That the taxi operators complained of lack of designated parking slots as the main reason for not paying parking fee; and,
3. That subsequently there was a meeting between the taxi operators & the County Government officers & a resolution was reached that the operators pay the levies as the County Government renovates the temporary parking site.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors observed that subsequent audits would confirm if the parking fees were paid; and,
2. Resolved to follow the issue in the subsequent audits.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that this matter be dealt with during the FY14/15 audited accounts.**

### **13.5 Unaccounted for Revenue**

In the process of reviewing the revenue collection processes, it was noted that there are loopholes being exploited by some of the County staff to misappropriate funds. For example, one employee, who was a revenue collector and has reportedly left employment, misappropriated a total of Kshs 126,980. He is also reported to be in possession of the following receipt books, as per the internal audit department letter Ref: MCG/I/A/2014/016 dated May 5 2014;

Single business permits	01116-01150
Miscellaneous receipt	2868601-2868650
Miscellaneous receipt	013108-013200
Miscellaneous receipt	009051-009100

## **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the employee Robert Marowa Mwikwabe- PF 34401128 was immediately reported to the county head of human resource;
2. That he was interdicted and later appeared before county human resource disciplinary committee and deployed out of revenue department; and,
3. After his submission and defense, the committee resolved that Mr. Robert Marowa be surcharged for the money lost.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were satisfied with the recovery efforts being made in regard to this query; and,
2. Directed the Governor submits verification of the recovered booklets.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that since the auditors confirmed that no documents have been received, the Governor is hereby directed to submit the information required to the Auditors by 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2017, failure to which the Governor be made to repay the amount in full with the prevailing CBK rates at the time of the loss.**

## **14.0 ALLOWANCES PAID TO THE PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE MEMBERS**

The committees involved in procurement were paid sitting allowances totaling Kshs.2,500,000 in the months of April, May and June 2014. It was noted that, the members were paid between 4 and 6 allowances per procurement meeting based on the item being discussed. It was also noted that there are very many officers involved in one meeting with several support staff included.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That due to the volume of the work, some evaluations were done in piece meal and could extend for many days; and,
2. That most of the officers were paid in arrears for meetings attended earlier.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors confirmed that they had received and verified the documentation.

## **15.0 PROCUREMENT OF ICT EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES**

A review of the procurement plan for the year 2013/2014 indicated that Kshs.47,687,259 was set aside for establishment of ICT infrastructure and purchase of related ICT equipment for service delivery. However, scrutiny of payment vouchers and other documents availed for audit indicated that a total of Kshs.130,528,306 was spent.

Further, it was noted that eight (8) Citizen Service Centres have been established at a cost of Kshs.87,174,040. Each Centre was supplied with 12 computers, 13 desks and chairs, 1

server, one printer and networking infrastructure. In all a total of 101 computers were supplied to the eight centres.

It was however observed that these computers have not been branded. Although training was provided to 76 members of staff to offer services at these centres, they have not been deployed to these stations. The citizens are yet to benefit fully from these centres.

It was also observed that the County Government purchased 65 computers at a cost of Kshs 7,205,995 for distribution to the Youth Polytechnics within the County. However, upon physical verification, it was noted that the computers were still in store and are yet to be distributed to the Polytechnics. These computers were delivered on 9 June 2014 vide delivery note No. 191.

### **Governor's Response**

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That in an attempt to implement the CIDP projects the county experienced growth on the need for computers to implement information technology programmes that were embedded in the business process;
2. That the demand for the automation of various processes led to the expenditure in terms of infrastructure expansion and support;
3. That the department of ICT was later transferred to the office of the Governor to incorporate the E-Government initiatives like citizen service centers coupled with county wide net working of all sub county offices; and,
4. That Supplementary budgetary allocation were made to the adjusted scope of the department accordingly to accommodate the reallocation of funds of Kshs.150,000,000 which was therefore sufficient to accommodate the ICT expenditures.



## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were satisfied with the response of the Governor; and,
2. the Governor needs to submit a distribution list of the equipment.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government should submit the list of distribution of equipment to the office of the Auditor General, since it was not provided. This should be included in the FY 2014/15 submissions.**

## CHAPTER SEVEN

### KWALE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

The Governor of Kwale, Hon. Salim Mvurya was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of Kwale County Government for the financial year 2013/2014. Hon Mvurya appeared before the Committee on Tuesday, 2<sup>nd</sup> August and Wednesday 21<sup>st</sup> September, 2016. The Committee considered the audit queries against the Governors response and made recommendations accordingly:

The Governors written submission and relevant evidence collected are marked as **ANNEX. 7**

#### 1.0 Procurement of Goods, Works and Services

##### 1.1.1 Procurement of Garage Equipment

A review of the procurement records availed for audit indicated that the County Government during the year under review, paid for various garage equipment amounting to Kshs.1,365,000 as indicated below: -

Date	P. V. No.	Description	Amount (Kshs.)
4.6.2014	1872	Trolling Jack	115,000.00
4.6.2014	1872	Computerized diagnosing machine	680,000.00
4.6.2014	1872	10 ton standing jack	144,000.00
4.6.2014	1872	Generator and compressor	210,000.00
4.6.2014	1872	High Pressure grease	162,000.00
3.4.2014	4212	Office chair without arm rest	<u>54,000.00</u>
			<b><u>1,365,000.00</u></b>

However, a review of the stores records made available did not give evidence of these items ever having been received in to the stores through the counter receipt voucher (S13), taken on charge through bin cards (S3) as required and having been issued out officially through counter requisition and issue voucher (S11). Consequently, the items for which the expenditure of Kshs.1,365,000 relates to could not be accounted for. This expenditure therefore appears nugatory.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government at its inception did not have a garage facility which was essential and prudent for any institution;
2. That the documents were subsequently availed to the auditors for verification

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the documents were not availed in time for the Auditors' comment;
2. that the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted document.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee noted that the relevant documents were availed for verification to the Auditor, and the Auditor went further to physically verify the equipment. The issue therefore stands resolved.**

#### **1.1.2 Procurement of Survey Equipment**

Information availed for audit review indicated that the County Government procured three G.P.S machines (Trimble Geoxh XT 6000) at a total cost of Kshs.3,795,000 for use

by the survey department. However, no documents were availed for audit review to support how the supplier was identified, selected and awarded the tender. No requisition from the user department was availed for audit review to confirm that in deed the user department requested for the equipment. In addition, information made 7 available indicates that the equipment was supplied without a critical software required to operationalize the same.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the county government at its inception was faced with serious human resource and capacity gaps; and,
2. That the documents were subsequently presented for verification.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the documents were not availed in time for the Auditors' comment;
2. Noted that the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted document;
3. Issued a disclaimer on the matter and directed the County Executive to submit relevant documents to the auditors within one week; and
4. Subsequently, the Auditor-General informed the Committee that the documents resubmitted for verification by the County Government such as the evaluation minutes, were handwritten which was irregular and therefore the Query remains unresolved.

## **Committee Recommendation**

The committee observed that documents were falsified, and recommend the DCI investigate with an aim to prosecute the officer/s responsible and that the persons involved be investigated.

### **1.1.3 Procurement of Farm Inputs**

Information availed for audit review indicated that the County Government spent Kshs.38,478,000 on procurement of farm inputs as indicated below: -

<b>PV. No.</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Amount (Ksh.)</b>
104	31/12/2013	15,750,000.00
1021	18/03/2014	4,992,000.00
1256	03/04/2014	5,376,000.00
4126	05/04/2014	12,360,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>38,478,000.00</b>

The procurement was in respect of 6000 bags of seeds, 6000 bags of Calcium Ammonium Nitrate (CAN) and 6000 bags of Diammonium Phosphate (DAP) fertilizers to be distributed to farmers within the County. However, no supporting documents were availed for audit review to show how the suppliers were identified, selected and awarded the tenders. Further, the farm inputs were to be supplied for free to the farmers but no clear documented policy for identifying the farmers was availed for audit review. In addition, the distribution list availed for audit review was not dated and failed to disclose all the details of the beneficiaries including ID numbers, land registration numbers and their signatures. The competitiveness, equity and fairness of the prices charged and benefits accruing therefore could not be confirmed.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the procurement of the farm input was done competitively as provided for in the public procurement laws; and,
2. That the identification of the beneficiaries who are farmers was done at the village level which the lowest decentralized unit within the County in line with the principle of devolution and public engagement.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents.
2. Issued a disclaimer on the matter and directed the County Executive to submit relevant documents to the auditors; and,
3. was subsequently informed that OAG was not able to confirm the procurement procedure because the county government did not use the correct procedure for procurement to identify the suppliers, and instead used a list of prequalification instead of open tender;
4. Verification from the farmers was not possible from the list of beneficiaries.  
Therefore the Query remains unresolved

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends the EACC should investigate the violation of the procurement laws with a view of prosecuting those culpable, and recovery of monies lost with accrued interest thereon at the prevailing CBK rate at the time of the loss.**

### **1.1.4 Procurement of Repair Works from non-qualified supplier**

Information availed for audit review indicated that the County Government undertook various repairs of County vehicles and machinery at a local farm at a cost of Kshs.1,739,720. However, a review of procurement records revealed that the firm was not among those firms prequalified to undertake the repair works for the County Government. In addition, the inspection reports by the government agencies charged with the responsibility for works on public assets were not made available for audit verification. The procurement of these repair works is therefore against the Public Procurement and Disposals Act, 2005 and the relevant regulations. In the circumstances, it has not been possible to confirm in deed if the County Executive got value for money in the procurement process.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented the repairs works were done by Hamisi Kassim Auto works which was pre-qualified firm of the County government.

#### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

- 1. there is a discrepancy as to whether the repairs were done by Hamisi Kassim Auto Works or Hasim Kassim auto work;*
- 2. that the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents of repair work; and*
- 3. the Auditor General subsequently reported that additional documents submitted allowed them to verify the issue of Hamisi Kassim.*

### **1.1.5 Procurement of Goods, Works and Services by Imprest**

A review of the imprest records maintained by the County Government, for the period under review, revealed that various officers were issued with imprest to undertake various procurement activities amounting to Kshs.1,543,900. No satisfactory explanation

was provided for use of imprest in making these procurements contrary to the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 and Public Procurement and Disposal Regulations, 2006.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented that in the financial year 2013/2014 the county government had serious shortage of skilled staff and the use of imprest was the most prudent way since the payment were made to labourers who were mainly youth.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditors were satisfied after verification of the submitted document*

#### **1.1.6 Purchase of Computers**

Information availed for audit review indicated that the County Government paid for supply of 33 laptops and 32 desktop computers for an amount of Kshs.6,760,000 as indicated below: -

<b>PV NO.</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Goods supplied</b>	<b>Amount (Ksh.)</b>
300	03/02/2014	32No. Laptop Computers	1,975,000.00
300	03/02/2014	32No. Computers	4,785,000.00
<b>Total</b>			<b><u>6,760,000.00</u></b>

However, a review of the relevant supporting documents attached to the payment voucher indicated that critical supporting records such as official counter receipt voucher (S13) and counter requisition and issue voucher (S11) as evidence for receipt of the items in store were not included. In addition, availed information indicated that the manufacturer's warranty for all the laptops and computers bought expired on 18 March,



2014 which was one month after the computers were delivered. The management did not provide for audit verification information on how the suppliers were identified, selected and awarded the tender. Further, no distribution list of the computers including acknowledgement of receipt of the same by users was availed for audit review. Consequently, the authenticity of this expenditure could not be ascertained as at the time of this audit.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That the procured computers were all delivered to the County Government but Due to the technical nature of the goods, they had to be inspected by the Information, Communication and Technology (ICT) department to authenticate their quality and standard;
2. That Since S13 and S11 do not have provision for the additional details sought by the ICT department, an alternative form was designed to capture all necessary information;
3. That the computers noted in the Auditors report were supplied by two vendors i.e. Ms. Lugala General Suppliers 33 Laptops for Finance Department, Irad office suppliers 32 Desktops for the Department of Education and other accessories and 19 Desktops for the Department of Finance on various dates.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the County Government breached the public procurement and disposal act, 2005 by splitting tenders;
2. that the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted document.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the DCI should investigate this matter further with a view to prosecuting those found to have flouted the law.**

#### **1.17 Purchase of Goods, Works and Services from non-prequalified suppliers**

Audit review indicated that the County Executive sourced for goods and services worth Kshs.712,660 from suppliers who were not prequalified for the year 2013/2014 contrary to the provisions of Section 25(3) of the Public Procurement and Disposal Regulations, 2006, which requires that the procuring entity invite tenders from only the persons who have been prequalified.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the procurement referred to in this case was done during the transitional period before the county government pre-qualified its own list of suppliers;
2. That the executive used the list of pre-qualified suppliers of the defunct county council of Kwale for the period between June and December 2013 when the county tender board approved award for prequalification of suppliers for the county government; and
3. That the new list of suppliers was adopted by the tender board on 9<sup>th</sup>December, 2013.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted document.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the Query remains unresolved, as the issues raised fall under general penalties covered under section 177 of the Public Procurement**

**and Disposal Act. The Committee further recommends that EACC investigate and prosecute the individuals responsible.**

## **1.2 Payments to Council of Governors**

Information availed for audit review indicated that during the period under review, the County Government paid a total amount of Kshs.6,100,880 to the Council of Governors vide PV.no. 18/03/2014 of Kshs.3,000,000 and PV no.1680 dated 25/5/14 of Kshs.3,100,880. This was part of the total support to the Council of Governors for the year under review of Kshs.7,500,880. This expenditure was incurred in contravention of Section 37 of the Intergovernmental Relations Act of 2012 on operational expenses in respect of the structures and institutions established in this Act which require that such operational expenses be provided for in the annual estimates of the revenue and expenditure of the National Government. It is not possible to confirm the regulation under which this payment was made.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the payment was done after approval to pay the same was granted by the County Executive Committee meeting held on 23<sup>rd</sup> April, 2014 and subsequently approved by the County Assembly in a Supplementary Budget; and
2. That the Council of Governor is a statutory body which is supposed to be funded by National Treasury but due to lack funding the National Government, the Council of Governors resolved that the 47 counties to contribute funds for day to day running of the activities of the Council of Government..

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted document.
2. Noted that this query affects all counties.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the since the Council of Governors is funded by the National Government the county governments flout the law by funding the Council of Governors, therefore the practice should cease with immediate effect.**

### **1.3 Hire of Motor Vehicles**

Documents made available for audit review indicated that the County Government incurred a total of Kshs.1,995,000 on hire of buses for transportation of the public to various locations during various activities officiated by the Governor during the period under review. However, the basis of these payments was not provided for audit review. Further, no documents were availed for audit review to show how the transporters were identified, selected and awarded the contract. The list/manifest of people transported during the various activities were not made available for audit review. Further, no comprehensive list of the expenditure of Kshs.1,995,000 was availed for audit verification.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

That the hire of buses on various dates was to transport participants to various functions which included:-

- i. Participants to Cultural Fair competition at the County level from Wards.
- ii. Participants to National Music festivals in Nairobi.
- iii. Participants to County function in our effect to promote cohesion and integration following the pre-election conflicts.

That the buses were hired from Secondary schools within the County and quotations were raised as this was the most economical way to save on public resources compared to hire of private transport.

## **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the Auditors were satisfied after verification of the submitted document and the issue stands resolved.

### **2.0 Outstanding Imprest**

A review of the imprest records availed for audit review indicated that the County Government had total outstanding imprest amounting to Kshs.19,591,744 as at 30 June 2014. However, scrutiny of the imprest records revealed that an officer with total outstanding imprest amounting to Kshs.13,350 was issued with additional imprest 11 before accounting for imprest issued to her earlier, contrary to the provisions of Section 152 of Public Finance Management Act, 2012, on prudent management of imprest and various regulations governing issuance and surrender of imprest. Further, information availed for audit review revealed that an officer who ceased working for the County Government had outstanding imprests amounting to Kshs.586,300 as at 30 June 2014. No satisfactory explanation was provided to why he was cleared before surrender or recovery of the outstanding amount.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That they concurred with the Auditors on the matter;
2. That the outstanding Imprest was Kshs.19,657,994 and has since been deducted from the affected staff salaries in the month of January and February;
3. That the double payment of Imprest to staff members was an error that had since been corrected; and,
4. That the Imprest of Kshs.586,300 that was outstanding to an employee who was transferred had been surrendered.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were satisfied with the IPPD printout showing the said recoveries had been effected;
2. Noted that the Governor had not availed a schedule showing the duration of time it would take to clear the imprests; and,
3. Directed the Governor to submit the schedules to the auditors within one week
4. The Auditor General subsequently reported to the Committee that the County government submitted documentation and the schedule which was verified. Kshs.6 million was recovered from the payroll and 13 million in vouchers.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that EACC investigate the matter and prosecute those who may have misappropriated funds through County Government imprest as the authenticity of the Kshs.13,426,834 that was recovered through the vouchers produced after the time of audit, cannot be ascertained.**

## **3.0 Emergency Fund**

The audit of the County Government financial records again revealed that the County Government had set aside Kshs.23,000,000 as Emergency Fund during the period under review in line with Section 110 of the Public Finance Management Act 2012. However, the Fund is yet to be established by the County Executive Committee and approved by the County Assembly as required by the law.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County concurred with the auditors that the County had not established an emergency Fund Bill for submission to the County Assembly as at the date of Audit; and

2. That the County Government in consultation with the Kenya Law Reform Commission had subsequently developed the Bill and submitted to the County Assembly for approval; and,
3. That the Bill was awaiting passage by the Assembly.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. *the matter was out of the County Executive control as the Assembly operated independently; and,*
2. *Urged the Governor to engage the Assembly with an aim of fast tracking the Bill.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**Committee urges the County Government of Kwale expediently enact a law on Emergency funding**

**The Committee having considered and deliberated on the audit query recommends the Senate clear the audit query and recommends further that the issue be addressed during the audit report of the County Assembly of Kwale.**

## **4.0 Cash and Bank Balances**

### **4.1 Bursary Payments**

Records availed for audit review indicate that the County Government disbursed a total of Kshs.100,249,373 as bursary to various beneficiaries during the year under review. However, no Education and Bursary Act for the county forming the basis of the bursary scheme and spelling out the beneficiaries' evaluation criteria was availed for audit review. In addition, the payment details provided in support of the bursary disbursements amounted to Kshs.95,965,860 resulting in unexplained and unreconciled difference of

Kshs.4,283,513. Consequently, the authenticity of these payments could not be confirmed.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government issued scholarships to needy students based on an approved Policy guideline by the County Assembly;
2. That the County later developed a Scholarship Fund Act which was approved by the County Assembly;
3. That in the financial year under review the county Government allocated bursary to needy students within the county amounting to Kshs.100,249,373;
4. That under the new Act, ward bursary fund committees identified the needy students and submitted an approved list to the county head offices department of Education that processed Bankers cheques; and,
5. That this had eliminated the loss of cheques as there was more accountability in the system.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the enactment of the Act was done after the audit period;
2. that the auditors had not verified the list of beneficiaries;
3. Issued a disclaimer on the query and directed the auditors to verify the documentation by the 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2016.

**The Auditor General subsequently reported that they could only verify with the schools for Kshs.6 million out of the 100 million from the documents presented during the meeting with the Governor and the Committee on the 21<sup>st</sup> September, 2016. No other documentation was availed.**



## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee therefore recommends that since as at the time of report writing the issue was not complete, it should be completed by the time of the 2014/15 Audit report.**

### **5.0 Human Resources**

#### **5.1 Casual Wages**

Records availed for audit review indicated that the County Government budgeted Kshs.5,946,240 in respect of casuals wages. However, a total of Kshs.12,458,894.30 was incurred by 31 March, 2014 out of which Kshs.9,029,181.30 was spent on casuals through the muster roll, while the balance of Kshs.3,429,713 was spent through use of imprest contrary to the provisions of Section 74 of the County Government Act no.17 of 2012. No documents were availed for audit review in support of authority for reallocation of the expenditure to cover increased casual wages.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the payment amounting to Ksh 3,429,713.00 relates to Casual labourers hired by the Health Centre Committees under the Health Sector Support Fund that was paid direct to the Health facilities from the Ministry of Health before the transfers were channeled through the County Revenue Fund; and,
2. That the facility Committees at the Ward level would hire on adhoc basis to assist in cleaning at health facilities within the County before they were formally absorbed.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified the supplementary budget and were satisfied but were concerned that the money had been paid through imprests; and,
2. the Auditor General subsequently reported that there was no evidence that casuals are *not* being paid using imprest.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**Public Audit Act was flouted and directed that the practice be stopped forthwith and workers put on the payroll. Since the County Government has failed to meet the timeline set by the committee, the Committee recommends that the Governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under Section 62(1)(c) of The Public Audit Act, 2015.**

## **CHAPTER EIGHT**

### **KISUMU COUNTY EXECUTIVE**

The Governor of Kisumu, Hon. Jack Ranguma was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of Kisumu County Government for the financial year 2013/2014. Hon. Ranguma appeared before the Committee on Tuesday, 6<sup>th</sup> September, Thursday 6<sup>th</sup> October and Tuesday 11<sup>th</sup> October, 2016. The Committee considered the audit queries against the Governors response and made recommendations accordingly:

The Governors written submission and relevant evidence collected are marked as **Appendix 8**

#### **3.1.1. Failure to Release Funds to a Referral Hospital**

The National Treasury through a press release dated Friday 6 September 2013 stated that pursuant to County Allocation of Revenue Act, 2013, Kshs.395,636,481 had been allocated to Kisumu Jaramogi Oginga Odinga Teaching & Referral Hospital. The amount was factored in the Hospital's 2013/14 budget for both development and recurrent expenditures. The County Government however, only released Kshs.129,556,429 to the hospital, leaving a balance of Kshs.266,080,052 which to date, and without any explanation, has not been released. In their response, the management stated that this amount will be factored in the next budget. It was therefore not clear why it was misallocated and used by the County Executive on unexplained transactions. In the circumstance, it is clear that the county Government misallocated without authority funds meant for the development of the hospital and in contravention of Section 43 of Public Finance Management Act, 2012.

### **Submission from the Governor**

1. That section 43 of PFM Act 2012 prohibits reallocation of funds especially those relating to development.
2. That there was no reallocation done on the funds meant for Jaramogi Oginga Odinga Teaching and Referral Hospital. The Kshs.395, 636,481 meant for hospital released by the National Treasury in piecemeal and to date has not been disbursed in full by the National Treasury.
3. The County Treasury transferred to the health facility the Kshs.129,556,429 received during the financial year 2013/2014. The last two disbursements from the National Treasury were received by the County on 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2014 and 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2014 i.e. Kshs 228,902,560 and 180,681,546 respectively. By the time these two disbursements were received, the financial year had closed on 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 hence the amount could only be transferred in the year 2014/2015. This was subsequently done where an amount of Kshs.79,127,296 was transferred to the health facility in October, 2014. Thus the total amount transferred was Kshs.208,683,725 out of the Kshs.395,636,481. The balance of Kshs.186,954,756 is part of the amount still due from the National Treasury of Kshs.377,120, 838

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the responses were received late by the audit office;
2. the county government had not provided proper documentation to the Audit office in time; and
3. Proposed surcharging the Governor for the cost of bringing a delegation to Nairobi with inadequate preparation of responses. This amounts to wasteful expenditure of public resources.

At a later hearing with the Cabinet Secretary, Treasury the Committee raised the issue and the Cabinet Secretary availed documents to the Committee that clarified that the

Kshs.186,954,756 was a conditional grant, and Kshs.377,120,838 was a regular disbursement.

### **Committee Recommendations**

- 1. The Committee noted that the Auditor General reported that Kshs. 186,954,756 has not been released to date, and directs that the money be paid to the County revenue account by June 2017;**
- 2. Directs the Auditor to assess the amount to be surcharged for bringing the delegation with inadequate preparation, and if it remains unpaid, the wasteful expenditure be included in the subsequent Audit Report as an Audit Query; and**
- 3. Cautioned the County Government on late submission of documents to the auditors contrary to Section 62 (1)(c) of The Public Audit Act, 2015.**

#### **3.1.2. Cash Transfers to Various National Ministries Headquarter**

During the year, the County Government transferred Kshs.418,689,044 to various National Ministry Headquarters and Ksh.627, 315,224 was retained by Treasury at the end of the financial year 30 June 2014 all totalling to Kshs.1, 046,004,268. The management responded that the National Treasury had given a demand note of Kshs.858,383,650 against recovery of Kshs.1, 046,004,268, thus an overpayment by Kshs.187, 620,618. The Management explained that the transfers were in respect of Salaries and other emoluments paid to devolved staff by various ministries between July 2013 and December 2013.

However, no joint reconciliation was carried out to confirm the actual amount which ought to have been transferred since the personal files of the devolved staff, particularly health, had not been forwarded to Kisumu County Government from the respective parent Ministries. Further, the miscellaneous receipt generated and issued by the National Government was not availed for audit verification.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. The County Government of Kisumu was billed Kshs.858, 383,650 in respect of salaries paid by the National Government for the devolved staff for the period July-December 2013. The salaries for devolved staff related to Kisumu was actually Kshs.488,202,342. Out of this, the County Government managed to reimburse Kshs.418, 689,044 to the relevant National Government Ministries during the financial year 2013/2014. However when the National Treasury went ahead and recovered at source Kshs.446,633,679. This action resulted into erroneous retention of Kshs.377,120,838 out of which Kshs.186,954,756 related to Level 5 hospital (JOOTRH) and the remaining Kshs.190, 166,082 related to development programmes.
2. The National Treasury has acknowledged this vide their letter of ref AG: 3/171/1r/ (79) of 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2015. The County Government continue to pursue the case with the National Treasury.
3. The refunds by the County were wired through CBK to the respective ministries' bank accounts and acknowledged by the National Treasury. The files of devolved staff have since been transferred to the County Government.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the documents were not availed in time for the Audit verification; and,
2. the issue of cash transfers to the national government is a challenge facing most of the counties particularly the counties that inherited large number of staffers from the national government e.g medical practitioners;

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee cautioned the County Government on late submission of documents to the auditors contrary to Article 62(1)(c) of the Public Audit Act, 2015.**

### **3.2 NON-CURRENT ASSETS**

#### **3.2.1. Procurement of Tractors**

During the year under audit, the County Government procured eight (8) Tractors (New Holland) and accessories from CMC motors group Ltd at a total cost of Kshs.50,044,000 vide LPO No.01531 dated 21 February 2014. The tractors were purchased under Government Contract Agreement for the supply of Government vehicles. However, the supply of five (5) tractors (New Holland T.D 80 4WD -Turkey) was done at Kshs.4,698,000 each which exceeds the recommended price of Kshs.4,408,000 resulting to overpayment of Ksh.1,450,000 for five (5) tractors.

Further, the Management purchased from the same firm plough, Paddy rice reaper, thresher and rotavator costing Ksh.9, 270,000 without tendering process since the items were not included in the supply circular from the Ministry of Public Works.

The minutes of the County Tender committee approving the procurement were also not made available for audit verification.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor submitted as follows-

1. The tractors were purchased from CMC (Group) under Government Contract Agreement for the supply of government vehicles and other machinery up to the end of February/March 2014 respectively.
2. The tractors were recorded in the assets register but the Logbook could not be availed to the audit team since they were still under process, however, they are

now available. The minutes of the Tender committee approving the procurement is available. The Ploughs and rotavators were the ones compatible with the model of tractors.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that*

1. the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents;
2. the Auditor General subsequently informed the Committee that the choice of CMC as the sole supplier of tractors was an issue, but that the tractors were purchased within the pricing threshold set by the circular authored by the Ministry of Lands & Physical Planning;
3. With regard to Accessories/implements, the type of Tractors purchased did not require ploughs that were *only* available from CMC; and,
4. The existing contract was for tractors and not implements, implements should have been subjected to a competitive bidding process which was not done therefore procurement laws were contravened. Also the circular listing the prices of accessories was not included;

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends EACC to investigate the overpayment of Kshs.4,408,000 with a view of charging and prosecuting the individuals responsible;**

#### **3.2.2. Procurement of Motor vehicles**

The County Government through direct procurement method incurred expenditure of Kshs.26,075,622 on the procurement of two Motor Vehicles for the Governor: a Toyota Land cruiser VX 200 from Toyota Kenya at Kshs.16, 364,352 and Mercedes Benz E250 from DT Dobie Kenya at Kshs.9,711,270. The management in its response indicated that



the purchase of the vehicles was done using a Government contract agreement which was not availed for audit verification.

Further, the County through direct procurement purchased forty (40) motor vehicles costing Kshs.181,228,280 from one supplier as shown below:

<b>Vehicle Type</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>Payee</b>	<b>Unit Price</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Volkswagen Crafter	1	CMC Motors Ltd	6,495,000	6,495,000
Eicher Tipper Model	5	CMC Motors Ltd	5,716,600	33,156,280
Suzuki Vitara	14	CMC Motors Ltd	3,995,000	55,930,000
Ford Everest	3	CMC Motors Ltd	5,353,000	16,059,000
Volkswagen	2	CMC Motors Ltd	5,750,000	11,500,00
Ford Everest	13	CMC Motors Ltd	5,353,000	69,589,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>40</b>			<b>181,229,280</b>

- i. The forty vehicles were procured through direct method (single sourcing) in order to avoid competition as the procurement method used did not fulfill any of the two conditions set Act in section 74 (2) (b) of Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005.
- ii. The management responded that the purchase of the vehicles was done using Government contract agreement which however was not made available for verification. Consequently, the County Government may have acquired the vehicles at uneconomical and exorbitant prices.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The committee presented as follows-

1. The County Government used the results of the prequalification procedure of the Ministry of Lands, Housing and Urban Development, and Supplies Branch.
2. The budgetary allocation for motor vehicles during the financial year 2013/2014 & 2014/2015 was Kshs.272,000,000 (two hundred and seventy million shillings only) which was to take care of thirteen Executive Members, thirteen Chief Officers, eight health facilities, and environmental management services. The implementation took place in the FY 2014/2015. At the time of acquisition, the County was urgently in need of these vehicles in order to facilitate the operations and service delivery.
3. The County Government could not access the whole of its budgetary allocation since it could only draw up to 50% of the total budget as per the CRA directive on the budget ceilings. As such the county was unable to procure and pay for the 40 vehicles within the 30 days government allowed credit period. The only option was therefore to approach the various dealers: CMC, Toyota (K), RMA, amongst others to accept specially agreed credit terms. It was only CMC Motors which provided the most favourable terms of credit as per their letter herein attached. Comparatively, CMC had the highest number of varieties of motor vehicles approved by supplies Branch as per the CGK specifications at relatively lower prices and after sales service as well as the regional network base.
4. Toyota Kenya and DT Dobie are the sole suppliers of Toyota and Mercedes Benz brand of vehicles which was recommended for use by the Governor given the terrain, performance and stability. The purchase of these vehicles was done through Government Contract Agreement which already existed with these firms. The purchase of the two vehicles was done after application/request by user was approved by the County Tender Committee.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the motor vehicles purchased have a higher cc rating than those recommended in the government supplies unit lists;
2. the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents; and
3. Noted that the maximum cc cap for a government vehicle is 3000 cc, whereas Governors vehicle was 4461cc;

## **Committee Recommendations**

- 1. The Committee recommends that the Procurement officer must be warned to desist from procuring vehicles that exceed the cc allocation per guidelines from the Ministry of Lands Housing an Urban Development and Supplies branch; and,**
- 2. Further recommends that, another circular should be issued by the Treasury covering or recommending the cc capacity for vehicles in the County governments.**

### **3.2.3 Rehabilitation of Kisumu Moi Stadium**

1. The County Government during the year awarded contracts with total cost of Kshs.8,438,616 to several firms for the rehabilitation works which includes construction of dressing room, fencing, parking building, painting and cleanings at Moi Stadium Kisumu.
2. Prior to this expenditure, the stadium had been renovated by the Ministry of Sports at a cost of Kshs.56,212,484.20 in respect of re-construction of perimeter wall and installation of flood lights. It was therefore not clear why the County Government had to spend on renovation of the stadium which had just been done by the Ministry of Sports of the National Government.

3. It could not be confirmed whether procured works were done through a competitive process as procurement documents including, tender opening minutes, evaluation minutes were not availed for audit review.
4. The County granted a County Executive Member an imprest of Kshs.500,000 for the painting, renovation, cleaning and other works in the stadium. The imprest was not fully and properly accounted for.
5. The bill of quantities, progress reports, inspection and acceptance certificates for the work done were not made available for audit verification.
6. It was observed that the expenditure had not been budgeted for in the year 2013/2014 and no authority for budget revision from the County Assembly was made available for audit verification.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That the expenditure was not budgeted for in the FY 2013/2014 but due to the exigency of the repairs required at the Stadium, it was necessary that funds be sourced from existing votes of repairs and maintenance in the department of Youth, Sports and Culture.
2. FIFA identified Moi stadium Kisumu to hold CECAFA football matches on conditions that certain renovations and constructions were done within timelines. This was an urgent program which prompted the County to set aside some funds to cater for this emergency. The procurement for these works was done through prequalified firms and due process of opening, evaluation and tender award was done. The stadium is always available for sports activities and not closed as stated in Audit report.
3. The Imprest of Kshs.500, 000 issued to Jenipher Kere, the Executive Committee member for Education, Youth, Culture and Social services was meant for the clean up the stadium to make the environment tidy and clean in preparation of CECAFA matches. Previously the entire stadium was bushy, the painted areas

had faded off and some broken, the entire stadium was full of litter and debris and this imprest was intended to be used for immediate spruce up to enable the stadium meet international standards for such games. The documents supporting the utilization of this imprest have since been availed.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the matter is pending before the courts;
2. the County Government spent huge amounts of money on a national government function which also the ministry of sports spent monies on;
3. the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents;

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that auditor having subsequently verified there was value for money, and since the matter is before the court, the issue is to be left to the courts.**

#### **3.2.4. Payments for goods not delivered**

The County Government paid a supplier Kshs.1,036,460 for the supply and installation of deep freezers and solar panels at various market centers. Audit verification revealed that the deep freezers and solar panels had not been installed at the time of audit as stated in final payment certificate. The markets include Riat, Ogenya, Ahero, Nyamware and Pap Onditi.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. That the contract document provided for installation of 10 Panels and 10 Deep freezers in various markets within Kisumu County. However there were changes made in the installation points due to various reasons as stated below:
  - Deep freezers intended for Ogenya Market was installed at Ogenya Primary School near the beach because of security reasons.
  - Deep freezers for Singida/Ahero market was installed at Ombaka Primary School because of security reasons
  - Deep freezers for Nyamware market/beach was installed at the designated place in Nyamware Beach.
  - Deep freezer for Pap Onditi was installed at Kusa Beach, because Pap Onditi has no beach.
  - A freezer was installed at Paga Beach, Kobudho Beach in Seme and two (2) freezers were installed at Jubilee Market in the city.
2. All the acquired panels and Deep freezers were installed and the services of the same rendered as opposed to what is reported in the audit report and commissioning report

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the necessary documents were not availed to the Auditors during the audit;
2. the deep freezers were not in areas initially designated, and the auditors were not informed of the relocation of the deep freezers; and,
3. Further to the clarification that the Auditors were satisfied after verification of the submitted documents, and the query stands resolved.

### **3.2.5. Supply of Marram for Gravelling**

A supplier was paid Kshs.2,970,000 for the supply of Marram for gravelling Sondu-Kusa road. The supplier was not prequalified for supply of marram and no quotations were raised for the same. Further, the inspection and acceptance certificates for receipt of the

marram were also not availed for audit verification. It was therefore not possible to confirm that the marram was delivered in accordance with the orders issued.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. The supply for marram for Sondu-Kusa Road was procured through quotations and M/s Mooschid Co. Ltd was evaluated the lowest bidder. The supplier delivered the marram and the gravelling of the road was done by the County machinery supervised by the staff in the department of Roads and Public works. The supplier was prequalified by the Kisumu East District in the FY 2013/2014 as the County Governments was still processing their list of prequalified suppliers (Annex VII (a). The murram was actually received and used on the road as can be evidenced from inspection and acceptance report.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the necessary documents were not availed to the Auditors during the audit; and,
2. the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents.

### **Committee Recommendation**

**Further to the clarification by the Auditor General, that to date inspection and acceptance certificate had not been availed, the Committee recommends the officer in charge, CEC Works, should be prosecuted, and the Kshs. 2,970,000 recovered.**

### **3.2.6. Procurement of Land and Camp Site**

During the financial year under review, the County Government procured land and camp structures from M/s. Sinohydro Corporation at a cost of Kshs. 12,500,000. The land LR

No. SOUTH/NYAKACH/KOGUTA WEST/5124 was valued by ministry of Land and measures 3.129 hectares. There were however no minutes and resolutions to support the purpose of the purchase of the land and other structures standing on it. The purpose for which the Land was bought was not disclosed.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. The department of Education (user) had made a formal request on the need to acquire land and Building from Synohydro Corporation to be used as a technical institution. The County Executive Committee considered and approved the purchase, valuation and negotiations were done, the Tender Committee passed it and a purchase agreement entered into with Synohydro Corporation.
2. The valuation report conducted by the District Valuer placed the value of the said property at more than 20 million. Only Kshs.12, 500,000 as opposed to the amount recommended in the valuation report was used to acquire the property and it was within the budget. This facility is intended to be used as a Polytechnic. The County Government is providing budgetary allocation for the rehabilitation of the facility to put it to immediate use as a polytechnic.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. That the necessary documents were not availed to the Auditors in during the audit;
2. the land was purchased from a state agency however, the title documents were not availed for verification; and,
3. Further that the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents; and,
4. The land has not been converted to a polytechnic to date



## **Committee Recommendation**

**The matter should be subjected to further investigation by the Auditor General in the form of a Special Audit to determine the status of the land sale, and what is preventing the County Government from developing the land; The Auditor General is directed to submit the Special Audit report to the Senate by May 31, 2017**

### **3.2.7 Projects Implementation and Status**

- i.** During the year under review, the County Government entered into contracts with various contractors to undertake various projects and incurred expenditure totaling Ksh 81,000,194, accounting for only 2% of total budgeted expenditure of ksh 4,426,120,010.
- ii.** The County Government through public notice in a daily paper dated 22<sup>nd</sup> December, 2014 listed projects which had not commenced within a period of six months from the date of award and had been cancelled.
- iii.** Physical verification exercise in February, 2015 revealed that numerous projects had not started despite the fact that by the time of this audit, it was eight months into the financial year.
- iv.** The delay in completion of projects and the large number of stalled projects indicates that the capacity of the contractors appointed by the County Government appear doubtful.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows-

1. It is true the County spent 2% of the total expenditure on development. This was due to the following factors:
  - (i) The development fund for 2013/2014 was only received in the second quarter of the financial year 2013/2014 and the tendering processes completed in the third

- quarter and the actual works began effectively in the fourth quarter. Only a few works had been completed and certified for payment by the close of the financial year and majority of contractors completed the works and submitted their certificates for payment in the financial year 2014/2015.
- (ii) During the period under audit the County was also faced with the challenge of personnel incapacity caused by high turnover of supply chain officers.
  - (iii) The IFMIS was only opened to capture development expenditure in the second quarter of the year i.e. November, 2013.
  - (iv) Poor internet connectivity during the month of June, 2014 occasioned a number of payments to delay until early July and since the County's system of Accounting is IPSAS Cash Basis, then these expenditures are reported in 2014/2015 when payment is actually effected.
  - (v) The delay in completion of the projects as a result of the incapacity of the local contractors led to cancellation of the contracts. The county terminated the contracts of the firms that had not commenced after six months after realizing that the contractors having financial and technical challenges leading to slow pace in mobilization and starting of the works. The County re-advertised the cancelled contracts where awards were given to competent contractors who completed the works and payments made in 2014/2015.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that*

1. the Auditors were not satisfied after verification of the submitted documents
2. Auditor General reported continued low absorption of development budget. Unnecessary pile up of pending bills and liabilities means the county is vulnerable to a barrage of creditors claiming payment. This is an issue that is bound to rollover to the new administration in the Counties;

## **Committee Recommendation**

- 1. Directs the Auditor General to prepare a special audit on budgetary allocation (FY 2013 – 2016) and give a recommendation. This Special Audit to be submitted to the Senate by May 1, 2017;**

### **3.3 CURRENT LIABILITY**

#### **3.3.1. Loan, Overdraft Facility and Related Charges**

Analysis of the availed bank statements, Cash book and other correspondences revealed that in the month of August 2013 of the 2013/2014 financial year, the County Government, took a bank loan from M/s. Family Bank-Kisumu of Kshs. 13,032,474. This loan was to finance the transport and accommodation of the members of the County Assembly who were going on safari to Uganda, Europe and Nairobi on diverse dates as shown below;

<b>Date</b>	<b>Payee</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Purpose</b>
21/08/2013	Not disclosed	Cash withdrawal	2,000,0000	Various payees
22/08/2013	Not disclosed	Cash withdrawal	9,899,974	MCAs to Uganda and others to Europe
13/09/2013	Not disclosed	Cash withdrawal	1,132,500	Clerk and Majority leader to Netherlands
		<b>Total</b>	<b>13,032,474</b>	

The following anomalies were noted:

- i. The County Government incurred negotiation fees and debit interests arising from loan and overdraft facilities at its Family Bank-Kisumu Branch A/c No 025000025445 of Kshs.1,097,100.
- ii. Cash withdrawal of Kshs.13,032,474 was not entered or processed through the cash book IFMIS system during the year under review contrary to section 147(d) of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012.
- iii. The authority from the National Treasury or County Assembly authorizing the acquisition of the bank loan was not availed for audit verification.
- iv. Cash withdrawals were not processed imprests to be accounted for after travel.

### **Submission from the Governor**

1. It is true the County Government obtained an overdraft facility of Kshs.13, 032,474 from Family Bank to meet its immediate travel costs for MCAs and Members of the County Executive who were travelling on various official trips outside the county.
2. The figure of Kshs.2, 000,000 which is indicated in the report as the purpose not disclosed was used to meet travel costs for Executive Committee Member for Water and Energy who travelled to the USA on official function, Air ticket and accommodation for Executive Committee Member for Agriculture, Fisheries and Livestock and the Chief Officer for Agriculture, Fisheries and Livestock who travelled to Israel for an Agricultural Education tour. The remaining amount was used to cater for operational expenditure.
3. The difference of Ksh.11, 032,474 was used in the manner indicated in the report at the County Assembly.

The approval for the overdraft was done by the CEC County Treasury and it was necessary because the County Budget had not been approved by the Controller of Budget and these expenditures could not be postponed and therefore overdraft became a necessary recourse. The payments were done through imprest warrants which were subsequently surrendered.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors did not see the authority by the County Assembly or a guarantee by the National Government and hence the matter remained unresolved,
2. the Governor was directed to submit the Hansard of the Assembly to prove authorization by the Assembly within one day; and,
3. Further to subsequent clarification from the Auditor General that the management had provided the Hansard report indicating authority by the assembly members on foreign travel, there was no indication of the source of funds. The management further explained the necessity of the overdraft due to the urgency of the trip.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that proper financial management practices be instituted and adhered to in order to prevent such anomalies in the future.**

### **3.4 EXPENDITURE REVIEW**

#### **3.4.1. Procurement of Drugs**

The County Government procured pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical drugs from M/s. Port Florence Hospital at Kshs.26, 916,894. However, Management indicates it was through open tender whose documentations were not availed for audit verification. M/s. Elm pharmacy Kshs.1,783,650, M/s. Pressure Point Systems supplied chemicals for Kshs.2,638,500, Laborex Kenya Limited Kshs.401,117, Jochem Supplies Ksh1,230,700.00, Wasmig Ventures, Posun Kshs.967,750.00 Investments Kshs.921,250.00 and M/s. Dns Ltd Kshs.797,400. These suppliers though were prequalified, but the quotations and subsequent processing documents were also not

availed for audit verification. Further, the inspection and acceptance certificates and stores records for receipt of the drugs were also not availed for audit verification.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the procurement of drugs from Port Florence Hospital was through open tender;
2. That the procurement of non-pharmaceuticals for the City health facilities was done from prequalified firms like ELM Pharmacy, Laborex Kenya Ltd, Jochem Supplies, Wasmig Ventures and Posun Investment and that the firms were prequalified as general suppliers for Non-pharmaceutical and Laboratory equipment.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the auditors had verified the procurement documents but could not confirm if the drugs were received.

The Auditor subsequently informed the Committee that the management provided all the necessary documents to support procurement of drugs, and that physical verification of stores records for the receipt and issue of drugs had been done.

### **Committee Recommendations**

#### **The issue stands resolved**

#### **3.4.2. Procurement of Consultancy Services**

- i. The County Government incurred a total expenditure of Kshs. 8,664,400, the payments made to various consultants: M/s. Add property consultants Kshs.6,650,000, M/s. Rodi Orege & Co advocates Kshs.198, 500 and John Opiyo Kshs.493,500. The payments were made for valuation of assets, legal services and review of financial systems respectively.

- ii. The County Government of Kisumu procured and paid Haddock & Associates Kshs.7, 034,688 for advertisement done by Kenya under a new horizon Chinese edition and it was not clear what type of the edition and the targeted clientele. The County may not have received value for money in the advertisement done in a new horizon Chinese edition.
- iii. The County Government made a payment of Kshs.1, 508,000 to Cosmopolitan Media Ltd in respect of payment for County cover story, County feature and investment in the Governor magazine.
- iv. The County awarded consultancy contract to M/S Visionary Leadership and Managements Ltd for Kshs.2, 800,000 to offer recruitment and selection services of new employees for Kisumu County Assembly. We were unable to establish whether the firm was contracted/sourced competitively sourced since the subject file was not availed for audit examinations despite payment of Kshs.1,299,200.

In all the foregoing procurement of consultancy services, records produced however revealed that these consultants were procured directly, contrary to section 76 of Public Procurement & Disposal Act, 2005. In addition, no opening, evaluation, and award minutes and payment vouchers were produced for audit.

Under the circumstances, the process was not competitive, contrary to section 2 of PPD Act 2005 and therefore there was no economic efficiency and evidence of who was paid.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That M/s Add Property Consultants was contracted to carry out valuation of assets for the defunct Municipal Council of Kisumu on 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2012. This was in pursuant to a National Government Circular to all defunct local authorities to carryout valuation of asset by 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2013. Their services were procured through open tendering process and were to take place for the duration of a year. The firm completed its work when the County Government had assumed office

- and its report which was needed by the Transition Authority in accounting for the County assets inherited from defunct local authorities was adopted;
2. That Rodi, Orege and Company Advocates were hired by the County Government of Kisumu at a cost of Kshs.198,500. The County at the time had not yet employed a County Attorney as is required by the Law. The firm of Rodi, Orege and Company Advocates was prequalified by both the County Council of Kisumu and Municipal Council of Kisumu both of which were absorbed by the County Government of Kisumu. The firm continued to render legal services to avoid the risk of loss of cases that were ongoing as a matter of prudence until fresh prequalification were done;
  3. That John Opiyo was contracted to review the financial systems of the County Government of Kisumu in order to come up with the best system that the County Treasury could employ to facilitate the management of funds flow between the various departments of the County Government. The contract was awarded through quotation and was not single-sourced ;
  4. That the County Government, upon introduction by Kenya Investment Authority, procured the services of Haddock & Associates to help market Kisumu County in Europe, America and China as an Investment destination. The objective was to ensure more investors came to Kisumu;
  5. That a number of county governments in Kenya subscribed to the monthly publication called The Governor magazine. This publication serialized features and issues especially developmental ones that happen in each and every county. The magazine was published on a monthly basis by Cosmopolitan Media Ltd which was contracted by the Council of Governors to carry out topical issues on county governments and make them public. It is in this regard that the County Government of Kisumu paid Cosmopolitan Media Ltd Kshs.1, 508,000 to bring out issues that go on in Kisumu County; and,
  6. That M/s Visionary Leadership and Management Ltd was procured by the County Assembly to carry out staff recruitment.



## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee Observed that:*

1. the Governor had admitted that the County had single sourced;
2. the auditors had not seen all the procurement documents;

## **Committee Recommendation:**

**Further to subsequent clarification from the Auditor General, that the Committee did not tender contrary to Public Procurement and Disposal Act. The Senate notes that the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005 was breached, and recommends that the Director of Public Prosecutions takes appropriate action with a view of charging and prosecuting the individuals responsible, and recovering the amounts lost.**

### **3.4.3 Preparation of Financial Statements for five Defunct Local Authorities**

- i. In the year under review, the management paid Retco Management Consultant Kshs.1, 440,000 for the preparation of financial statements for five (5) defunct local authorities. Management did not explain how the firm was identified and the amount paid determined. Further the staff of the defunct Local Authorities who could as well prepare the financial statements were absorbed by the County Government. There were no clear and proper terms of reference and the financial statements as prepared are subject to our audit queries are amendments which the consultancy and management have not responded to in the last eight months.
- ii. The consultant prepared the financial statements for ten (10) months period ending 30 April 2013 as opposed to eight (8) months period ending 28 February 2013 as required by Ministry of Local Government Circular Ref. MLG/1333/TY/52 dated 18 February 2013 which dissolved all Local Authorities with effect from 28 February 2013 and transferred their services to the devolved Governments with effect from 4 March 2013

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the consultancy firm was procured by the county through quotations to prepare the financial statements of the defunct 5 local authorities for the eight months ending 28<sup>th</sup> February, 2013 and to further prepare the county's consolidated financial statements for the four months ending 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2013;
2. That the staffs within the County were deployed to various departments therefore necessitating the hiring of the Consultants to beat the deadline set by Transition Authority, former Local Government Ministry and the National Treasury.

## **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the auditors had verified the documents submitted by the Governor but had not seen the final report;

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the governor and the responsible officers be prosecuted for failing to provide information under the Public Audit Act, 2015.**

### **3.4.4. Payments to the Council of Governors**

In the year under review the County Government paid Kshs.9,504,018 to the Council of Governors. The Council of Governors was created under the Inter- Governmental Relations Act 2012 Section 19. The expenditures of the council as provided by Sec 37 of the Act shall be provided through annual estimates of the Revenue and Expenditure of the National Government. Consequently, the propriety of the transfers to council of Governors could not be determined.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows

1. That all Counties in Kenya remit subscription to the Council for purposes of administration and operational costs at the Council's offices;
2. That the subscription is paid on a quarterly basis to the Council and this amount paid constituted payments in arrears which had accumulated over time because of irregular cash flows to the Counties; and,
3. That this particular payment in question was in support to the secretariat and Intergovernmental Relations together with Devolution Conference.

### **Committee observations**

The Committee observed that that the law was flouted in making the payments

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the since the Council of Governors is funded by the National Government the county governments flout the law by funding the Council of Governors, therefore the practice should cease with immediate effect.**

### **3.4.5. Procurement of Tour and Travel Services**

Examination of the County Executive expenditure records revealed that the County Government incurred a total of Kshs.3,005,530 being payments made to: M/s. Elusco Tours & Travels Co. Kshs.2,634,650, M/s. Business & Leisure Travel Kshs.139,565, M/s. Tonyway Travels Kshs. 69,600 and M/s. Uniglobe Northline Travel Kshs.161,715. Though the management asserts that these firms were prequalified, no evidence was provided for audit verification and it is not clear what official duties the officers were travelling to go and do. In the circumstances, it was not possible to confirm whether full value for money spent was received.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the tours and travel firms in question were prequalified by the County Government of Kisumu to provide ticketing services to its members of staff in the FY 2013/2014;
2. That all Local Service Orders were raised for these services and all payments were supported with LSOs and Invoices indicating the officers travelling on official duties within the country; and,
3. That ELSUCO Tours and Travels were given the bulk of the orders because they were willing to offer credit facilities to the county for the period of 30 days unlike the other tour firms.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

The Auditor General informed the Committee that documentation for international travel was provided however for domestic travel only memos were provided. The list of beneficiaries of travel was also availed. The Query therefore, stands resolved.

### **3.4.6. Procurement of Fuel**

During the year under review, the County Government Procured fuel totaling to Kshs.26,766,348 in the year under review from M/s. Berlin equipment Kshs.24,766,348 and National Oil of Kenya for Kshs.7,400,000. From verification, it was noted that M/s. Berlin Equipment does not own pump station or fuel storage facilities. Though the County Government indicated that pre-qualification from Kisumu East District, no attachment to support the prequalification was made available. Further, the fuel register was not properly maintained work tickets for various vehicles had numerous mistakes, there was no reconciliation of fuel purchases against recorded official travels and

consumption of fuel. Also, the actual fuel drawn was not the same as the fuel paid for since the fuel statements issued from the supplier indicated more fuel drawn than what was shown in the work tickets, raising concerns on the accuracy of the work tickets. The county government could therefore not account for the fuel paid for.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows

1. That during the transition period, County Governments were allowed to use the list of prequalified suppliers and contractors from any National Government entities;
2. That Berlin Equipment which supplied fuel to the County Government of Kisumu before the county engaged the National Oil of Kenya was prequalified at the Kisumu East District to supply fuel to the Government vehicles and that is how it got into the county's system as supplier of fuel;
3. That the County Government had since switched to using smart cards provided by the National Oil to fuel all its vehicles;
4. That fuel issues are recorded in the registers and work tickets, and statements of consumption are normally obtained from the fuel dealer for accountability; and,
5. That Improvement on the best record keeping and documentation was being undertaken.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had verified that the situation had improved since the time of audit; and,
2. Resolved to allow the Governor to submit any other mitigating documents within two days to the auditors.
3. **The Auditor subsequently reported that work tickets remained an issue where they had been tampered/interfered with;**

## **Committee recommendations**

**EACC to investigate the matter and those Persons responsible for falsifying work tickets be identified, prosecuted and the money recovered.**

### **3.4.7. Goods not Taken on Charge**

The County Government did not record all receipts to stores ledger for purchases of goods amounting to Kshs.31,278,047. Receipts and issues from stores were not supported by Form S. 11, S. 12 and S.3 card hence the County Government may have paid for goods not delivered.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government stores had been using 4 Quire counter book registers as store ledgers to record the receipt and issuance of items with space allotted for user name and signature;
2. That requests from the user departments were being done through formal letters of requests or requisition forms which are approved before any issuance was done;
3. That the Forms S11 and S12 and S3 card were being used by Central Government to take goods on charge and the County Government of Kisumu was in the process of domesticating these documents for use in the stores by the time the Audit; and,
4. That these had since been acquired from the Government Printer and the stores taken on charge are being recorded in these forms and card.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. records had been provided to the auditors but insisted that the County Government should have used the S11, S12 and S3; and,
2. Directed the County Government to submit relevant documentation within two days.

## **Committee recommendations:**

**Counter books have now been put in place and the Committee compels the County Government to automate record keeping in line with the present system.**

### **3.4.8. Payment to a Court Award**

The County government paid M/s. Gulf Fabricators Ltd Kshs.13,067,928 being court award on a case M/s. Gulf Fabricators Vs Municipal Council of Kisumu. The payment was wrongly recorded in the Cash book as civil work and infrastructure. The file did not have the background of the case, evidence of defense and ruling. It is not clear why the payment was made without considering the option of appeal against the court award. There was no legal opinion sought and provided by a lawyer indicating that the county government would not win in case of an appeal.

In the circumstance, it was apparent that the funds were misallocated and the non-disclosure of the nature of the case may be construed to mean that the County Government may have paid for a service not rendered.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That this payment was made out of a vote for the pending bills which was appropriated by the County Assembly at Kshs.54,000,000 for recurrent pending commitments;
2. That the debt due to Gulf Fabricators formed part of these pending bills;
3. That the recording of this payment as civil works was a clerical error as this purely was a civil case issue and has since been re-coded to correct the error;
4. That through the letter of the firm of Onyango, Olel & Ingwachi & Co. Advocates there was an instruction to stay the execution of this case and negotiate for an amicable out of court settlement given that the judgement arose out of an illegal distress carried out by the officers of the defunct Municipal Council of Kisumu;
5. That appealing the judgement could have led to unnecessary additional costs to the County Government of Kisumu;
6. That the CEC who had made the payment had since been dismissed.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that*

1. the auditors had seen the court orders and verified them;
2. the Auditor General reported that the County paid before the resolution of the committee handling assets and liabilities;
3. **The County Government should have sought a legal opinion, it appears that lawyer conspired with the creditor to defraud the county government through a quasi-legal process; and**
4. **the County Government paid under duress, and the advocates should be sued for misrepresentation;**



## **Committee Recommendations**

- 1. The committee recommends that the issue should be investigated by the EACC to determine the voracity of the issue which emerged during the tenure of Transition Authority; and,**
- 2. The Advocates to be investigated to determine whether they should be sued for professional negligence and impropriety.**

### **3.4.9 Hire of Excavator**

The County Government hired an excavator from Ministry of infrastructure and transport and paid Kshs.1,917,600 for road works within the County. The following were noted:

- i.** The payment voucher was not properly supported with relevant documents.
- ii.** No miscellaneous receipt for revenue generated by the Ministry was availed for audit verification.
- iii.** There were no inspection and acceptance certificates on delivery of services contrary to section 17 of Public Procurement & Disposal Regulations 2006.
- iv.** Work plan and work tickets for operations were not made available for audit verification.

Consequently, it was not possible to confirm whether the services were rendered.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. The procurement of this service was done to excavate silt at River Nyamasaria and open its course and the dykes which were causing a back flow and flooding the riparian home;
2. That It was an emergency which called for immediate attention to avoid catastrophes to the people living along the river banks;
3. That the money was paid to the Public Works Department as it is the only government department which had the capacity required to do the work at that time and it was also a government to government arrangement; and,

4. That the amount in question was meant for Hire of Excavator, fuel, operators' allowances and contingencies.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

the Auditor General clarified that the relevant documents were provided and verified, in the form of the miscellaneous receipts of Kshs.1,917,600 from the Ministry of Transport and Infrastructure.

#### **3.4.10 Payment for the Governor's Accommodation, Rent, Car Hire and Related Services**

The County Government paid Kshs.20, 910,169 to Royal City Hotel for the Governor's full board accommodation, rent, car hire and other hotel services. The expenses are detailed as follows:

➤ Full board accommodation	Kshs. 4,063,259
➤ Car hire & fuel expenses	Kshs. 5,552,912
➤ Other hotel expenses	Kshs. 678,181
➤ Unsupported Payment paid to the Hotel	Kshs. 9,213,315
➤ Rented house in April 2013	Kshs. 540,000
➤ Rented house at United Millers in July 2013	Kshs. 862,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>Kshs. 20,910,169</b>

#### **The following anomalies were noted:**

- i. A house was rented for the Governor where Kshs.540, 000 rent for the months of April, May and June 2013 was paid but tenancy agreement was cancelled and management explained that the payment was refunded. However, no tenancy agreement and evidence of refund was availed for audit review. The Governor's

accommodation expenses totaling Kshs.3, 511,135 between April and June, 2013 represent double payment for accommodation since there was no evidence of refund of Kshs.540, 000.

- ii. The Governor with effect from 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 moved into a rented premises where the County Government paid a monthly rent of Kshs.250, 000. However, the County Government was billed and paid full accommodation at the hotel between 9<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 and 1<sup>st</sup> August, 2013 of Kshs.552, 124 resulting to double accommodation in the period.
- iii. The hotel beside full board accommodation, also hired out one (1) car for daily operations for the Governor at the rate of Kshs.25, 000 per day excluding value added tax (VAT) and fuel expenses. The total expenditure for the car hire and fuel expenses totaled to Kshs.5,552,913 for the period May to September 2013. However, there was no contract agreement and it was not clear how the hotel was identified and awarded the contract. Further, it was not clear why the County Government hired car services and incurred fuel expenses yet the Governor was assigned three (3) motor vehicles transferred from the defunct Local Authorities whose work tickets indicate were being used by the Governor.
- iv. Payment vouchers totaling Kshs.9, 213,315 paid to the hotel where the Governor was being accommodated were not made available for audit verification. Consequently we could not confirm the propriety of the payments.

It was not possible to ascertain why the Governor could not occupy the official residence of the former mayor of the now defunct Municipal Council of Kisumu so as to save on the costs now being incurred for his hotel accommodation and tenancy charges on rented premises.

## Governor's Response

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the amount indicated by the Auditors of Kshs.20,910,169 as paid to Royal City Hotel is not as per our analysis in the G-Pay and their analysis showed that the correct amount was Kshs.12, 262,347;
2. That the overstatement of Kshs.7, 234,269 was due to double counting of payment vouchers which is consolidated in the G-Pay as indicated below:

PV Ref No.	Invoiced Amount	Consolidated G-Pay Amount	Double Counted Amount
1199-920	2,021,905		2,021,905
1199-906	259,260		259,260
1199-909	983,440	3,780,808	983,440
1199-908	220,400		220,400
1199-908	295,800		295,800
1065-138	2,387,939		2,387,939
1065-682	1,065,525	3,969,409	1,065,525
1065	106,198		
1065	409,747		
Total	7,750,214		7,234,269

1. That the Governor only stayed in the hotel for a period of two months upon swearing in at a cost of Kshs.415, 024.80 as the County Government was looking for a suitable house to accommodate him;
2. That it was also necessary to accommodate him because of the security risks involved in commuting to and from his rural home while performing his functions as the governor;

3. That there were no missing vouchers as indicted in the audit report as the analysis of the alleged missing vouchers were a repetition which had been explained in the above analysis; and,
4. That the amount of Kshs.540,000 in respect of rent for April, May and June 2013 was refunded.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had reconciled the figures and the actual amount should have been Kshs.415,024.80;
2. Observed that the response and the supporting documentation was not adequate; and,
3. Directed that adequate documentation be submitted to the auditors within two days for verification.
4. Auditor reported that evidence was provided, only challenge was the issue of accommodation and the amount of Kshs.5,552,912. This issue remains outstanding since the hiring figures were overrated and therefore a surcharge should be ensued.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The EACC should investigate this matter with a view to prosecute and recover the monies that might have been misappropriated.**

#### **3.4.11 Unsupported Payments**

Examination of payments totaling Kshs.121, 194,088 to various suppliers however, the payments were made without proper supporting documentations and delivery of goods and services could not be confirmed. Further, the payment schedules were not attached

to vouchers and in some cases were not supported with the budgets and therefore it was difficult to confirm if the payments were made to the right payees and how the funds were utilized. We were unable to vouch the said expenditure and whether it was a proper charge on the County funds.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows;

1. That there were no unsupported payments; The listed payment voucher details were occasioned by inherent duplication error in the system during conversion of the PDF cashbook to Excel cashbook;
2. That a case in point is the payment to Rallytec Motors Ltd for kshs.162,685.5, payment voucher no. 4023 of 3/5/2014 in respect of motor vehicle maintenance expenses. This was repeated twenty times but was just one transaction; and,
3. That the data had since been cleaned and reconciled.

### **Committees Observation**

*The committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were not satisfied with the response of the Governor;
2. Noted that some annexures were missing from the submitted documents; and,
3. Issued a disclaimer on the matter and directed the auditors to verify further documentation on the query.

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The EACC should be further investigated with a view to prosecute those responsible and recovery of any public funds lost.**

**A special Audit and determine the legality of those funds should be conducted, and the results of the special Audit be delivered to the Senate by May 31, 2017.**

### **3.4.12 Payment of Subsistence Allowances**

The County granted officers imprests totaling to Kshs.6,372,352 in respect of subsistence allowances but upon surrender no supporting documents were attached. Further, the County did not maintain an imprest register to record the same. The amounts of money being held in imprest represent services denied to the public and there is a high risk that the funds were not utilized for the intended official purposes. Propriety of the imprests could not therefore be confirmed.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the figure of Kshs.6, 372,352 as Imprest/per diem allowances has since been surrendered as the bulk of the money was relating to accommodation expenses for the members of County Assembly;
2. That, subsequently, the County maintained an imprest register in the IFMIS which provided details of all the imprests issued and surrenders made; and,
3. That the system generated report was printed monthly and copies forwarded to all the accounting officers.

#### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors had confirmed that the County had subsequently maintained a register;
2. Further noted that the auditors were not satisfied with the queried 6,372,352 as supporting documents had not been availed;

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that EACC carry out investigations with a view to surcharge and recover the funds from the responsible person/s.**

### **3.4.13 Double Payments**

The County made double payments to five officers totaling to Kshs.171, 500 for attending IPPD Training at Kenya School of Government. The officers were paid full per diem by the County whereas full board accommodation was also paid by the Directorate of Public Service leading to double payment.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented that the payment of Kshs.171, 500 to the staff who went for an IPPD training in Nairobi was out of pocket based on the quarter-per diem guidelines and not double payment and was paid in line with the CBA under which these officers were serving.

## **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors had verified the submitted documents and were satisfied with the Governors response.

## **3.5 REVENUE RECORDS**

### **3.5.1. County Revenue**

#### **A. National government**

The County Government received total revenue of Kshs.4,550,936,547 from the National government in the year under review against a budgeted amount of Ksh4, 866,678,745 representing 94% performance. However Kshs.627,315,224 was retained by Treasury at the end of the financial year 30 June, 2014. The Management explained that the retention



was in respect of Salaries and other emoluments paid to devolved staff by various ministries from between July, 2013 to December, 2013. However, no joint reconciliation was carried out to confirm the actual amount which ought to have been transferred since the personal files of the devolved staff, particularly health, had not been forwarded to Kisumu County Government from the respective parent Ministries.

## **B. Local Source revenue**

The County Government realized total local revenue collections of Kshs.526,479,919 against revised budgeted local revenue of Kshs.1, 800, 739,231 from sub-Counties and the City of Kisumu. This represent 29% performance and no explanation was provided for the underperformance.

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government of Kisumu had budgeted to collect Kshs.3, 417,121,255 from the local sources of revenue inclusive of LATF which ceased with the coming of the county governments of which Kshs.2.5 billion was anticipated to come from the property rates which had accumulated to the tune of Kshs.3.5 billion as at that time;
2. That the county government started to implement the collection process, but some difficulties were encountered in the sense that most of the plots were registered in the rates records with more than one name as the owner and the figures were found to be inaccurate after closer scrutiny
3. That the County of Kisumu had subsequently embarked on an exercise to clean the rates register in order to have accurate figures and names of property owners so that collection can be improved from what it had been;
4. That the county government had set up an effective e-revenue collection system and gadgets that would enabled it to streamline the revenue collection processes; and,
5. That a new valuation roll is being prepared.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the National Government had not submitted a reconciliation of the rates owned and further noted that money owned by National Government was a crosscutting issue and would be handled at a global level;
2. Noted that the Governor had explained the shortfall in revenue collection;
3. Urged the Governor to continue seeking innovative methods of increasing revenue; and,
4. The Auditor reported that the Revenue collected is low, and the County lacks an integrated revenue collection system.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee therefore recommends that the revenue collection system be enhanced through automation to cover the city as a whole and appropriate recording be done to ensure that revenue targets are met.**

### **3.5.2. Under- banking – (Consider jointly with 3.5.3)**

The County Government of Kisumu collected its revenue totaling to Kshs.526,235,506 and banked Kshs.492, 479,919 leading to under banking of Kshs.33, 755,587 contrary to section 109(2) of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012. The amount not banked was explained by the management to have been spent directly but the County Government did not avail any documents in support of the expenditures made directly. It was not possible to ascertain/confirm whether the amount of Kshs.33,755,587 not banked was properly utilized and accounted for.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the amount of under banking related to the amounts which were spent at source during the period that the County estimates had not been approved by the Controller of Budget;
2. That Ksh.31,596,706 was eventually refunded and banked on the basis of fully supported payment vouchers; and,
3. That the spending at source was informed by the very necessary operations especially at the city and other urban centers within the county where waste management, environmental cleanliness and utility bills payments could not be postponed.

### **3.5.3 Unprocessed Payments Vouchers (IOUs)**

Cash survey carried on 12th July, 2014 revealed that County employees borrowed cash from the chief cashier totalling Kshs.31, 155,527 on diverse dates. An amount totalling Kshs.26, 930,499 was spent at source as IOUs contrary to the financial regulations and the balance of Kshs.4, 224, 758 has not been refunded or could not be accounted for. In the absence of payment vouchers clearly supporting the IOUs, it was not possible to determine the authenticity of payments made.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the figure of IOUs of Kshs.31, 155,527 as reported in the audit query was not accurate;
2. That these were not IOUs but part of the internal borrowings that were refunded totalling Kshs.26, 930,499 taken to meet the necessary expenditures on diverse dates when the Controller of Budget had not approved funds release to the County of Kisumu; and,

3. That the figure of Kshs.4,224,758 was a subject of a court case where theft occurred at the revenue office.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the auditors confirmed that the law had been broken by using funds before banking;

### **Committee Recommendations**

**With exception of the matter in court, the EACC should investigate the issue of under-banking with a view of prosecuting the individuals responsible and recover any public funds lost.**

#### **3.5.4 Non-Remittance of Revenues Collected by Devolved Functions to County Revenue Fund Account**

Comprehensive Revenue from devolved functions by the County has not been achieved as at 30th June, 2014, the following are among the devolved functions:

##### **A. Health Sector**

Devolved health facilities' revenue collections amounted to Kshs.279,676,506 during the year under review. However, the same were used at source and not transferred into the County Revenue Fund Account contrary to the section 109(2) of the Public Financial Management Act, 2012.

##### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the revenue totalling Kshs.279, 676,506 collected from the health facilities were used at source as before health function was devolved, these facilities were operating FIF accounts approved by the National Government;

2. That the function of health was formerly transferred to the counties in December, 2013 and effective January, 2014. As such all the collections before that transfer of the function for the six months were not availed to the counties;
3. That the accounts of all the health facilities were eventually closed before the end of the financial year and monies therein transferred to the County Revenue Fund Account;
4. That the County Government did not receive revenue from most of the devolved ministries in the FY 2013/2014;
5. That this was attributed to transitional challenges in the County Governments in which some ministries were still remitting revenue to the National Treasury contrary to the provisions of law.

## **B. Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Veterinary**

### **I. Agricultural Mechanization Services component**

- i. The Agricultural Mechanization Services realized revenue collections from December, 2013 to June, 2014 totaling Kshs.1, 292,838 on the hire of 8 tractors purchased by the County Government during the year. However, the tractor ploughs an acre at the rate of Kshs.1, 500 payable by the hirer but the amount receipted is Kshs.250 and the rest goes to fuel and tractor operators. We were unable to match the receipts and expenditure because the related expenditure for fuel and tractor operators were not made available for audit verification.
- ii. The amount of Kshs.1,292,838 was collected but only Kshs.732,000 was transferred into the County Revenue Fund Account by 14<sup>th</sup> May, 2014, while the bank balance of Kshs.466,606 had not been accounted for.

## **II. Veterinary Livestock, Fisheries**

- i.** Veterinary during the year collected Kshs.1,288,472, however only Kshs.918,000 was transferred into the County Revenue Fund Account to on 15<sup>th</sup> May, 2014 with a bank balance of Kshs.370, 472 not yet deposited or accounted for.
- ii.** Fisheries had not transferred Kshs.180, 340 to County Revenue Fund Account.
- iii.** As at 30 June 2014 the Maseno Agricultural Training had not transferred to County Revenue Fund Kshs.691,146 and the same could not be accounted for.

In the absence of detailed revenue collections from all devolved functions, it was not possible to ascertain the amount collected during the year under review.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the revenue collection from Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries i.e. AMS and ATC during the period under audit went to the National Treasury since receipt books used in the collections were issued by the District Treasury formerly under the National Treasury;
2. That this subsequently changed when the County printed its own receipt books for collection of revenue from devolved functions;
3. That the County Treasury opened a collection account for this department where revenue collected therein were banked on a monthly basis to the County Revenue Fund Account; That the rate charged by the County government of Kisumu for the hire tractors was lower compared to the market rates;
4. That this was meant to encourage farming so as to enhance food security which is one of the key pillars in the manifesto of the County Government of Kisumu;
5. That the county intend to revise the rates through a new finance bill once the programme takes off effectively in the food growing areas.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors, on the matters under health and agriculture function, were not satisfied as the law had been broken;
2. Noted that no proper explanation had been submitted on the matter of hire of tractors; and,
3. Resolved that the auditors to verify relevant documentation and pronounce themselves on the specific laws broken taking into consideration transitional provisions at the time of audit within one week;
4. Further resolved that the auditor's report to the Committee on the position of the money not receipted in the tractor programme and the policy backing the programme within two days.

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that investigations be carried out by EACC with a view of prosecution of culpable individuals, and the recovery of any public funds lost**

### **3.5.5 Cash Loss through Theft**

During the year under review, thieves broke into City of Kisumu offices on 15<sup>th</sup> March, 2014 and stole Kshs.3,021,984 (Cash Kshs.2,349,105 and Cheques Kshs.672,879) .The management hired Deloitte and Touché audit firm to carry out investigation on the circumstance surrounding the theft of cash and make necessary recommendations for action. The management did not provide tender documents to ascertain, how the firm was identified and awarded the contract together with agreed contract price despite incurring hotel expenses of Kshs.280, 000. Loss of County Government's much needed resource in unclear circumstances is a demonstration of lack of controls on the same. Consequently

we could not determine whether the government got value for money spent on hiring the firm.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government of Kisumu lost cash and cheques totalling Kshs.3,021,984 (Cash Kshs.2, 349,105 and Cheques Kshs.672, 879) due to break in at the County's cash office at Town hall;
2. That this could be attributed to other factors other than controls which had been in that office for a long time;
3. That due to the urgency of the matter the management sourced for a forensic audit services from a reputable firm to give them appropriate recommendations on the circumstances surrounding the theft and the necessary controls;
4. That the matter was investigated by Deloitte and Touche Audit firm but the case was still in court and the County was awaiting the outcome of the case before further action could be taken on those found to be culpable; and,
5. That the difference of Kshs.3,159,176 which appears as cash shortage was taken up for investigation to establish what caused the shortfall in banking.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were not satisfied as the method of procuring the Deloitte and Touche was not explained;
2. Noted that the engagement of the Deloitte and Touche was a breach of the law and was not value for money;

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The EACC and DCI should investigate the matter with a view of prosecuting those responsible and recovery of monies lost with accrued interest thereon at the prevailing CBK rate at the time of the loss.**



## **3.6 HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND PAYROLL**

### **3.6.1. Payment to the County Executive Committee Members**

- i.** An audit review of the payroll revealed that the County Executive Committee Members on 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 were all placed at the maximum salary point of the salary scale band. The salary scale attached to their posts starts from Kshs.225,000 to a maximum of Kshs.300, 000 and the SRC indicated that they would enter at the minimum point. However, their letters of appointment stated that the entry point is Kshs.300,000 which is contrary to Salaries and Remuneration Commission circular and Kenya Gazette vide Vol.CXV-No.33 dated 1<sup>st</sup> March, 2013 leading to overpayment of Kshs.1,350,000 in overpayment of salary
- ii.** The County Government paid the maximum salary for the months of June and July, 2013, however in the month of August, 2013, the payment to the executive reverted back to the minimum of Kshs.225, 000 per month. The County Government introduced an extraneous allowance of Kshs.60, 000 per executive member per month for the nine (9) executive members, translating to Kshs.540, 000 per month to cover the shortfall of their pay. However, authority to pay the extraneous allowance from SRC was not availed for audit verification leading to overpayment of Kshs.4,320,000 in overpayment of extraneous allowance
- iii.** The County Secretary also received extraneous allowance of Kshs.60, 000 per month with effect from October, 2013 to March, 2014 totalling Kshs.360, 000.

The extraneous allowances were processed and paid using the payment vouchers and not through the payroll as is the normal practice. The County Government incurred a total expenditure of Kshs.1, 350,000 and Kshs.6,480,000 respectively in of overpayment of salary and extraneous allowance.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

- i.** As indicated by the report, the decision to place the executive committee members at a maximum ceiling was agreed upon by the Cabinet upon the understanding that

most of the Executive Members were drawn from the private practice and were indeed earning more than what was prescribed as minimum and maximum ceiling points by the Salaries and Remuneration Commission Circular.

As an interim measure of Retention during the transition period, the County Government paid the maximum salary for June and July while awaiting further guidance from the salaries and Remuneration commission on the subject.

- ii. The County Government paid Executive Members Extraneous allowance basing on National Government Circular on harmonization of allowances applicable to job group T dated 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2007 as Salary and Remuneration had not issued guidelines to that effect.
- iii. As Civil Servant deployed to the County by the Transition Authority, the County Secretary was entitled to the Executives extraneous allowances since his job group is pegged at T and thus in line with the circular on harmonization of allowances applicable to job group T dated 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2007 in the absence of Salaries and Remuneration guidelines on the same.
- iv. The overpayments had since been recovered in full.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that the auditors were not satisfied with the response of the Governor as the County secretary's overpayments had not been recovered*

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the money be recovered from the responsible officer at the prevailing central bank rate at the time of disbursement. This should be done by 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2017.**

### **3.6.2. Recruitment of Employees**

The County recruited twenty three (23) new members of staff during the financial year 2013/2014. However, there were no advertisements for the posts. Further, the

appointments were done before the County Public Service Board came into existence (Appointed on 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2013).

In addition, there was no evidence of the creation of the offices by the County Public Service Board and no budgetary provisions were made for the recruitment.

The recruitment contravenes section 66 of the County Government Act. No.17 of 2012 and hence additional financial burden to the County Government which compromises on delivery of other essential services.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the recruitment of the twenty three (23) was guided by guidelines issued by the Transition Authority, SRC and Public Service Commission, through a Consultative meeting held with the Governors;
2. That they were personal officers to the Governor;
3. That the appointments were to be regularized by the County Public Service Board after its formation; and,
4. That the regularization of the appointments had been done by the County Public Service Board after undergoing the required suitability tests.

### **Committee Observations**

The Committee observed that the auditors were satisfied with the response and submitted documents; The Query therefore stands resolved.

### **3.6.3 Absorption of contracted staff under Economic Stimulus Programme (ESP)**

- i. The County payroll for the month of April, May and June, 2014 revealed that ESP health workers had not been absorbed into the County's payroll as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 as per Cabinet Secretary for Health Letter Ref. No. MOH/ADM/1/1/130/VOL.I/ (52) dated 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2014. According to the letter addressed to the Governor of Kisumu, the County was expected to process the

absorption of ESP health workers since the staff were performing devolved functions.

- ii. Examination of County's compensation of employees revealed that Kisumu County spent Kshs.38,133,424 to pay for casual employees against budget provision for payment of casual labour under headquarters of Kshs 2,760,000 leading to excess expenditure of Kshs.35,373,474

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the absorption of health workers who were under the Economic Stimulus Programme became tricky for the County Government due to the terms of engagement which had not been finalized;
2. That the staff had demanded that they be absorbed on the same grade as their colleagues who are already in employment yet the grades and terms under which they were devolved to the counties was different;
3. That what they were being paid under ESP was awaiting review and approval of the County Public Service Board for absorption; and,
4. That the ESP nurses had all undergone suitability tests and absorbed.

### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that the auditors were satisfied with the response of the Governor; The Query therefore stands resolved.

#### **3.6.4. Payment of Allowance to Executive Members**

The County Government made payments of Kshs.360, 000 on 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 to each executive member in respect of allowance for attending human resource committee for 30 days. The total payment to ten (10) executive members translates to Kshs.3, 600,000. Although management has indicated that the payment was for the accommodation and

settlement when the CECs took up their new responsibilities, no approval from Salaries and Remuneration Commission was availed for audit verification.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented

1. That the figure of Kshs.3, 600,000 paid to Executive Committee Members was an amount in total paid to each Executive Member at Kshs.360, 000 each to cater for their accommodation and settlement when they took up their new responsibilities;
2. That most of them had come from outside Kisumu County when they got appointments and as such it was resolved in a cabinet meeting that they be given some allowance to cater for their immediate upkeep as they settled down to work; and,
3. That the amount was calculated based on their approved per diem allowances by the Human Resource Manual and spread for a period of one month.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were not satisfied with the response of the Governor;
2. Noted that the response of the Governor did not respond to the matter of money been paid for a human resource Committee meeting but instead to a settlement allowance;
3. Noted that the payment to the CECs was irregular and not supported and should be recovered if no proper explanation was submitted

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the money be recovered from the responsible officer at the prevailing central bank interest rate, from the time the money was disbursed, by 1<sup>st</sup> May, 2017.**

### **3.6.5. Payment of Accommodation & Extraneous Allowances to Acting Chief Officers**

The Acting Chief Officers in the month of October, 2013 were paid accommodation and extraneous allowances totaling Kshs.2,120,000. No authority from Salaries and Remuneration Commission authorizing the payments was availed for audit verification. The payments were made contrary to Public Service Human Resource Manual section F sub section F. 2. on allowances which states that an officer travelling on duty is required to stay overnight away from permanent station and make his own arrangements for boarding and lodging. The propriety of the allowances could therefore not be confirmed.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the payments cited as extraneous allowance to the County staff did not wholly constitute extraneous allowance;
2. That the county Executive Committee Members who were included in this list were paid extraneous allowance up to the end of the financial 2013/2014 and these payments were stopped thereafter and recoveries for the same commenced;
3. That the other category of staff were those who work for the Governor and the Deputy Governor and these staff were sometimes forced to work beyond the normal working hours due to the nature of their work, hence the payment of the extraneous allowance to them to compensate their extra time;
4. That the stated officers highlighted in the annex qualified for the extraneous and accommodation allowance of one month as they were transferred to the County from the National Government through their respective Ministries and the Transition Authority;
5. That the Officers who were paid extraneous allowances worked over and above the normal working hours during the transition period and manual pertaining to this allowance had not been put in place.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were satisfied with the response of the Governor and the Query stands resolved

### **3.6.6. Payments of Extra House and Commuter Allowances**

During the year, the County Government paid top up of house and commuter allowances totaling Kshs.2,916,000 to Chief Officers deployed in the County for performing the duties of higher posts pending advertisement of the post. The officers were paid house allowance, and other remunerative allowances assigned to the higher posts contrary to Human Resource Manual and Code of Regulations. The top up of house allowance and commuter allowance were paid without authority from Salaries and Remuneration Commission and the County Government lost Kshs.2,916,000 in the irregular payments.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government of Kisumu took quite some time to employ substantive chief officers and directors in the various departments within the county;
2. That there were staff who were appointed to act in these positions as recruitment process was awaited;
3. That the cabinet resolved that these officers be paid top up allowance equivalent to positions they were nominated to act and hence the payment of the top up allowance;
4. That this had however been stopped since substantive officers had been recruited to take over these positions; and,

5. That there was no loss as the staff nominated to act in these positions had to be rewarded for the additional responsibility bestowed upon them commensurate with the office and title.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were not satisfied with the response of the Governor;
2. Noted that the law had been broken in paying the allowances

### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the money be recovered from the responsible officer by 1<sup>st</sup> of May, 2017 at prevailing Central Bank rate from the time of disbursement.**

#### **3.6.7 Payments of Salary Arrears**

The County payroll summary for the month of April, May, and June 2014 revealed that Kshs.50,072,310 was paid as salaries arrears which comprised of Kshs.906,814, Kshs.2,825,240 and Kshs.46,340,256 in respect of April, May and June 2014 respectively. The Management did not provide detail breakdown and the purpose and the period to which the salary arrears relate.

There is a risk that unauthorized arrears could be paid leading to loss of Government revenue.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the salary arrears paid were in respect to the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) of 2012 given to staff of former local authorities;



2. That the agreement was reached in November, 2012 but was backdated effective July, 2012; and,
3. That it had to be paid as one of the liabilities inherited by the County government within the shortest time possible to avoid penalties.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the auditors were not satisfied as the Transition Authority had not validated the liabilities;
2. Noted that the CBA was a legal document deposited at the Industrial court and failure by the County to pay would have led to litigation against the County
3. The matter stands resolved

### **3.6.8 Payment of Top - Up Allowances**

The County paid Kshs.2,570,500 to officers seconded from various Government departments as top up allowances contrary to Sections E and J of the Civil Service Code of Regulations and Section F of the County Public Service Human Resource Manual. The County Government of Kisumu did not seek authority from Salaries and Remunerations Commission (SRC) leading to total loss of Kshs.2,570,500.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor withdrew his written response on this query and requested for time to adequately respond to the query. This was after his admission that the response could not adequately address the query.

### **Committee Observation**

The Governor did not respond to the audit query as per his undertaking.

## **Recommendation**

**The Kshs.2,570,500 should be recovered from the responsible officers by the County Government**

## **CHAPTER NINE**

### **SAMBURU COUNTY EXECUTIVE**

The Governor of Samburu, Hon. Moses Lenolkulal was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of Samburu County Government for the financial year 2013/2014. Hon. Lenokulai appeared before the Committee on Wednesday 13<sup>th</sup> July and, Monday 8<sup>th</sup> August, 2016. The Committee considered the audit queries against the Governor's responses and made recommendations accordingly.

#### **1.1 Loss of Revenue on Foreign Currency Exchange**

The Samburu County Executive engaged the Kenya Association of Tour Operators (KATO) to collect revenue on its behalf from the Samburu Game Reserve. As an agent, KATO earns a fee of 4% of the revenue collected. It was revealed that KATO collects revenue from the park in dollar currency and then converts it to Kenya shillings at an exchange rate that is lower than the prevailing Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) rate, resulting into loss of revenue.

Further, the contract agreement entered into between the County Government and KATO was not availed for audit. In the circumstances, the terms of agreement could not be confirmed, whether it was still valid and whether the terms of the agreement were favorable to the County.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County Government met to negotiate with KATO to discuss on matters of foreign exchange;
2. That the profit made by the bank was reasonable and was not a significant loss to the County;
3. That KATO does not make any profit from the FOREX trade;
4. That currently the County had a dollar account; and
5. That KATO was an important partner to the County and the partnership agreements dated back to the era of the defunct local authorities on a 24 month cycle.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

3. *The Auditor reviewed the agreement between KATO and the county government.*
4. *The relationship between KATO and the County Government is transparent.*

## **Committee Recommendations**

- 1. Documents should always be submitted to the auditors in good time.**
- 2. On expiry of the current agreement with KATO, the procurement of the service should be done in line with the existing procurement laws, to ensure value for money.**

### **1.2 Unaccounted for Receipt Books**

Revenue collection receipt books with receipts valued at US\$245,000 or approximately Kshs.22,050,000 were not recorded in the Treasury Counterfoil Receipt Book Register (CRBR). Failure to record the revenue collection receipt books may lead to fraudulent use of the books. Further, Issue Notes (S12) were not availed for audit to confirm how the books were issued and subsequently used for revenue collection. The County Executive may have lost revenue due to lack of adequate revenue collection control mechanisms.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County treasury had always kept a CRB account where all official documents are recorded; and,
2. That they regretted that a copy had not been presented to the auditors at the time of audit, but had subsequently been availed.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor general had verified the receipts and banking done by KATO.*

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that-**

- 1. The County should at all times submit documents in time for verification as required under the Public Audit Act, 2015.**
- 2. The EACC should investigate the matter and prosecute those found culpable.**

### **2.1 Uncredited Cheques**

The bank reconciliation statement for the County Standing Imprest Account Number 1140 816 381 for the month of February, 2014 reflected uncredited cheques totaling Kshs.14, 505,768.20 banked between May, 2013 and February, 2014. Copies of the bank pay-in slips were not availed for audit and it was not clear why the bank had taken long to credit the amounts.

Further, no evidence was availed to show that the County Executive had made a follow-up with the bank to have the matter resolved. There is a risk of loss of funds due to failure to credit the amounts.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the cheques in question were actually unrepresented cheques;
2. That at the close of the financial year all unrepresented cheques were either cleared or reversed; and,
3. That bank reconciliation statements had been forwarded for verification

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

- 1. the Auditor general had not seen and verified the relevant documents;*
- 2. the annexures submitted were not adequate and had some inconsistencies; and*
- 3. Issued a disclaimer on the matter.*

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the EACC and DCI investigate the matter with a view to prosecute those found culpable.**

## **2.2 Unsurrendered Temporary Imprest**

Temporary Imprest totaling Kshs.75,144,527 advanced to county officers had not been surrendered or accounted for as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. No explanation was given as to why the officers failed to account for the imprest within reasonable time after the transactions, as required by the provisions of section 152 of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012. Failure to surrender imprest when its due is a pointer to weak financial control which may lead to unauthorized loans and loss of public funds.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That as per the last day of audit, the counties unsurrendered imprest totalled Kshs75,144,527;
2. That at the close of the financial year the county had processed the surrender documents in its possession and only Kshs.21,864,478 remained outstanding; and
3. That the County had effected salary deductions against those who had outstanding imprest.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. *the Auditor general had not seen and verified the relevant documents; and*
2. *Issued a disclaimer on the matter.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that -**

1. **EACC and DCI should investigate the matter to establish the propriety of the issuance of imprest with a view to prosecute the Governor and other officers found culpable for possible falsification of documents and possible misappropriation of funds .**
2. **The outstanding imprest should be recovered from the officers with interest at the prevailing CBK rates from the time the imprest was issued.**

### **3.0 Procurement**

#### **3.1 Single Sourcing of Insurance Services**

The County procured Insurance Cover for various vehicles from an insurance company at a cost of Kshs.2,493,756, without competitive bidding as required by the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005. Further, there were no details indicating the specific motor vehicles insured, their value and how the premium paid was arrived at.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

Governor submitted that:

1. the procurement department confirmed that bids were sought from different vendors and tender awarded to APA Insurance, which was the fairest bidder; and
2. It is regrettable that these documents had not been attached to the payment voucher .

#### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Governor submitted extract of minutes of the tender process that were unsigned and the Committee issued a disclaimer pending submission of properly signed and authenticated minutes.*

#### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the Governor should be charged for breach of the Public Procurement and Disposal of Assets Act.**

#### **3.2 Procurement of uniforms**

The Department of Tourism Trade and Co-operative procured uniforms and other accessories at a cost of Kshs.3,964,764 from a supplier based in Nairobi through various Local Purchase Orders in December, 2013 and April 2014 without competitive bidding as required by the Public Procurement and Disposal Act,2005. It was therefore not possible to confirm whether the County received value for money on this procurement.

Further, the uniforms were not taken on charge in the stores records as required and there was no acknowledgement from the beneficiaries of the uniforms. Therefore, the propriety of the expenditure could not be confirmed.

## **Submission from the Governor**

### Governor's Response

1. the said uniforms and other accessories were purchased using direct procurement method because of the urgency as the other available procurement methods were impractical at the time; and,
2. A request to use direct procurement method was made by the department and approved by the tender committee.

## **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

- 1. the Governor submitted evidence in response to the aforementioned audit query and the auditors were not satisfied; and*
- 2. Issued a disclaimer on the matter since the County should use competitive procurement procedures as required by the Public Procurement and Disposal Act in order to get value for money.*

## **Committee Recommendation**

**Governor and the responsible officers should be prosecuted for flouting the Public Procurement and Disposal of Assets Act.**

### **3.3 Payment for Undelivered Motor Vehicle**

The County purchased a Toyota 4 x 4 Double Cabin Pick Up vehicle at a cost of Kshs.4,200,000 from a motor Dealer based in Nanyuki on 13<sup>th</sup> March, 2014. However, by 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014, the vehicle had not been delivered and therefore it was not possible to confirm its existence.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. through the Health Department, the said vehicle had been referred to the dealer for change of colour, equipment installation and enhancement of the frame; and,



2. A copy of the vehicle's log book and copies of its work tickets were submitted to affirm the presence and constant use of the vehicle in the Department of Health Services.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee noted that the Governor submitted relevant documentation to satisfy the query, which had been verified by the auditors and therefore the query stands resolved*

## **4.0 Recurrent and Development Expenditure**

### **4.1 Unconfirmed Fuel Expenditure**

During the year, the Office of the Governor purchased bulk fuel worth Kshs.1,375,000. However, no fuel register was maintained to record the quantity of fuel bought, utilized and the running balance left after subsequent drawings. Further, other control documents such as detail orders and work tickets were availed for audit verification.

Under the circumstances, the propriety of the expenditure could not be confirmed.

### **Submission from the Governor**

#### **Governor's Response**

1. the fuel in question relates to a consignment procured for Office of the Governor and Office of the Chief of Staff in the quantities of 6,000 litres and 5,000 litres respectively at a cost of Kshs.125 per litre; and,
2. it is standard working practice to ensure that detail orders are used to seek approval to draw fuel and the same records are maintained in the fuel registers.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. the Governor admitted that the County hadn't submitted documentation requested because the EACC had collected all their work tickets and other relevant documentation;
2. Auditor General could not clear the audit query without the work tickets.
3. the county government had been requested to present to the Auditor for verification, a letter indicating what's with the EACC before the committee could pronounce itself on the matter.

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the EACC expedites its investigation, takes appropriate action and forwards its report to the Senate.**

### **4.2 Repair of motor- vehicles**

The County Executive paid Kshs.665,967.00 to a Garage based in Maralal for the repair of motor vehicle GK A 861 F vide Local Service Order No 09745503 of 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 and invoice No. 5560 of 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. Similarly, the County Executive paid Kshs.585,800 to M/S Francis Richard Lepupuye Garage for the repair of another vehicle Registration No. A 963 D vide Local Service Order No. 0974501 of 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 and invoice number 24 of 12<sup>th</sup> June, 2014. The repairs carried out were major and it was not explained how they could have been carried out in one day in respect of vehicle GK A 861 F and two days in respect of vehicle A963 D.

Further, in both cases, the repairs were not entered in the respective motor vehicle repair log books. Under the circumstances the propriety of the expenditure could not be confirmed. Failure to keep proper motor vehicle repair records could lead to false repair claims and misuse of public funds.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. the vehicles in question were from the Health outreach facilities in Loosuk and Suguta wards about 20 kms each from Maralal.
2. Before these vehicles were presented to the Garage for repairs, the Office ensured that all requisite procedures were adhered to and that included a pre- repair inspection report by the works and transport department on 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> April, 2014 for GK A 861F & GK A 963D respectively
3. bids were filled on 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 by suppliers and then finally LSOs were raised on 10<sup>th</sup> June 2014 for both vehicles.
4. given the two suppliers received LSOs on 10<sup>th</sup> June, 2014, and that works post repair inspection was done on 15<sup>th</sup> June, 2014, this confirms that these vehicles were repaired from 10<sup>th</sup> June to 15<sup>th</sup> June, 2014, a period of 5 to 6 days.
5. The said suppliers demanded payments on 16<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 as presented in the invoices and all necessary documentation with regard to this transaction were submitted to the auditors.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that-*

- 1. The propriety of the expenditure used on the vehicle repairs could not be ascertained; and*
- 2. The identification of the garages to carry out the repairs was suspect.*

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the issue be investigated by the EACC to with a view to prosecuting those found culpable and ascertaining whether the County got value for money.**

### **4.3 Unsupported Grants to Self- Help Groups**

The County paid Kshs.250,000 and Kshs.650,000 to Naidimua Self-Help Group and Sharp Rehabilitation Centre respectively to support their activities. However, there was no evidence that the groups were registered legal entities. Further, there were no acknowledgement letters from the groups to confirm that they received the funds. Therefore, there is a risk that the money may not have reached the intended beneficiaries and may not have been used for the intended purposes.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. the department had set out clearly in its annual approved budget to support self-help groups with funds as part of encouraging sustainability of these groups; and,
2. Beneficiaries of the funds stated have since furnished to the county registration certificates and letters confirming receipts of the said amounts .
3. these two activities were budgeted for under other operating expenses vote due to the limit of exact desired descriptions in IFMIS chart of accounts.
4. Amounts donated to Sharp were correctly charged to other operating expenses. However, amounts paid to Naidimua wERE erroneously charged to Tuition fees allowance with the hope that this will be harmonized during the next supplementary budget process.
5. The misallocation was not communicated to the County Treasury in good time. This is acknowledged as an oversight and regretted. The department will endeavor to allocate costs appropriately in future.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observes that-*

- 1. several irregularities including disbursement of funds to irregularly registered groups were noted under the query*
- 2. No funds were budgeted for the purpose of assisting self-help groups*
- 3. Misallocation of funds without approval from the County Assembly was contrary to existing laws.*

## **Committee Recommendations**

**Investigations by EACC and DCI should be carried out with a view to prosecuting all officers found culpable and recovering monies lost.**

### **4.4 Unsupported Expenditure on Peace Meetings**

On 9<sup>th</sup> May, 2014, the County advanced cash imprest of Kshs.1,484,000 and Kshs.1,861,200 separately to two officers to facilitate the holding of community meetings on peace building. However, the surrender vouchers did not have any supporting documents such as attendance lists, minutes, cash receipts or schedule of allowances paid to participants as evidence that the funds were used for the intended purpose. Failure to properly support the expenditure could lead to loss of funds.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. the amounts stated were issued to the said staff to facilitate peace meetings that were to be held in Samburu North and Samburu East Constituencies. However, the meetings were called off just before the due dates and staff went ahead to refund the amounts as per the KCB bank statement extract surrendered to the Auditors; and,
2. The two officers had already spent Ksh.23,801 and Ksh.21,200 respectively for initial mobilization of the intended peace meeting and bank charges. Copies of surrender documents of these were surrendered for verification.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor General had verified the evidence submitted, and on the advice of the Auditor, the matter stands resolved.*

#### **4.5 Unsupported Expenditure on Community Conservancies**

The County Executive paid Kshs.1,851,000 to three Community Conservancy Organizations to support their activities. However, examination of payment vouchers indicated that there were no supporting documents to show how the money was spent and the Organizations did not acknowledge receipt of the funds. Hence, the propriety of the expenditure could not be confirmed.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. the said amounts were transferred to three community Conservancies namely; Ndotso Conservation Area 366,000/=, Baragoi Conservancy 711,000/= and Ltungai Malaso Conservancy 781,000/= as monthly grants for their operations.
2. the Department of Tourism ensures that no subsequent disbursements are processed before the previous payout is surrendered and meet the criteria required as per government regulations.
3. These amounts were first acknowledged by the beneficiaries and subsequently accounted for.

#### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor General had verified the evidence submitted.*

#### **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that the County Executive should submit a Bill to establish a fund to support conservancies to the County Assembly in order to legalize the practice of supporting the Conservancies.**

#### **4.6 Failure to maintain an Asset Register.**

Section 149(1) (0) of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012 requires County Executive entities to keep asset registers that is current and accurate. However, the County Executive did not maintain an asset register. Without a register, there is a risk of misuse or loss of valuable assets.

## **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. at the time of Audit, a special team that had been formed was still working on identification of assets across the County and did not have one final consolidated asset register.
2. The exercise was however accomplished about two months after the Audit and the asset register was presented in that year's Financial Statements.

## **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. *the Auditor General had gone through the evidence submitted and reported that there was some information missing on the registers e.g. the chassis numbers of the vehicles; and,*
2. *this was a matter running across several counties .*

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that since this was a cross-cutting issue among counties the matter should be concluded by the IGTRC by March, 2018.**

### **4.7 Motor Vehicles Donations**

The County's Ministry of Tourism, Trade and Co-operative procured 4 motor vehicles (4x4 Toyota Land Cruiser Pickups) at a cost of Kshs.4,650,000 each totaling Kshs.18,600,000 through open tender. The vehicles were subsequently donated to four groups that are involved in conservancy work in the County.

However, the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the County Executive and the beneficiaries to outline the terms of usage of the vehicles was made available. Without a memorandum detailing the usage of the motor vehicles, there is likelihood of misuse or loss of the vehicles. It is also not possible to establish the benefits of the assets to the County.

Further, the vehicle logbooks were not availed to confirm that the vehicles were owned by the County Executive. In addition, the County's department of Agriculture purchased

a Toyota Land Cruiser from Toyota Kenya Ltd of Nairobi, at a cost of Kshs.4,969,843. However, the log book for the vehicle was not availed for audit to confirm ownership.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. Tourism being the top most earner for the County, the department of Tourism and Trade made it intentional to support five conservancies within the County.
2. Tourism department uses a policy guideline that it had shared with all the Conservancies which outlines the conduct of engagement; and,
3. The Vehicles donated are still the property of the County Government and log books were submitted to the Auditor.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee notes that the Auditor General had verified the evidence submitted and observed that a determination still needs to be made on the legal viability of the donations.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Executive should include the issue of donations in the Bill recommended to be submitted to the County Assembly under query 4.6.**

### **4.8 Unconfirmed Maize Seed Donations**

The County's Department of Agriculture procured various variety of maize seeds at a cost of Kshs.11,572,850 which were distributed to various communities with the aim of improving agricultural output. However, no returns were provided to confirm that the seeds reached the intended beneficiaries. Without proper records and internal control mechanisms, there is likelihood of misuse of the facility.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. At the time of Audit, all seeds had not been distributed to the beneficiaries hence the department was not in a position to provide the beneficiary list.
2. Distribution is now done and a signed list of beneficiaries and stores records was provided to the Auditors

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the Auditor General had verified the evidence submitted, and on the advice of the Auditor, the matter stands resolved*



## CHAPTER TEN

### KERICHO COUNTY EXECUTIVE

The Governor of Kericho, Hon. (Prof.) Paul Chepkwony was invited to appear before the Committee to respond to the audit queries raised in the Auditor General's report of Kericho County Government for the financial year 2013/2014. Hon. Chepkwony appeared before the Committee on Friday, 29<sup>th</sup> June and Thursday, 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2016. The Committee considered the audit queries against the Governor's responses and made recommendations accordingly.

#### **1.0 Under Expenditure of the Development Vote**

The Public Finance Management Act, 2012, section 107 (2) (b) requires that a County Government should allocate and spend at least 30% of its budget on development expenditure. However, during the year, the County Executive spent Kshs.719,385,358.40 on capital/development expenditure representing 24% of the total expenditure of Kshs.2,993,009,439.10.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the County at the time had commitments worth Kshs.366 Million;
2. That the total payments and commitments were Kshs.1.075; and,
3. That the figure was 35.9% of the total receipts.

#### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

- 1. the Auditor presented that the actual amount spent against the budget was below 30% but when measured against the actual receipt was above the 30%; and*
- 2. the issue was mainly one of the National treasury not releasing money on time .*

## **Committee Recommendations**

**The Committee recommends that-**

- 1. Treasury should at all times ensure that the amount of money approved by Parliament for the County Government is placed in the county revenue account and disbursed to the County in accordance with the law;**
- 2. The Governor should ensure that the threshold is met in future.**

### **2.1 Under-Banking of Revenue collections**

Section 109 (2) of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012 requires that all revenue raised is banked in the County Revenue Fund. However, during the year under review, the County Executive collected revenue totaling Kshs.359,901,814 but only Kshs.351,981,315 was banked resulting in an unreconciled revenue of Kshs.7,920,499.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That Ksh.7,920,499 was not unbanked revenues, but transfers from defunct local authorities accounts;
2. That this was the reason the figures were not captured as local revenue; and,
3. That the unspent funds were budgeted in the subsequent years.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

- 1. the Auditor had verified the relevant documents and the explanation of the Governor was satisfactory; and,*
- 2. Ksh.7,920,499 was revenue from the defunct local authorities account, eventually transferred to the county revenue account.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee notes that the matter has now been sorted out.**

## **2.2 Comparison in Revenue Collections**

Examination of the revenue records maintained by the County Executive Treasury Department revealed that the total revenue collections decreased by Kshs.35,874,235 from revenue collected in 2012/2013 of Kshs.395,776,049 against revenue collected in 2013/2014 of Kshs.359,901,814.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the difference in revenue collection was as a result of an area (bordering Bomet) of the County being hived off from the County and placed within Bomet County.*

## **2.3 Under- Collection of Revenue**

The County Executive had budgeted to collect revenue of Kshs.631,152,462 during the 2013/2014 financial year. However, the County collected Kshs.359,901,814 resulting in an under collection of revenue of Kshs.271,250,648 or 43 % from the budgeted amount. Consequently, the County Executive did not have sufficient funds to enable it deliver services.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That it was true that the revenue had decreased;
2. That this was mainly because an area of the County was hived off and transferred to Bomet County; and,
3. That efforts such as automation, had been put in place to enhance revenue collection .

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. *the Auditor was still of the opinion that the County could do more to increase revenue collection;*
2. *the County had put measures in place to raise revenue collection;*
3. *the revenue collected reduced as an area (bordering Bomet) of the County was hived off from the County.*

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that-**

- 1. The County should ensure that the automation system works and revenue collection is improved;**
- 2. In making the county annual budget, realistic revenue estimates should be made, for proper planning.**

### **3.1 Un-accounted for Refunds to the National Government**

The County Executive made refunds of Kshs.253,000,000 to the National Treasury in respect of salaries paid by the National Government for personnel in the health sector who were devolved to the County but paid for by the National Government for the six months period between July to December, 2013. However, no details were provided to show the specific personnel who were paid or how the amount was arrived at. Further, there was no acknowledgement from the National Government to confirm receipt.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That it was true that the said funds were transferred to the Ministry of Health, in the National Government;
2. That the figure was arrived at upon receipt of a demand note from the National Treasury on the salaries paid;
3. That the County government had received payroll data from the Ministry of Health showing that the county was to pay back a total of Kshs. 281 Million for staff that performed devolved functions for July to December 2013; and,
4. That the County Public Service Board undertook staff verification exercise at the time and verified that the staff existed and delivered the said services to the County.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

- 1. the auditor was satisfied with the response of the Governor; and,*
- 2. the issue of reimbursements to the National Government during the audit period affected all counties*

## **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the issue of reimbursements from the National Government should be dealt with comprehensively with the IGRTC.**

### **3.2 Employee Costs**

Section 107(2)(c) of the Public Finance Management Act, 2012 states that the County Government expenditure on wages and employee benefits shall not exceed a percentage of the County Government total revenue as prescribed by the County Executive Member for Finance in regulation and approved by the County Assembly. However, during the year under review, no specific percentage was set by the County Executive Member for Finance and approved by the County Assembly as required by the law.

During the year, Kshs.1,410,349,700 or 50% of the total payments of Kshs.2,818,614,650 was spent on compensation to employees which is an indication of a high wage bill. This may not be sustainable and could adversely affect the County's development plans.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. that the County Executive spent Kshs.1,410,349,700 on staff emoluments which is 50% of the total actual payments of Kshs.2,818,614,650 for both recurrent and development votes;
2. That in comparison to total revenues of Kshs.3.632 billion during the period under review, the wages made up approximately 38% of the county budget; and
3. That the County Public Service Board was undertaking a staff placement exercise to address gaps in service delivery and match relevant skills to positions held.

### **Committee Observations**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. *the auditor was satisfied with the response of the Governor; and,*
2. *the issue of a bloated workforce affected all counties; and*
3. *A bloated workforce erodes the County's budget;*

### **Committee Recommendation**

The Committee recommends that-

1. The issue of a bloated workforce should be rationalized by the IGTRC in line with the County Governments Act and its recommendations implemented by March 2018;
2. The County should adhere to set guidelines in the allocation and use of resources under the recurrent and development budget.

### **4.0 PENDING BILLS**

The county executive did not settle bills totaling Kshs.650,911,038.04 during the year which would adversely affect the subsequent financial year.

### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the county government had pending bills in the period under review but had strived to pay the bills in time to effectively manage the debt portfolio;
2. That the pending bills were in relation to contractual works that were yet to be completed by 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014;
3. That the pending bills for the period were rolled over and budgeted in the Supplementary Budget 2014/2015; and
4. That the pending bills arose due to liquidity issues as funding was received after 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that:*

1. *the auditors had confirmed that the bills had been cleared and the issue resolved; and,*
2. *in addition to the late receipt of funds, the National Government withheld at source Kshs.365,000,000;*

### **Committee Recommendation**

The Committee recommends that-

1. Disciplinary action be taken against those responsible for the delay in releasing the funds to the County;

2. **The money should be released promptly the County to avoid the county incurring pending bills, as Articles 219 and 225 of the Constitution are clear on release of funds to county governments.**

#### **4.1 Outstanding Imprest**

Temporary imprest of Kshs.3,186,063.00 supposed to be surrendered by 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 was still outstanding.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the outstanding imprest of Kshs.3,186,063 as at 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2014 had since been surrendered and the surrender vouchers had been reviewed by the Auditors; and
2. That the County Treasury has put in place steps to ensure all the surrenders are done in good time.

#### **Committee Observation**

The Committee observed that *the auditors had verified the imprest vouchers worth Kshs.3,186,063 and were satisfied.*

#### **Committee Recommendation**

The Committee recommends that-

1. **The County Government should submit documents to the auditors in good time.**
2. **Imprest should be surrendered on time at all times.**

#### **4.2 Lack of Annual Procurement Plan**

The County Executive did not prepare a procurement plan for the year under review as required by section 26 (3) (a) of the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2005. Therefore, all procurement done during the year was irregular.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented that he regretted that the plan had not been submitted but had subsequently submitted it to the auditors.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed-*

1. *That the Auditor confirmed that the plan had been availed;*
2. *That during the audit, the County did not have a procurement plan but the plan was subsequently availed*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that in future, the county should ensure that the procurement plan is in place at the beginning of the financial year, as this is a mandatory requirement for all counties under the Public Procurement and Disposal of Assets Act, 2015.**

#### **5.1 Lack of Personnel establishment**

The County Government did not have an approved and authorized staff establishment to indicate the optimum number of employees required.

#### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented as follows:

1. That the county had yet to have an approved organizational structure;
2. That the county executive had forwarded the proposed organizational structure that contains optimal staffing levels of all departments to the County Assembly and is awaiting approval.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that the approval by the Assembly was beyond the Governor's control.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Assembly should expedite approval of the organizational structure to enable action by the Governor.**

#### **5.2 Lack of comprehensive terms and conditions for employees**

The county does not have a documented terms and conditions of service for employees. Furthermore, no staff capacity assessment and rationalization was undertaken.



### **Submission from the Governor**

The Governor presented that the County Government used the County Public Human Resource Manual developed by the Public Service Commission of Kenya in May 2013. The Governor tabled the draft HRM regulations of the County.

### **Committee Observation**

*The Committee observed that due to lack of a county government policy on terms and conditions of service, it was prudent for the county to use the manual developed by the Public Service Commission.*

### **Committee Recommendation**

**The Committee recommends that the County Government expedites the completion of the HRM Regulations for the County so as to domesticate the Regulations for the County.**